# ANALYTICAL REPORT 

## The State of Muslim

 Education in India A Data-DrivenA Study Based on UDISE+\& AISHE Data

No. of Muslim Children

Foreword By
Prof. Najma Akhtar
Vice-Chancellor
Jamia Millia Islamia (Central) University
E-mail: vc@imi.ac.in

$$
\text { ARUN C }{ }^{\text {By }} \text { MEHTA }
$$

Former Professor \& Head of the EMIS Department NIEPA, New Delhi
E-mail:acmehtal00@gmail.com


Education for All in India (A Website Developed \& Maintained by Arun C Mehta since 1999)

# The State of Muslim Education in India A Data-Driven Analysis 

ANALYTICAL REPORT<br>A Study Based on UDISE $+\&$ AISHE Data

By<br>ARUN C MEHTA<br>Former Professor \& Head of the EMIS Department<br>NIEPA, New Delhi<br>E-mail:acmehta100@gmail.com



Education for All in India
(A Website Developed \& Maintained by Arun C Mehta since 1999)

## CONTENTS

Particulars ..... Page No.
Foreword ..... ix
Preface ..... x
Introduction ..... 01
Size of Muslim Population ..... 02
Objectives of the Present Article \& The Data Set ..... 03
Data Limitations ..... 04
Muslim \& Total Population: India ..... 07
Percentage Increase in Population ..... 08
Average Annual Growth Rates in Population ..... 09
State-wise Share of Muslim Population ..... 11
Muslim Enrolment: School Education Level
Total I-XII Enrolment ..... 13
Primary Enrolment (Grades I-V) ..... 14
Percent Share of Muslims in Total Enrolment ..... 16
Share of Muslims Enrolment to the Total Enrolment ..... 18
Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment by Gender
Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Muslim Enrolment ..... 20
Share of Girls' Enrolment at Different Levels of Education ..... 21
Share of Girls in Muslim Enrolment \& GPI ..... 23
Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio
Muslim Child Population ..... 25
Muslim Enrolment Ratio (Overall) ..... 27
Enrolment Ratio (All Students): All India ..... 30
State-specific Muslim Enrolment Ratio ..... 33
Flow Rates ..... 37
The Retention Rates ..... 39
Grade-specific Muslim Flow Rates ..... 40
Particulars ..... Page No.
Analysis of State-specific Flow Rates ..... 41
Dropout Rate at the Secondary Level ..... 45
Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out ..... 46
Transition Rate: Muslim Students ..... 46
Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education in India ..... 54
Average Annual Growth Rate: Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education ..... 56
Muslim GPI at Higher Education ..... 58
Share of Muslim Enrolment at School to Higher Education Level ..... 60
Muslims Gross Enrolment Ratio ..... 61
State-specific Muslim GER at the Higher Education Level ..... 63
Data on Muslim Education from Other Sources ..... 66
Concluding Observations ..... 67

## LIST of TABLES

Total 1: $\quad$ Population of India: 1951 to 2011
Table 2: Percentage Increase of Population: All India, 1951-61 to 2001-11
Table 3: Percent Share of Population by Religion: 1961 to 2011
Table 4: Average Annual Growth Rate between two Censuses: 1951 to 2011, All India
Table 5: $\quad$ State-wise Share of Muslims to Total Population of India: 2011 Census
Table 6: Muslim Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22
Table 7: Total Muslim Girls Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22
Table 8: $\quad$ Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22Table 9: Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment by Gender, 2012-13to 2021-22Table 10: State-specific Share of Muslim Minority Enrolment to Total Enrolment atDifferent Levels of Education: 2021-22
Table 11: Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment at Different Levels of Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22


Particulars

| Table 12: | Share of Girls Enrolment at Different Levels of Education to Total Girls <br> Enrolment (Grades I to XII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Table 13: | Percentage of Girls at Different Levels of Education to Total Muslim <br> Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22 |
| Table 14: | Percentage of Boys at Different Levels of Education to Total Muslim <br> Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22 |

Table 15: Gender Parity Index: Muslim Enrolment at Different Levels of Education
Table 15 (A): Gender Parity Index: Total Enrolment at Different Levels of Education
Table 16: Muslim GER, All India: 2018-19 to 2021-22
Table 17: Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary \& Higher Secondary Levels: 2018-19 to 2021-22

Table 18: Enrolment Ratio (Total Enrolment): All-India, 2021-22
Table 19: $\quad$ State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Elementary Level: 2021-22, All India

Table 20: $\quad$ State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary Level: 2021-22, All India
Table 21: Muslim Flow Rate, All India: Cohort 2020-21
Table 22: Efficiency Indicators: Cohort 2020-21 (All India)
Table 23: Grade-specific Total Muslim Enrolment, Repeaters \& Flow Rate: Cohort 2020-21
Table 24: Muslim Dropout Rate at Different Levels of Education: Cohort 2020-21
Table 25: Number of States having Muslim Dropout Rate in Different Slabs: Cohort 2020-21
Table 26: Muslim Flow Rates at Secondary Level: Cohort 2020-21
Table 26A: Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out between 2020-21 \& 2021-22
Table 26B: Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out between 2020-21 \& 2021-22
Table 27: Muslim Transition Rate, All India: Cohort 2018-19 to 2020-21
Table 28: Transition Rate, Muslim Enrolment, 2021-22: Cohort 2020-21
Table 29: Transition Rate, Muslim Enrolment: Cohort 2020-21
Table 30: Number of States Having Muslim Transition Rate in Different Slabs: 2020-21
Table 31: Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education: 2016-17 to 2020-21
\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline Particulars \& Page No. <br>
\hline Table 32: \& Average Annual Growth Rate in Enrolment at Higher Education Level: 2016- <br>

17 to 2021-22\end{array}\right]\)| Table 33: | Muslim GPI at Higher Education: 2016-17 to 2020-21 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Table 34: | Share of Muslim Enrolment at School to Total Muslim Enrolment at Higher <br> Education Level |
| Table 35: | Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Higher Education Level |
| Table 36: | State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Higher Education, 2020-21 |
|  | LHARTS |
| Chart 1: | Hindus \& Muslims Population: 1951 to 2011 |
| Chart 2: | Percent Increase in Population: All India, 1951 to 2011 |
| Chart 3: | Average Annual Growth Rate in Population by Religion |
| Chart 4: | Share of Muslim to Total Enrolment: All India, 2016-17 to 2020-21 |
| Chart 5: | Share of Muslim to Total Enrolment: All India, Grades I to XII |
| Chart 6: | Percent Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment: All India |
| Chart 7: | Percent of Muslim Enrolment at Different Levels of Education |
| Chart 8: | Muslim GPI in Enrolment |
| Chart 9: | Percent Muslim Girls Enrolment: All India |
| Chart 10: | Muslim GER at Primary Level |
| Chart 11: | Muslim GER at Upper Primary Level |
| Chart 12: | Muslim GER at Elementary Level |
| Chart 13: | Muslim GER at Secondary Level |
| Chart 14: | Muslim GER at Higher Secondary Level |
| Chart 15: | Muslim GER at School Level: Grades I to XII |
| Chart 16: | Muslim GER at Secondary \& Higher Secondary Level |
| Chart 17: | Dropout Rate: Cohort 2020-21 |
| Chart 18: | Grade-wise Dropout Rate: Cohort 2020-21 |
| Chart 19: | Number of Muslim Children Dropped Out: Elementary Level |
| Chart 20: | Number of Muslim Students Dropout: Between 2020-21 \& 2021-22 |
| Chart 21: | Muslim Flow Rates at Secondary Level: Cohort 2020-21 |


| Particulars |  | Page No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chart 22: | Muslim Transition Rates: Secondary to Higher Secondary, Cohorts 2018-19 to 2020-21 |  |
| Chart 23: | Muslim Transition Rate: Primary to Upper Primary \& Elementary to Secondary Level: Cohort 2020-21 |  |
| Chart 24: | Percent Muslim Enrolment to Total Higher Education Enrolment |  |
| Chart 25: | Growth Rate in Enrolment: 2016-17 to 2021-22 |  |
| Chart 26: | Higher Education Enrolment by Gender |  |
| Chart 27: | Percent Muslim Enrolment (I to XII) to Higher Education Enrolment |  |
| Chart 28: | Muslim GER at Higher Education Level |  |
|  | LIST of BOXES |  |
| Share of Mus | lim Girls Enrolment |  |
| Muslim Gros | Enrolment Ratio at Secondary \& Higher Secondary Levels |  |
|  | ANNEXURE: List of Tables |  |
| Table 1: | MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22, All India |  |
| Table 2: | Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22, All India |  |
| Table 3: | TOTAL Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education: 201213 to 2021-22, All India |  |
| Table 4: | Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment to Total Enrolment at Different Levels of School Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22, All India |  |
| Table 5: | MUSLIM Enrolment, Gender Parity Index. 2012-13 to 2021-22 |  |
| Table 6: | Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels to Total Muslim Enrolment (I to XII), Gender Parity Index. 2012-13 to 2021-22 |  |
| Table 7: | Percent Change in MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of Education, All India, 2012-13 to 2021-22 |  |
| Table 8: | State-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education: 2021-22, All India |  |
| Table 9: | State-wise Percentage of MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels of Education to Total State Enrolment, 2021-22 |  |
| Table 10: | State-wise Distribution of MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels of Education to Total State Enrolment, 2021-22 |  |

## Particulars

Table 11: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Primary Level in 2021-22 over 2020-21

Table 12: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Upper Primary Level in 202122 over 2020-21
Table 13: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Upper Primary Level in 20202 over 2020-21
Table 14: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Secondary Level in 2020-21 over 2020-21
Table 15: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Higher Secondary Level in 2020-21 over 2020-21
Table 16: Percentage Change in TOTAL MUSLIM Enrolment (Grades I to XII) in 202021 over 2020-21

Table 17: Ratio of Muslim Girls to Boys in Enrolment at Different Levels: UDISEPlus 2021-22
Table 18: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2020-21
Table 19: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender: Grades I to VIII, 2020-21
Table 20: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2021-22
Table 21: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2021-22
Table 22: Grade-wise MUSLIM Number of Repeaters by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2021-22

Table 23: Muslim Flow Rates at Primary Level (Grades I to V): Cohort 2020-21
Table 24: Muslim Flow Rates at Upper Primary Level (Grades VI to VIII): Cohort 202021 (Year 2021-22)
Table 25: Muslim Flow Rates at Elementary Level (Grades I to VIII): Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)
Table 26: Muslim Flow Rates at Secondary Level (Grades IX to X): Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)
Table 27: $\quad$ Number of Muslim Students Dropped out (Between 2020-21 \& 2021-22) at Different Levels of School Education: 2021-22
Table 28: Muslim Transition Rate: Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)
Table 29: Gender Gap in Dropout Rate \& Transition Rate: 2021-22


Table 30: Projected Muslim Population in Different Age-groups by Gender: 2021-22, School Education

Table 31: State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Elementary Level: 2021-22, All India

Table 32: $\quad$ State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary Level: 2021-22, All India

Table 33: Projected State-specific MUSLIM Age 18-23 Year Population by Gender: 2020-21

Table 34: Educational Level of Muslims by Gender: 2017-18
Table 35: Educational Level of Muslims by Location: 2017-18


Tel.: 011-26984650, 26985180, Fax : 0091-11-26981232 | Email: vc@jmi.ac.in | Web: jmi.ac.in
प्रोफेसर नजमा अख़्तर
कुलपति



FOREWORD
Dear readers,

It is with great pleasure and honor that I contribute a foreword to this insightful report on "The State of Muslim Education in India: A Data-Driven Analysis." Based on UDISE Plus and AISHE data, this comprehensive study delves into the educational landscape of Muslims in India, providing valuable indicators that shed light on their access, enrollment, gender parity, and retention rates at both school and higher education levels.

I appreciate the effort put forth by Prof. Arun C Mehta in conducting this meticulous analysis. The report not only highlights the current state of Muslim education but also showcases the power of data-driven research in fostering a deeper understanding of the challenges faced by this significant community.

The computation of crucial indicators such as the Gross Enrolment Ratio, estimated Muslim child population, and transition rates paints a vivid picture of the prevailing educational scenario. Such information is indispensable in formulating evidence-based policies and interventions to bridge educational disparities and promote inclusivity in our nation's education system.

Education is a powerful tool for empowerment and progress, and we must work collectively to ensure equitable access and quality education for every individual, regardless of their background. This report serves as a stepping stone toward that endeavor.

I commend Prof. Mehta for his dedication and commitment to this vital research and wish him success in disseminating this knowledge widely, with the hope that it sparks meaningful conversations and inspires positive actions for the betterment of Muslim students' educational journey in our country.

With warm regards,

(Prof. Najma Akhtar)
Vice-Chancellor


## PREFACE

India has a rich and diverse history of education, but there are significant disparities in educational attainment between different religious groups. Muslims, who constitute about 14.2 percent of the population, are underrepresented at all levels of education. A data-driven study on Muslim education in India could help address this issue in several ways.

First, such a study could help identify the status of Muslim education in India and involve collecting data on factors such as enrollment, dropout, and graduation rates for Muslim students. This data would provide a valuable baseline for future research and help identify the factors contributing to educational disparities among Muslims. This information would allow educators to develop targeted strategies that are more likely to be effective in improving educational opportunities for Muslims. In addition, a data-driven study would provide a valuable baseline for future research on Muslim education in India, track progress over time, and identify new challenges and opportunities.

Because of this, a detailed study based on UDISE+ and AISHE has been undertaken. This study has computed the uncomputed indicators concerning Muslim children in India. In particular, the Gross enrollment ratio at the school and higher education levels, dropout, transition, and retention rates in the case of Muslim children have been computed at the state and national levels by gender. This data could be used to develop policies and programs that would help to improve educational opportunities for Muslims and reduce educational disparities. Only through data-driven insights and evidence-based policies can India achieve its goal of providing quality education to all its citizens, including Muslims.

I take this opportunity to thank Prof. Najma Akhtar, Vice-Chancellor, Jamia Milia Islamia University, Delhi, for writing the foreword of this report.

I also thank Dr. Ganesh Kumar Nigam for computing a few indicators and Ms. Sheeja Biju, Former Project Publications Officer, UDISE Project at NIEPA, New Delhi, for designing the layout of the present publication.

Arun C Mehta
October 2023

# The State of Muslim Education in India A Data-Driven Analysis 

Arun C Mehta<br>Former Professor \& Head of the EMIS Department<br>NIEPA, New Delhi<br>E-mail: acmehta100@gmail.com

## Introduction

The education system in India is incredibly diverse, encompassing various religions, cultures, and languages. However, it does suffer from a lack of equity. Muslims, as the most prominent religious minority in the country, have long faced challenges in accessing quality education. Throughout history, Muslims have been pioneers in establishing formal educational institutions like madrasas, schools of Islamic learning. An exemplary institution, the Madrasa-e-Nizamia, was founded in Hyderabad in 1876, offering courses in Islamic law and theology, setting a model for madrasas across India.

During the British colonial period, Muslim education encountered numerous obstacles, including resource scarcity, limited access to formal education, and discrimination. Nonetheless, inspiring leaders like Sir Syed Ahmed Khan played a pivotal role in promoting education among Muslims. He founded the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College in 1875, later evolving into Aligarh Muslim University. The university's mission was to provide modern education to Muslims, fostering their social and economic progress. Today, Aligarh Muslim University is one of India's prestigious educational institutions, offering diverse courses across various disciplines.

According to the Sachar Committee Report, "the literacy rate among Indian Muslims is lower than the national average. Shockingly, only 59 percent of Muslim children attend primary school, compared to 70 percent of the general population, and the dropout rate is higher among Muslim students. Poverty, lack of educational access, and discrimination significantly contribute to these disparities. Muslims face formidable challenges in pursuing higher education in India, with only 4.9 percent enrolled in universities. This under-representation is attributed to limited access to quality education, financial constraints, and discrimination."

The government has launched various school and higher education initiatives to bridge this educational gap. For instance, the Maulana Azad Education Foundation provides scholarships to economically

disadvantaged Muslim students. Additionally, the National Scheme for Incentives to Girls for Secondary Education and the National Scholarship Scheme for Minority Students aim to encourage and support the education of Muslim girls. Numerous non-governmental organizations (NGOs) are also actively involved in promoting the education of Muslim girls in India, operating schools, providing scholarships, and assisting those facing barriers to accessing education. The Sarva/Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, a government program, endeavors to offer free and compulsory education to all children, including those from marginalized communities. Furthermore, the Prime Minister's 15-point program for the welfare of minorities seeks to provide scholarships, coaching, and other facilities to minority students. Despite these efforts, the educational gap persists.

Addressing the challenges faced by Muslim education in India requires a comprehensive and multifaceted approach. Poverty, limited access to quality education, and discrimination must be tackled through increased government resources and support for minority education. It is imperative to redouble efforts to improve Muslim education in India, benefiting the community and contributing to the nation's overall development.

In a nutshell, one can say that Muslim education in India has long played a pivotal role in promoting social and economic development among Muslims in India. However, the goal of Muslim education for all in general and school education for all, in particular, is a distant goal.

## Size of Muslim Population

As of the 2011 Census, the Muslim population in India constituted approximately 14.23 percent of the total population. In several states, this percentage was significantly higher than the national average, with the highest concentration in undivided Jammu \& Kashmir, followed by the Union Territory of Lakshadweep, West Bengal, and others. It is widely acknowledged that, in terms of education, Muslims in India have not achieved parity with other minority segments. However, up-to-date data on the current status of the Muslim population is not available, as the 2021 Census has not been conducted yet. Nevertheless, thanks to initiatives like the District Information System for Education (DISE), later UDISE, and now UDISE+ \& AISHE, sufficient information exists about the educational status of Muslim minorities in India.

While the information on Muslim enrolment, along with Scheduled Castes (SC) and Scheduled Tribes (ST) enrolment, is available through UDISE + at all levels of school education, the Census does not cover the population of the Other Backward Class (OBC). The UDISE fills this gap by collecting enrolment data on the OBC, making it a unique and essential source for plan formulation. Despite enrolment and repeaters data availability, one critical piece of information missing is the enrolment ratios, which require data on the Muslim child population in the relevant age group. Currently, Muslim enrolment by age is not collected in UDISEplus.

An article by John Kurrien in Hindustan Times on 2nd March 2021 argued that data on the education of Muslims was not provided in the Department of Education's "Educational Statistics at a Glance" for 2018.

However, the article failed to mention that this data had been published in UDISE Flash Statistics from 2005-06 to 2017-18 and is still being collected as part of the annual data collection in UDISEplus and is available in the Flash Statistics for 2018-19 to 2021-22, published by the Department of School Education \& Literacy, Ministry of Education.

In summary, while adequate information is available about the education of Muslim minorities through UDISE+, some crucial data points, such as enrolment ratios, still require information on the Muslim child population by age. Nonetheless, efforts are being made to gather and disseminate essential data to address educational disparities and formulate appropriate plans for developing the Muslim population and other minority groups in India.

## Objectives of the Present Article \& The Data Set

The current article endeavors to present comprehensive information about the education of Muslims in India, exclusively based on UDISE data available from 2006-07 for the elementary level and from 2012-13 for the entire school education in India, which allows us to examine Muslim enrolment in Grades I to VIII from 2006-07 to 2021-22 and in Grades I to XII from 2012-13 to 2021-22, which provides a reasonably long time series to identify trends for Muslims, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and OBC enrolment.

It is important to note that DISE became UDISE in 2012-13, using a single Data Capture Format to collect data for Grades I to XII in the entire school education system. Since then, DISE has been recognized as Unified DISE, popularly known as UDISE. In 2018-19, UDISE was transferred from NIEPA to the Department of School Education \& Literacy and renamed UDISEPlus (UDISE+). Additionally, in 201213, UDISE was designated as the Official Statistics and the sole source of information on school education in India, making it the basis for presenting and analyzing Muslim and total enrolment at different levels of school education in India from 2012-13 to 2021-22.

The prime objective of the present article is to gather and present school education data in India about Muslims from 2012-13 to 2021-22. Specifically, the following indicators have been computed and analyzed at the all-India level concerning Muslim education in schools:

- Enrolment: Total, Boys \& Girls in Grades I to XII (school education level), Grades I to V (primary), Grades VI to VIII (upper primary), Grades IX to X (secondary), and Grades XI to XII (higher secondary).
- Gross Enrolment Ratio: Total, Boys \& Girls at primary, upper primary, secondary, and higher secondary levels, both at the all-India and state-specific levels.
- Percentage of Muslim enrolment at different levels of school education to total enrolment at school education.
- Percentage of Muslim enrolment at different levels of school education in proportion to total Muslim enrolment during 2012-13 to 2021-22.
- Gender Parity Index at all levels of school education.
- Percentage of boys and girls enrolment compared to total enrolment at different levels of education.
- Percent enrollment change over the previous year at different levels of school education.
- The average annual rate of growth during the period 2012-13 to 2021-22 at different levels of education.
- Average annual dropout rate separately for boys and girls at the primary, upper primary, and secondary levels of education.
- Transition rate of Muslims from primary to upper primary, elementary to secondary, and secondary to higher secondary levels of education.
- Retention rate at the end of primary and elementary levels of education, specifically for boys and girls Muslim enrolment.
- Projection of Muslim child population aged 6 to 10,11 to 13,14 to 15 , and 16 to 17 years at both allIndia and state-specific levels; and
- Analysis of Muslim enrolment in higher education, projection of 18 to 23 years population, and computation of Gross Enrolment Ratio for Muslims, separately for Boys and Girls at higher education level.

State-specific indicators and those at the all-India level have been computed \& analyzed wherever possible. Most indicators are derived from grade-wise enrolment and repeaters of 2020-21 and 2021-22. Some statistical tables are presented in the text, while others are annexed. The data is sourced from the official portal of https://UDISEplus.gov.in in February 2023. Higher Education enrolment is downloaded from the official portal. i,e, https://aishe.gov.in/aishe/

## Data Limitations

As indicated earlier, without data on the Muslim population across different segments of the education system, it becomes impossible to calculate enrolment ratios such as gross and net enrolment ratios, adjusted net enrolment ratios, and age-specific enrolment ratios. Unfortunately, the actual and projected population data for the Muslim population are not available beyond 2011, which is also the latest population data available for the total population in India. The 2021 Census is yet to be conducted.

Despite having Muslim enrolment data available since 2006-07 at various levels, such as block, district, state, and all-India levels, there has been a lack of in-depth analysis regarding the education of Muslim children. Crucial indicators, such as dropout, transition, and retention rates, have not been adequately explored. Therefore, the present article aims to shed light on the significant aspects that have not been sufficiently addressed and contribute to a better understanding of the status of Muslim education in India, which is lacking in the existing literature.

The Sachar Committee Report is arguably one of the most comprehensive reports on the socio-economic \& educational status of the Muslim community in India. Constituted by the Government of India in 2005,
the Sachar Committee submitted its report in 2006, offering several recommendations related to data on Muslim education in India. Some key recommendations from the Sachar Committee regarding data on Muslim education in India are as follows:

- The government should conduct a nationwide survey to collect comprehensive data on the educational status of Muslims in India. This survey must cover all aspects of education, including enrolment, retention, completion rates, school infrastructure, and facilities.
- Data on Muslims in education should be disaggregated by gender, region, and socio-economic status to understand the specific challenges faced by different subgroups within the Muslim population; and
- The government should establish a monitoring mechanism to track the progress in improving the educational status of Muslims in India. This mechanism should focus on monitoring the implementation of policies and programs aimed at enhancing the educational status of Muslims.

A few of the other significant recommendations concerning the education of Muslims are as follows:

- Measures should be taken to ensure that Muslim children have access to quality education, which includes providing scholarships, constructing schools in areas with a significant Muslim population, and recruiting more Muslim teachers.
- Steps should be taken to address the under-representation of Muslims in higher education, especially in technical and professional courses, which may involve providing special coaching and support to Muslim students preparing for competitive examinations.

In summary, the Sachar Committee's recommendations emphasize the need for more comprehensive and targeted efforts to improve the educational status of Muslims in the country. By gathering and analyzing data on the educational status of Muslims in India, policymakers can formulate evidence-based policies \& programs to address the challenges the Muslim community faces in accessing quality education. The Sachar Committee utilized data from various sources, in addition to State \& Central Institutions and Departments. Some of these sources included:

- Census of India
- National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO)
- National Family Health Surveys (NFHS)
- National Council of Applied Economic Research (NCAER)
- National Council of Educational Research and Training
- National Institute of Educational Planning and Administration

Since 2006, the All India Higher Education Survey has also been included in the list. With the transition of DISE to UDISE, it has become the sole source of information on India's entire school education system. In Chapter 2.1, the Sachar Committee emphasized the importance of transparency, monitoring, and data
availability as prerequisites for good governance. It recommended the establishment of an autonomous National Data Bank (NDB) to maintain relevant data for various socio-religious categories. The Committee further advocated for the computerization of data and its accessibility on the Internet, as digitization would facilitate monitoring at all levels of governance - from panchayats/municipalities to districts, states, and the Central level.

The status report on the follow-up action on the Cabinet decisions regarding Sachar Committee Recommendations, as of 31st March 2019, mentioned that the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI) had created a National Data Bank (NDB) web page on its website. More than 150 tables related to population, education, health, and labour \& employment, in line with Sachar Committee recommendations, were uploaded on the NDB webpage. As suggested by the then Planning Commission and the NITI Aayog, the report recommended locating the Autonomous Assessment and Monitoring Authority in the Ministry of Minority Affairs.

However, upon visiting the National Data Bank web page, it is observed that the information provided, especially regarding education, is outdated, going back to as old as 2009-10. Census tables lack descriptions, and the link for Census 2011 is non-functional as of 21 st February 2023. This raises the question of whether the current form of the Data Bank aligns with the Sachar Committee's recommended vision. The Committee envisioned the NDB facilitating governance monitoring at district and higher levels. However, educational indicators are not presented below the state level, undermining the basic idea behind developing NDB. The little information available on the NDB webpage is incomplete and outdated, and educational data is not found on the website of the Ministry of Minority Affairs either.

Before examining the status of Muslim education in India, it is essential to briefly consider the share of the Muslim population to the total population.


## Muslim \& Total Population: India, 1951 to 2011

Let us examine the religious composition of the population from Census 1951 to 1961 to 2001 to 2011, which indicates changes in all population segments. During the two censuses, the population of Hindus, Muslims, and Christians experienced a decline. After independence in 1951, India's total population was 361 million, which increased to 1,211 million in 2011, showing an absolute increase of 850 million or a 235.46 percent increase in percentage terms over 60 years. In the same period from 1951 to 2011, India's population grew by 3.25 fold, Hindu population increased by 3.18 fold, and Muslim population increased by 4.91 fold. Specifically, the Hindu population increased from 304 million in 1951 to 966 million in 2011, representing a 217.76 percent increase, while the Muslim population increased by 137 million ( 391.43 percent) during the same period.

Regarding the percentage share of the total population of India, Hindus constituted 84.1 percent in 1951, the highest figure from 1951 to 2011 (Table 1). On the other hand, the Muslim population's share of the total population was the lowest at 9.8 percent in 1951 . However, this proportion consistently increased to 10.7 percent in 1961, 12.6 percent in 1991, 13.4 percent in 2001 , and 14.2 percent in the latest Census 2011. The 14.2 percent share of the Muslim population is the highest recorded from 1951 to 2011, whereas the lowest was 9.8 percent in 1951.

Conversely, the share of the Hindu population in the total population has consistently declined from 1961 to 2011. However, in absolute terms, it remains significantly higher than the Muslim population. In 1951, the Hindu-to-Muslim population ratio was 8.69:1, which decreased to 5.62:1 in the latest Census of 2011. Further information about the current share of different population segments will be revealed once the 2021 Census is conducted.


Total 1: Population of India: 1951 to 2011
(In Million)

| Between Census Years | Hindus | \% Hindus <br> to Total <br> Population | Muslims | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Muslims } \\ & \text { to Total } \\ & \text { Population } \end{aligned}$ | All Population (Total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | 304 | 84.1 | 35 | 9.8 | 361 |
| 1961 | 367 | 83.5 | 47 | 10.7 | 439 |
| 1971 | 453 | 82.7 | 61 | 11.2 | 548 |
| 1981 | 562 | 82.3 | 80 | 11.8 | 683 |
| 1991 | 690 | 81.5 | 107 | 12.6 | 846 |
| 2001 | 828 | 80.5 | 138 | 13.4 | 1029 |
| 2011 | 966 | 79.8 | 172 | 14.2 | 1211 |

Source: Economic Survey 2021-22.

## Percentage Increase in Population

The percentage increase in population between the two censuses from 1951 to 2011 is presented in Table 2. It reveals that the pace of population increase has decreased for Hindus, Muslims, Christians, and the total population of India, although the growth remains high across all religious groups. Between the 2001 and 2011 Censuses, the population of India increased by 17.7 percent, compared to 21.5 percent between 1991 and 2001. The growth rate was as high as 24.7 percent between the Censuses of 1971 and 1981.

In the latest Censuses, between 2001 to 2011, the population of Muslims increased by 24.7 percent, which is higher than the increase in the population of Hindus ( 16.7 percent) and Christians ( 15.7 percent) during the same period. However, it is essential to note that the share of Sikhs ( 1.72 percent), Jains ( 0.37 percent), and Buddhists ( 0.70 percent) is relatively low and has declined during the period from 1951 to 2011.

Table 2: Percentage Increase of Population: All India, 1951-61 to 2001-11

| Between Census Years | Hindus | Muslims | Christians | All Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-21* |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-11 | 16.7 | 24.7 | 15.7 | 17.7 |
| 1991-2001 | 19.9 | 29.4 | 22.6 | 21.5 |
| 1981-91 | 22.7 | 32.9 | 17.8 | 23.9 |
| 1971-81 | 24.0 | 30.7 | 17.0 | 24.7 |
| 1961-71 | 23.7 | 30.9 | 33.0 | 24.8 |
| 1951-61 | 20.7 | 32.7 | 29.0 | 21.6 |

*Census 2021 is yet to be conducted.

## Average Annual Growth Rates

Table 2 presented earlier only highlighted the percentage increase between the two Censuses, which did not provide the specific rate at which the population of a particular segment has increased or declined. To address this, the compound Growth Rate formula is used to calculate the rate of change in the population of Hindus, Muslims, and the total population of India between the two Censuses, as well as between the years 1951 to 2011, as shown in Table 4.

Table 3: Percent Share of Population by Religion: 1961 to 2011

| Religious Group | Percentage of Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Census Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 |
| Hindus | 84.10 | 83.45 | 82.73 | 82.30 | 81.53 | 80.46 | 79.80 |
| Muslims | 9.80 | 10.69 | 11.21 | 11.75 | 12.61 | 13.43 | 14.23 |
| Christians | 2.30 | 2.44 | 2.60 | 2.44 | 2.32 | 2.34 | 2.30 |
| Sikhs | 1.79 | 1.79 | 1.89 | 1.92 | 1.94 | 1.87 | 1.72 |
| Buddhist | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.70 |
| Jain | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.37 |

Source: Census of India, different Census years.
The analysis reveals that throughout the Census years, the average annual growth rate of the Muslim population has consistently been higher than the rate of increase in the total population of India. On the other hand, the average annual growth rate of the Hindu population has been lower than the increase in the total population. For example, between 2001 and 2011, the total population of India increased by 17.7 percent. In the same period, the population of Hindus increased by 16.7 percent, and the population of Muslims increased by 24.7 percent.

A brief analysis of the average annual growth rate in the population reveals exciting patterns (Table 4). The highest growth rate in the Muslim population was recorded at 2.99 percent from the 1951 Census to 1961. For Hindus, the highest growth rate was observed at 2.18 percent between the Censuses of 1971 and 1981. In contrast, the lowest average growth rates were observed for both Hindus and Muslims at 1.55 percent and 2.23 percent, respectively, from 2001 to 2011. Notably, these rates are the lowest observed throughout the Census (1951 to 2011). The total population also experienced its lowest-ever growth rate at 1.64 percent from 2001 to 2011.


Table 4: Average Annual Growth Rate (\%) between two Censuses

$$
1951 \text { to 2011, All India }
$$

| Period | Hindus | Muslims | Total Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 to 1961 | 1.90 | 2.99 | 1.98 |
| 1961 to 1971 | 2.13 | 2.64 | 2.24 |
| 1971 to 1981 | 2.18 | 2.75 | 2.23 |
| 1981 to 1991 | 1.84 | 2.95 | 2.16 |
| 1991 to 2001 | 1.55 | 2.23 | 1.98 |
| 2001 to 2011 | 1.95 | 2.69 | 1.64 |
| 1951 to 2011 |  | 2.04 |  |

Source: Computed based on the Census of India, different census years.
Additionally, the average annual growth rate of the population was computed for the entire period from the first Census in 1951 to the latest Census in 2011. During this period, the population of Hindus increased at an average annual growth rate of 1.95 percent per annum, while the Muslim population increased at a higher rate of 2.69 percent per annum. The overall total population of India increased at an average annual growth rate of 2.04 percent per annum during the same period.

Without data on the projected Muslim population, it becomes challenging to compute the enrolment ratio, which indicates the participation of the child population in educational programs. In the absence of such data, the Muslim share of the total population from the latest 2011 Census can be compared with the Muslim share of enrolment at different levels of education. To gain a comprehensive understanding, it is essential to analyze the share of Muslims in both the population and enrolment at all-India and State \&

UT levels. For this purpose, Table 5 presents the State-specific share of the Muslim population to the total population of each state based on the latest 2011 Census.

## State-wise Share of Muslim Population: 2011 Census

The state-wise distribution of the total Muslim population in India in 2011 reveals significant variations. Among the 35 States \& UTs, 10 had a negligible Muslim population. In 17 states, Muslims constituted a minority, while in two states, Jammu \& Kashmir (68.31 percent, undivided) and Lakshadweep (96.58 percent), they had a majority status. However, the states of Assam, Bihar, Kerala, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal accounted for more than 58 percent of India's total 172.25 million Muslim population in 2011 (Table 5). This concentration of Muslims in these five states is noteworthy, as every 6th out of 10 Muslims in India were located there, signifying their significance in the education of the Muslim community in the country.

Furthermore, 12 states had a Muslim population share above 10 percent in 2011. Some of these states had relatively high shares, such as 12.86 percent in Delhi, 14.53 percent in Jharkhand, 11.54 percent in Maharashtra, and 13.95 percent in Uttarakhand.


While reviewing the educational status of Muslims at different levels of school education at the all-India level, all States \& UTs of the country are considered, regardless of the share of the Muslim population in each state. However, the educational status is compared with the Muslim population's share in the 2011 Census. The share of the Muslim population in the bifurcated States/UTs, if any, has been considered as that of the undivided State/UT.

Table 5: State-wise Share of Muslims to Total Population of India: 2011 Census

| Sl. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | State/UT

Source: Census of India, 2011.

## Muslim Enrolment: School Education Level

## Total I-XII Enrolment

Table 6 presents the gender-specific Muslim enrolment and total enrolment at the school education level (Grades I to XII) from 2012-13 to 2021-22, indicating an increase in both but with erratic patterns during this period. The total Muslim enrolment in Grades I to XII reached 36.59 million, the highest recorded between 2013-14 and 2021-22, and the lowest was 31.56 million in 2012-13, which incidentally was during the first year of the unification of DISE \& SEMIS, i.e., UDISE.


Between 2020-21 and 2021-22, Muslim enrolment increased from 36.20 million to 36.59 million, showing an increase of 0.39 million, 1.08 percent of the previous year's enrolment. In the same period, the total enrolment in Grades I to XII at the all-India level increased by 0.19 million, 0.52 percent of the total enrolment in the previous year. Notably, Muslim enrolment has consistently increased from 31.56 million in 2012-13 to 33.31 million in 2016-17, further to 35.00 million in 2019-20, 36.20 million in 2020-21, and 36.59 million in 2021-22. However, the same pattern is not valid for total enrolment.

It was mentioned above that the lowest total enrolment at the all-India level was 254.28 million in 201213, while the highest was 260.60 million in 2015-16, followed by a decline to 251.34 million in the subsequent year. In contrast, Muslim enrolment increased in 2016-17 from its previous level. The 201819 saw the lowest total enrolment in Grades I to XII at 248.34 million, which increased from 2019-20 to 2021-22. Additionally, it is observed that Muslim enrolment is less erratic than total enrolment, although this pattern is not consistent for all levels, particularly at the primary level of education.

## Primary Enrolment (Grades I-V)

The analysis of the data from 2012-13 to 2021-22, as presented in Tables 6 \& 7, indicates that enrolment at the primary level (Grades I to V) is highly erratic for both Muslim and total enrolment. In the current year, 2021-22, there was a decline in the enrolment of Muslim girls in primary classes, from 93,11,571 in the previous year (2020-21) to $92,65,242$, representing a decrease of 46,329 students or 0.50 percent lower than the previous year. The total Muslim enrolment in primary classes declined to $1,90,34,508$ in 2021-22 from 1,90,80,435 in 2020-21 (45,927, 0.24 percent). The year 2018-19 had the lowest enrolment of Muslim girls $(85,85,999)$ between 2012-13 and 2021-22, while the total Muslim enrolment in primary classes was the highest in 2012-13 $(1,91,39,710)$ and the lowest in 2018-19 $(1,75,49,529)$.

Table 6: Muslim Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22 (All India)

| Year | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Hr Secondary | Total: Grades I to XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 19034508 | 9625725 | 4856833 | 3074802 | 36591868 |
| 2020-21 | 19080435 | 9388548 | 4916987 | 2816708 | 36202678 |
| 2019-20 | 18721016 | 8995675 | 4718699 | 2566182 | 35001572 |
| 2018-19 | 17549529 | 8377267 | 4404320 | 2258995 | 32590111 |
| 2017-18 | 17984048 | 8580862 | 4321991 | 2233815 | 33120716 |
| 2016-17 | 18554694 | 8594047 | 4199948 | 1958374 | 33307063 |
| 2015-16 | 18631695 | 8515253 | 4008147 | 1990990 | 33146085 |
| 2014-15 | 18758305 | 8465583 | 3837894 | 1959375 | 33021157 |
| 2013-14 | 18988724 | 8325366 | 3680773 | 1844794 | 32839657 |
| 2012-13 | 19139710 | 7863433 | 3135465 | 1422171 | 31560779 |
| Year | Total Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22 (All India) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Hr Secondary | Total: Grades I to XII |
| 2021-22 | 121842250 | 66790692 | 38528631 | 28579050 | 255740623 |
| 2020-21 | 122021291 | 65854199 | 39006375 | 26922596 | 253804461 |
| 2019-20 | 121686703 | 64873387 | 38464433 | 25947160 | 250971683 |
| 2018-19 | 120230170 | 64267026 | 38334571 | 25506817 | 248338584 |
| 2017-18 | 122378400 | 65448222 | 38480023 | 24682548 | 250989193 |
| 2016-17 | 123807892 | 66079123 | 38823854 | 22625448 | 251336317 |
| 2015-16 | 129122784 | 67593727 | 39145052 | 24735397 | 260596960 |
| 2014-15 | 130501135 | 67165774 | 38301599 | 23501798 | 259470306 |
| 2013-14 | 132428440 | 66471219 | 37296683 | 22314314 | 258510656 |
| 2012-13 | 134784560 | 64926683 | 34640103 | 19923782 | 254275128 |

Source: UDISE data, different years.

At the upper primary level (Grades VI to VIII), the data for 2021-22 shows that Muslim enrollment stands at $96,25,725$ out of a total enrollment of $667,90,692$, making Muslim students approximately 14.42 percent of the total enrollment at this level, equivalent to the Muslim share in the total population of India in 2011. Out of the total Muslim enrollment at the upper primary level, 48,32,423 are girls, accounting for approximately 50.20 percent of the total enrollment at this level.

At the secondary level (Grades IX to X), the data for 2021-22 reveals a total Muslim enrollment of $48,56,833$ students, out of which $24,72,842$ are Muslim girls, representing approximately 50.91 percent of the total enrollment at the secondary level. Consequently, Muslim students comprise approximately 12.62 percent of the total enrollment at the secondary level.

Analyzing the enrolment at the higher secondary level (Grades XI to XII), the data for 2021-22 indicates that Muslim enrollment stands at 30,74,802 out of a total enrollment of 285,79,050, implying that Muslim students comprise approximately 10.76 percent of the total enrollment at the higher secondary level. Further analysis reveals that girls' enrollment makes up approximately 5.64 percent of the total enrollment at the higher secondary level.

Overall, the data for 2021-22 shows varying proportions of Muslim students at different levels of education. They make up around 14.42 percent of the total enrollment at the upper primary level, which slightly decreases to approximately 12.62 percent at the secondary level and further declines to approximately 10.76 percent at the higher secondary level. As we move from one level to another, the decrease in the Muslim share highlights the need for continuous efforts to encourage and facilitate their participation in education at all levels.

Table 7: Total Muslim Girls Enrolment: 2012-13 to 2021-22 (All India)

| Year | Primary (I-V) | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) | Secondary (IX-X) | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | Total Girls Enrolment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 9265242 | 4832423 | 2472842 | 1611841 | 18182348 |
| 2020-21 | 9311571 | 4749080 | 2539899 | 1468825 | 18069375 |
| 2019-20 | 9148318 | 4572261 | 2444958 | 1346007 | 17511544 |
| 2018-19 | 8585999 | 4264085 | 2278993 | 1178292 | 16307369 |
| 2017-18 | 8795011 | 4379057 | 2229605 | 1160564 | 16564237 |
| 2016-17 | 9090346 | 4411010 | 2181132 | 1017289 | 16699777 |
| 2015-16 | 9139234 | 4372472 | 2088037 | 1028440 | 16628183 |
| 2014-15 | 9196234 | 4358513 | 1985850 | 996308 | 16536905 |
| 2013-14 | 9327267 | 4277718 | 1884856 | 926892 | 16416733 |
| 2012-13 | 9420693 | 4048353 | 1597250 | 706008 | 15772304 |

Source: UDISE data, different years.

## \% Share of Muslims in Total Enrolment

Table 8 presents the share of Muslim enrolment in the total enrolment (Grades I to XII) from 2012-13 to 2021-22, revealing exciting trends. Initially, the share of Muslim enrolment was slightly lower than their share in the population, but it gradually picked up over the years. In the latest year, 2021-22, it was at par with their share in the population, which was 14.23 percent in the 2011 Census.

Between 2012-13 and 2021-22, the share of Muslims in the total enrolment fluctuated, with the lowest share being 12.70 percent in 2012-13 and the highest share being 14.31 percent in 2021-22, which indicates that Muslim enrolment in school education has been relatively proportional to their share in the population during this period. However, it is essential to note that having a proportionate share in total enrolment does not necessarily guarantee equitable representation at different levels of school education for Muslims in the relevant age groups ( 6 to 11,11 to 13,14 to 15 , and 16 to 17 years). To analyze this further, information on the actual or official child population is required, which is currently unavailable. Even the share of Muslims in the total population in 2011 is outdated and may have changed by now.


Therefore, it cannot be definitively stated that the Muslim share in total school enrolment is perfectly aligned with their share in the total population without updated data on child population and enrolment. To gain a comprehensive understanding, examining the share of Muslims in total enrolment at different education levels is crucial, considering gender-specific data for boys and girls, which will be analyzed in Table 9.

The data presented in Table 9 indicates that, except for the primary and upper primary levels, the share of Muslim boys and girls enrolment in secondary and higher secondary levels is not aligned with their share in the total population (14.23 percent). Particularly at the higher secondary level, the share of Muslim
boys' enrolment is as low as 9.92 percent, and girls' enrolment is 11.65 percent, both significantly below their share in the population.

A positive trend observed across all levels of school education is that the share of girls' enrolment is consistently higher than that of boys, as seen from the data analyzed between 2012-13 to 2021-22. However, due to the non-availability of official projections for the Muslim child population, it is not possible to calculate the enrolment ratio, which would provide a more accurate representation of their participation in education.

Moving on to the state-specific share of Muslim minority to total enrolment (Table 10), it can be observed that in most states, Muslim enrolment is higher than their share in the total population. However, this is not the case for secondary and higher secondary levels of education. In ten states, the share of Muslim enrolment at the primary level is higher than the all-India level ( 15.6 percent). Notably, Jammu \& Kashmir, which has a significant Muslim population ( 68.3 percent), has a lower percentage of Muslim enrolment at the primary level ( 64.7 percent), consistent across all levels of education in 2021-22. However, other Muslim-majority states, such as Assam, Lakshadweep, Maharashtra, and West Bengal, have higher enrolment shares than their population share at the primary level. On the other hand, populous states like Bihar and Uttar Pradesh have lower enrolment shares than their share in the population at all levels of education in 2021-22.

Regarding secondary and higher secondary levels, about nine states have a higher share of Muslim enrolment than at the all-India level. However, as many as 21 states have a lower share of enrolment at the secondary and 27 states at the higher secondary level than their share in the total state population in 2021-22, which indicates a potential issue of dropouts or a lack of transition to higher levels of education for Muslim children.

Table 8: Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Hr Secondary | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 15.62 | 14.41 | 12.61 | 10.76 | 14.31 |
| 2020-21 | 15.64 | 14.26 | 12.61 | 10.46 | 14.26 |
| 2019-20 | 15.38 | 13.87 | 12.27 | 9.89 | 13.95 |
| 2018-19 | 14.60 | 13.04 | 11.49 | 8.86 | 13.12 |
| 2017-18 | 14.70 | 13.11 | 11.23 | 9.05 | 13.20 |
| 2016-17 | 14.99 | 13.01 | 10.82 | 8.66 | 13.25 |
| 2015-16 | 14.43 | 12.60 | 10.24 | 8.05 | 12.72 |
| 2014-15 | 14.37 | 12.60 | 10.02 | 8.34 | 12.73 |
| 2013-14 | 14.34 | 12.52 | 9.87 | 8.27 | 12.70 |

Percent share of Muslim population to the total population of India, 2011 Census $=14.23 \%$
Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.

Improving the efficiency of the education system is crucial to encourage more Muslim students to continue their education beyond the elementary level. Addressing this challenge will ensure equitable access to education for Muslim students at all levels, including secondary and higher secondary education, for both boys and girls.

## Share of Muslims Enrolment to the Total Enrolment

As the state-specific data in Table 10 highlights, the percentage share of Muslims in the total population varies significantly across different States \& Union Territories in India. There is a wide range of variation, from a low of 1.35 percent in Mizoram to a high of 96.58 percent in Lakshadweep, with the national average being 14.23 percent.


Table 9: Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment, Boys \& Girls 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary <br> Level (I-V) |  | Upper Primary <br> Level (VI-VIII) |  | Secondary <br> Level (IX-X) |  | Higher Secondary Level (XI-XII) |  | Total Enrolment (I-XII) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys |
| 2021-22 | 15.89 | 15.38 | 14.97 | 13.89 | 13.40 | 11.88 | 11.65 | 9.92 | 14.80 | 13.86 |
| 2020-21 | 15.92 | 15.38 | 14.89 | 13.66 | 13.64 | 11.66 | 11.24 | 9.73 | 14.80 | 13.77 |
| 2019-20 | 15.66 | 15.13 | 14.54 | 13.23 | 13.29 | 11.33 | 10.67 | 9.15 | 14.49 | 13.45 |
| 2018-19 | 14.87 | 14.34 | 13.71 | 12.40 | 12.43 | 10.62 | 9.56 | 8.20 | 13.65 | 12.64 |
| 2017-18 | 14.96 | 14.45 | 13.80 | 12.46 | 12.18 | 10.37 | 9.84 | 8.33 | 13.73 | 12.70 |
| 2016-17 | 15.26 | 14.73 | 13.75 | 12.30 | 11.83 | 9.91 | 9.47 | 7.92 | 13.82 | 12.72 |
| 2015-16 | 14.68 | 14.19 | 13.30 | 11.93 | 11.23 | 9.34 | 8.77 | 7.40 | 13.25 | 12.22 |
| 2014-15 | 14.62 | 14.14 | 13.34 | 11.90 | 10.92 | 9.20 | 9.01 | 7.74 | 13.25 | 12.24 |
| 2013-14 | 14.61 | 14.09 | 13.23 | 11.86 | 10.69 | 9.14 | 8.84 | 7.76 | 13.21 | 12.24 |
| 2012-13 | 14.45 | 13.96 | 12.78 | 11.47 | 9.79 | 8.40 | 7.62 | 6.72 | 12.88 | 11.98 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.

Interestingly, some states with a lower percentage share of Muslims in the total population, such as Assam and Kerala, have a higher share of Muslim enrolment in the primary to higher secondary education (Grades 1-12) than the national average, which suggests that in these states, a relatively higher proportion of Muslim children are enrolled in schools than their population

Table 10: State-specific Share of Mulsim Minority Enrolment to Total Enrolment at Different Levels of Education: 2021-22

| State/ UT | Percentage Share of Muslim to Total Population, 2011 Census | Primary <br> (Grades 1 to 5) | Upper Primary (Grades 6 to 8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { (Grades } 1 \text { to 8) } \end{aligned}$ | Secondary (Grades 9-10) | Higher Secondary (Grades 11-12) | Primary to Higher Secondary (Grades 1-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 8.52 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 9.0 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 9.56 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 7.1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1.95 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Assam | 34.22 | 43.8 | 40.6 | 42.7 | 33.4 | 25.1 | 40.1 |
| Bihar | 16.87 | 15.3 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 14.3 |
| Chandigarh | 4.87 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 5.3 |
| Chhattisgarh | 2.02 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 3.76 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 5.4 |
| Daman and Diu | 7.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delhi | 12.86 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 15.4 |
| Goa | 8.33 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 11.6 |
| Gujarat | 9.67 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 8.9 |
| Haryana | 7.03 | 10.2 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 7.8 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 2.18 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| J \& K | 68.31 | 64.7 | 61.7 | 63.7 | 60.8 | 60.5 | 62.9 |
| Jharkhand | 14.53 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 13.6 |
| Karnataka | 12.92 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 16.4 | 15.1 | 10.3 | 15.6 |
| Kerala | 26.56 | 38.3 | 36.6 | 37.7 | 35.6 | 29.3 | 36.1 |
| Ladakh | - | 55.2 | 54.0 | 54.8 | 57.2 | 62.2 | 56.2 |
| Lakshadweep | 96.58 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 6.57 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.1 |
| Maharashtra | 11.54 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 13.0 |
| Manipur | 8.40 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 9.3 |
| Meghalaya | 4.40 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 |
| Mizoram | 1.35 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Nagaland | 2.47 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 5.3 |
| Odisha | 2.17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Puducherry | 6.05 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 8.0 |
| Punjab | 1.93 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Rajasthan | 9.07 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 8.3 |
| Sikkim | 1.62 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5.86 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 7.0 |
| Telangana | - | 15.3 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 |
| Tripura | 8.60 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 6.9 | 9.9 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 19.26 | 17.3 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 12.6 | 11.4 | 15.5 |
| Uttarakhand | 13.95 | 18.0 | 13.6 | 16.5 | 9.4 | 7.2 | 14.1 |
| West Bengal | 27.01 | 33.7 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 28.1 | 27.3 | 32.0 |
| India | 14.23 | 15.6 | 14.4 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 14.3 |

Source: UDISE+ 2021-22.
share. On the other hand, certain states with a higher percentage share of Muslims in the total population, such as Jammu \& Kashmir and West Bengal, have a lower share of Muslim enrolment in primary to higher secondary education than the national average, thus indicating a disparity in educational participation among Muslim students in these states.

A significant trend observed across all States \& Union Territories is that the percentage of Muslim children enrolled in higher secondary education (Grades 11-12) is lower than in all other levels of education, which may indicate a potential drop-off in enrolment at this level. Efforts should be made to address this issue and ensure higher secondary education opportunities for Muslim students. Furthermore, the data shows that the percentage of Muslim children enrolled in primary to higher secondary education is generally higher than those enrolled in secondary education (Grades 9-10), which suggests that many Muslim children are enrolled in primary education but do not continue their education beyond that level, leading to a decline in enrolment at higher levels.

The percentage of Muslim students enrolled in education from primary to higher secondary level also varies significantly across states. For example, in Lakshadweep, almost all Muslim students ( 99.7 percent) are enrolled in education from primary to higher secondary levels, while in Bihar, this percentage is only 14.3 percent, thus indicating disparities in access to education across different states. Similarly, the percentage of Muslim students enrolled in secondary education (Grades 9-10) also varies across states. For instance, 33.4 percent of Muslim students in Assam are enrolled in secondary classes, while in Lakshadweep, almost all Muslim students ( 99.7 percent) are enrolled in secondary education.

Overall, the data underscores the importance of ensuring equitable access to education for all communities, including the Muslim community, regardless of their percentage share in the total population. The disparities in enrolment and educational achievement among different communities in India need to be carefully examined and addressed to promote inclusive and quality education for all. Efforts should be made to understand the factors contributing to these disparities and develop targeted policies and programs to bridge the gaps and promote equal educational opportunities for every child in the country.

## Share of Muslim Enrolment by Gender to Total Enrolment by Gender

Next, we analyze the share of Muslim enrolment by gender at the primary, upper primary, secondary, and higher secondary levels to the total school enrolment presented in Table 11.

## Share of Muslim Enrolemnt to Total Muslim Enrolment

The data presented in Table 11 reveals that the share of Muslim enrolment at the primary, upper primary, secondary, and higher secondary levels to the total Muslim enrolment (Grades I to XII) from 2012-13 to 2021-22 indicates some significant trends.

The primary level consistently has the highest share, accounting for more than 50 percent of total enrolment throughout the analyzed period. However, it is essential to note that the primary level's share has declined
over the years, reaching its highest at 60.64 percent in 2012-13 and its lowest at 52.02 percent in 2021-22. The second-highest share is observed at the upper primary level, which has remained higher than other levels throughout the period. In 2021-22, the upper primary level's share stood at 26.31 percent.

In contrast, the shares of secondary education (Grades IX to X ) and higher secondary education (Grades XI to XII) are much lower, at 13.27 percent and 8.40 percent, respectively; this suggests that not all students who enter the system progress to higher levels of education, indicating potential inefficiencies within the education system. Furthermore, the declining trend in the share of enrolment as we move from primary to higher levels of education also indicates inefficiencies in retention and transition within the education system.

The data highlights that many Muslim students are enrolled at the primary level. However, there are challenges in retaining and transitioning them to higher levels of education. These trends underscore the need to improve the efficiency and inclusivity of the education system, ensuring that all students, including Muslim students, have equal opportunities to access and progress in education at all levels. Efforts should be made to address the barriers that hinder students' continuation and ensure equitable access to quality education, promoting a more inclusive and effective education system in India.

Table 11: Percent Share of Muslim Enrolment at Different Levels of Education 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Hr Secondary | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 52.02 | 26.31 | 13.27 | 8.40 | 100.00 |
| 2020-21 | 52.70 | 25.93 | 13.58 | 7.78 | 100.00 |
| 2019-20 | 53.49 | 25.70 | 13.48 | 7.33 | 100.00 |
| 2018-19 | 53.85 | 25.70 | 13.51 | 6.93 | 100.00 |
| 2017-18 | 54.30 | 25.91 | 13.05 | 6.74 | 100.00 |
| 2016-17 | 55.71 | 25.80 | 12.61 | 5.88 | 100.00 |
| 2015-16 | 56.21 | 25.69 | 12.09 | 6.01 | 100.00 |
| 2014-15 | 56.81 | 25.64 | 11.62 | 5.93 | 100.00 |
| 2013-14 | 57.82 | 25.35 | 11.21 | 5.62 | 100.00 |
| 2012-13 | 60.64 | 24.92 | 9.93 | 4.51 | 100.00 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.

## Share of Girls Enrolment (\%) at Different Levels of Education

Further, we have also examined the share of Muslim girls' enrolment at different levels of education to total Muslim girls' enrolment. The same is presented in Table 12, which reveals that in the latest year 2021-22, more than half ( 50.96 percent) of the total Muslim girls have enrolled alone in the primary classes, followed by 26.58 percent in upper primary, 13.60 percent in secondary and only 8.86 percent in the higher secondary classes. Incidentally, in 2012-13, the share of girls' enrolment in primary classes was almost 60 percent, but it slid each year.

| Share of Muslim Girls Enrolment |
| :--- |
| Table 12 shows the percent share of girl's enrolment to total enrolment in |
| different levels of education in India from 2012-13 to 2021-22. At the primary |
| level, the share of girl's enrolment to total enrolment has decreased from 60.64 |
| percent in 2012-13 to 52.02 percent in 2021-22. Similarly, at the upper primary |
| level, it has decreased from 24.92 percent in 2012-13 to 26.31 percent in |
| 2021-22. On the other hand, the share of girl's enrolment to total enrolment at the |
| secondary level has increased from 9.93 percent in 2012-13 to 13.27 percent in 2021-22, |
| and at the higher secondary level, it has increased from 4.51 percent in 2012-13 to 8.40 |
| percent in 2021-22. Overall, the trend in girl's enrolment to total enrolment suggests that |
| while e here has been a decline in the share of girl's enrolment at the primary and upper |
| primary levels, there has been an increase at the secondary and higher secondary levels. |
| However, it is important to note that there is still a significant gender gap in enrolment at |
| all levels, especially at the primary and upper primary levels. This highlights the need for |
| policies and interventions to address this issue and promote gender parity in education |

In 2021-22, out of 100 Muslim girls enrolled, 51 were in primary classes, 27 in upper primary, 14 in secondary, and only 9 out of every 100 girls were enrolled in higher secondary grades. As we move from primary to higher secondary, the percentage of girls enrolled declines, with the higher secondary level having the lowest enrolment at just 8.40 percent. This trend suggests that many girls who enter the education system do not complete each level and transition to the next level, indicating inefficiency. A similar trend is also observed in the case of Muslim boys' enrolment, which highlights the need to address the factors that lead to dropouts and lack of continuity in education for both boys and girls at different levels. Promoting retention and completion of each educational level is crucial to ensure a more efficient and inclusive education system. Next, we look at the Muslim enrolment by gender, presented in Tables 11 \& 12 .

Table 12: Share of Girls Enrolment (\%) at Different Levels of Education to Total Girls Enrolment (Grades I to XII)

| Year | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Higher Secondary | Total Enrolment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 50.96 | 26.58 | 13.60 | 8.86 | 18182348 |
| 2020-21 | 51.53 | 26.28 | 14.06 | 8.13 | 18069375 |
| 2019-20 | 52.24 | 26.11 | 13.96 | 7.69 | 17511544 |
| 2018-19 | 52.65 | 26.15 | 13.98 | 7.23 | 16307369 |
| 2017-18 | 53.10 | 26.44 | 13.46 | 7.01 | 16564237 |
| 2016-17 | 54.43 | 26.41 | 13.06 | 6.09 | 16699777 |
| 2015-16 | 54.96 | 26.30 | 12.56 | 6.18 | 16628183 |
| 2014-15 | 55.61 | 26.36 | 12.01 | 6.02 | 16536905 |
| 2013-14 | 56.82 | 26.06 | 11.48 | 5.65 | 16416733 |
| 2012-13 | 59.73 | 25.67 | 10.13 | 4.48 | 15772304 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.


## Share of Girls in Muslim Enrolment \& GPI

Tables 13, 14, and 15 present the share of girls to total Muslim enrolment and the Gender Parity Index (GPI) at different levels of education from 2012-13 to 2021-22. The data reveals that except for the primary level of education, more girls are enrolled than boys at all other levels, and this trend is consistent throughout the period under consideration.

However, at the primary level, the share of Muslim girls is lower than boys, evident for the entire period from 2012-13 to 2021-22, as reflected in the GPI. In the current year, 2021-22, the share of girls to total Muslim enrolment is 48.68 percent, while it was as high as 49.12 percent in the initial year, i.e., 2012-13. Conversely, the share of Muslim boys in primary classes was 51.32 percent in 2012-13. The GPI at this level was also observed at its highest at 0.97 in 2012-13, but after that, it declined to 0.95 (Table 15).

The trend of higher enrolment of girls compared to boys at the upper primary, secondary, and higher secondary levels indicates progress in promoting girls' education. However, the lower enrolment of girls at the primary level and the declining GPI suggest that there is still room for improvement in achieving gender parity in primary education for the Muslim community. Efforts should be made to address the factors contributing to this disparity and ensure equal access and opportunities for girls' education at all levels. By promoting gender parity in education, India can build a more inclusive and equitable society for all its citizens.

It is encouraging to see that the share of Muslim girls at all levels above the primary level is higher than boys, and the corresponding Gender Parity Index (GPI) is above 1; this indicates that more girls are enrolled
in upper primary, secondary, \& higher secondary levels of education compared to their male counterparts. The higher GPI of Muslim enrolment, irrespective of the level, compared to the total enrolment at the allIndia level (Table 15) suggests that efforts to promote girls' education within the Muslim community have yielded positive results.

Table 13: Percentage of Girls at Different Levels of Education to Total Muslim Enrolment, All India: 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) | Secondary (IXX) | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 48.68 | 50.20 | 50.91 | 52.42 | 49.69 |
| 2020-21 | 48.80 | 50.58 | 51.66 | 52.15 | 49.91 |
| 2019-20 | 48.87 | 50.83 | 51.81 | 52.45 | 50.03 |
| 2018-19 | 48.92 | 50.90 | 51.74 | 52.16 | 50.04 |
| 2017-18 | 48.90 | 51.03 | 51.59 | 51.95 | 50.01 |
| 2016-17 | 48.99 | 51.33 | 51.93 | 51.95 | 50.14 |
| 2015-16 | 49.05 | 51.35 | 52.09 | 51.65 | 50.17 |
| 2014-15 | 49.02 | 51.49 | 51.74 | 50.85 | 50.08 |
| 2013-14 | 49.12 | 51.38 | 51.21 | 50.24 | 49.99 |
| 2012-13 | 49.22 | 51.48 | 50.94 | 49.64 | 49.97 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.
However, the data also reveals two critical points. Firstly, not all girls are currently enrolled in primary grades, indicating that some girls may not be entering the education system or dropping out before completing the primary level of education; this highlights the need for targeted interventions and initiatives to ensure all girls have access to and complete primary education.

Secondly, the data indicates that if Muslim girls enroll in the education system, they are more likely to retain and progress from primary to upper primary and subsequent levels; this contrasts with boys, where even though more are enrolled in primary grades, their retention and transition to higher levels of education are not as consistent. This suggests that specific attention should also be given to addressing the barriers preventing boys from continuing their education beyond the primary level.

While the current data provides valuable insights, a more comprehensive understanding of participation rates can be obtained through the enrolment ratio, which, unfortunately, is not available from official sources. Improving data collection and reporting will be essential to track progress accurately and design targeted interventions to further enhance education access and retention for both boys and girls, especially at the primary level. By ensuring equal opportunities for education for all children, regardless of gender,

India can make significant strides toward achieving a more equitable and inclusive society.
Table 14: Percentage of Boys at Different Levels of Education to Total Muslim Enrolment, All India: 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) | Secondary (IXX) | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (I-XII) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 51.32 | 49.80 | 49.09 | 47.58 | 50.31 |
| 2020-21 | 51.20 | 49.42 | 48.34 | 47.85 | 50.09 |
| 2019-20 | 51.13 | 49.17 | 48.19 | 47.55 | 49.97 |
| 2018-19 | 51.08 | 49.10 | 48.26 | 47.84 | 49.96 |
| 2017-18 | 51.10 | 48.97 | 48.41 | 48.05 | 49.99 |
| 2016-17 | 51.01 | 48.68 | 48.07 | 48.05 | 49.86 |
| 2015-16 | 50.95 | 48.65 | 47.91 | 48.35 | 49.83 |
| 2014-15 | 50.98 | 48.51 | 48.26 | 49.15 | 49.92 |
| 2013-14 | 50.88 | 48.62 | 48.79 | 49.76 | 50.01 |
| 2012-13 | 50.78 | 48.52 | 49.06 | 50.36 | 50.03 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.


## Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio

## a) Muslim Child Population

In the absence of the 2021 Census and the lack of age-specific Muslim enrolment data, the estimation of the Muslim child population and subsequent calculation of the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) at different levels of school education becomes challenging. The article attempts to address this gap by using the total
clientele population in specific age groups ( 6 to 11,11 to 13,14 to 15 , and 16 to 17 years) as provided in the UDISE+ Booklet: Flash Statistics for the period from 2018-19 to 2021-22. The assumption is that the share of Muslims in the total population of India, as reported in the 2011 Census ( 14.23 percent), remains constant.

With this approach, the estimated Muslim child population is derived at the all-India level from 2018-19 to 2021-22 and the state level for 2021-22. The state-specific share of Muslims in the total population of 2011 is applied to the projected total child population in different age groups to estimate the Muslim child population at the state level.

Table 15: Gender Parity Index: Muslim Enrolment at Different Levels of Education

| Year | Primary (I-V) | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) | Secondary (IX-X) | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (I-XII) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 0.99 |
| 2020-21 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.00 |
| 2019-20 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.08 | 1.10 | 1.00 |
| 2018-19 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.00 |
| 2017-18 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| 2016-17 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.01 |
| 2015-16 | 0.96 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.01 |
| 2014-15 | 0.96 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| 2013-14 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| 2012-13 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.00 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.
Table 15(A): Gender Parity Index: Total Enrolment at Different Levels of Education All India

| Year | Primary $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ | Upper Primary (VIVIII) | Secondary (IX-X) | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (I-XII) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| 2020-21 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| 2019-20 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.93 |
| 2018-19 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| 2017-18 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.93 |
| 2016-17 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 |
| 2015-16 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.93 |
| 2014-15 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.93 |
| 2013-14 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.93 |
| 2012-13 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.93 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years. Repeaters have been considered, which are subtracted from enrolment in a Grade.

Based on these estimations, the article computes the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) of Muslim children at all levels of school education. The article emphasizes that this attempt to calculate the Muslim GER is the first of its kind recently. It highlights the need for official and accurate data on the Muslim population and their enrolment. The Ministry of Education must approach the Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare to include the Muslim population and refer it to the Expert Committee on Population Projections for future planning. Additionally, the Ministry of Education should consider including the collection of Muslim enrolment by age as part of its annual data collection to facilitate the computation of Net and Age-specific enrolment ratios.


The article discusses the Muslim GER at different levels of school education at the all-India level from 2018-19 to 2021-22 and the state level for the latest year, 2021-22. This data will provide valuable insights into the enrolment patterns of Muslim children and aid in formulating targeted policies and interventions to ensure equitable access to education for all communities, including the Muslim community.

## b) Muslim Enrolment Ratio (Overall)

The Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) data presented in Tables 16 to 19 at the all-India level provides valuable insights into the participation of Muslim children in school education. The analysis reveals the following key findings:

1. Better Participation at Elementary Levels: At the primary, upper primary, and combined elementary levels of education, the participation of Muslim children is better than that of all children at the allIndia level. This trend holds for both boys and girls. It is heartening to observe that Muslim girls' participation at all levels of school education in terms of GER in 2021-22 is also much better than their counterparts, boys.
2. Lower Participation at Secondary and Higher Secondary Levels: The participation of Muslim children at the secondary and higher secondary levels of education is much lower than at the all-India level, indicating that many of them do not continue and transit to the secondary level of education. The GER at these levels is lower, which suggests the need for targeted interventions to improve access and retention.

3. Significant Gender Gap: While overall participation of Muslim children in school education (Grades I-XII) is about 90 percent, girls are better placed than boys, and the difference in participation between the two is significant. The GER for Muslim girls is higher than that for Muslim boys at all levels of education.
4. Need for Focused Efforts: Despite the overall improvement in the participation of Muslim children from 2018-19 to 2021-22, there is still a need to bring the remaining children under the education umbrella, retain them, and ensure smooth transitions from one level to another; this is essential to achieve the goal of universal school education.


In conclusion, the GER highlights both positive and concerning trends in the participation of Muslim children in school education in India. While their participation at the elementary level is better than the national average, there are significant challenges in ensuring their participation and retention at the secondary and higher secondary levels. Addressing these challenges will require targeted efforts and interventions to promote equitable access to education for all children, regardless of their religious background or gender.


Table 16: Muslim GER, All India: 2018-19 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary (I-V) |  |  | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) |  |  | Elementary (I-VIII) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021-22 | 117.06 | 110.41 | 113.55 | 99.88 | 92.22 | 95.91 | 110.54 | 103.68 | 106.95 |
| 2020-21 | 116.90 | 110.50 | 113.53 | 97.09 | 88.00 | 92.37 | 109.36 | 102.09 | 105.56 |
| 2019-20 | 114.12 | 108.38 | 111.11 | 92.47 | 82.73 | 87.41 | 105.86 | 98.71 | 102.12 |

Note: Projected population of Muslims in different age groups has been obtained based on Expert Committee Projections (Total) by assuming that the 2011 Census share of 14.23 percent of Muslims to the Total population is still valid in 2021.

Table 17: Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary \& Higher Secondary Levels All India: 2018-19 to 2021-22

| Year | Secondary (IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) |  |  | Total (I-XII) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021-22 | 74.78 | 66.56 | 70.51 | 47.71 | 39.71 | 43.54 | 93.54 | 86.38 | 89.80 |
| 2020-21 | 76.18 | 65.63 | 70.69 | 43.18 | 36.25 | 39.56 | 92.22 | 84.52 | 88.20 |
| 2019-20 | 72.73 | 62.09 | 67.18 | 39.30 | 32.50 | 35.75 | 88.68 | 80.99 | 84.66 |
| 2018-19 | 67.25 | 57.41 | 62.11 | 34.17 | 28.52 | 31.21 | 81.94 | 74.91 | 78.27 |

Note: Projected population of Muslims in different age groups has been obtained based on Expert Committee Projections (Total) by assuming that the 2011 Census share of 14.23 percent of the Muslim to Total population is still valid in 2021.

## Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary \& Higher Secondary Levels 2021-22

The data shows an improvement in the overall GER for Muslim students at both levels over the four-year period from 2018-19 to 2021-22. However, there is a notable gender gap in enrolment, with the GER for girls being lower than that for boys at both secondary and higher secondary levels.
Key findings are as follows:
Overall Improvement in GER: The overall GER for Muslim students at the secondary level has increased from 78.27 percent in 2018-19 to 89.80 percent in 2021-22. This indicates a positive trend in enrolment and participation of Muslim students at this level. A similar improvement is seen at the higher secondary level, where the GER has increased from 55.36 percent in 2018-19 to 71.33 percent in 2021-22.
Gender Gap in GER: Despite the overall improvement, there is a significant gender gap in enrolment at both levels. In 2021-22, the GER for girls at the secondary level is 74.78 percent, while for boys, it is 66.56 percent. At the higher secondary level, the GER for girls is 47.71 percent, while for boys, it is 39.71 percent. This suggests that more efforts are needed to address barriers and challenges that prevent Muslim girls from accessing and continuing their education at these levels.
Assumption of Population Figures: The data is based on projected population figures, assuming that the share of the Muslim population to the total population of India from the 2011 Census is still valid in 2021. However, it is essential to consider that population dynamics can change over time, and more accurate population estimates would provide a more precise representation of the enrolment situation.

Need for Targeted Efforts: To further improve enrolment and reduce the gender gap in GER, targeted efforts are required. These efforts may include addressing socio-economic factors, cultural barriers, providing safe and conducive learning environments, and promoting girls' education.
Overall, while the data shows positive progress in the GER of Muslim students at the secondary and higher secondary levels, it also highlights the need for continued efforts to ensure equal opportunities for boys and girls in accessing and completing education at these levels. Accurate population estimates and targeted interventions will play a crucial role in achieving higher enrolment rates and promoting inclusive education for all.

## Enrolment Ratio (All Students): All India, 2021-22

In addition to the GER of Muslims at different levels of education, the analysis of the enrolment ratios at various levels of education presented in Table 18 provides valuable insights into the access and participation of children in the education system in India.

Here are the key findings from the analysis concerning the enrolment ratio at the all-India level, which must be analyzed along with an analysis of the GER of Muslims:

Primary Level: The Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) at the primary level is 103.4 percent, indicating that more children are enrolled than the official school-age population, which includes over-aged and underaged children. The Net Enrolment Ratio (NER), which considers the official age group for primary education (6-10 years), is 88.6 percent. Moreover, the Adjusted NER, which considers over-aged and under-aged students, is calculated at 99.1 percent. The high NER signifies significant efforts to ensure access to primary education for children in the 6-10 age group.

Table 18: Enrolment Ratio (Total Enrolment): All-India, 2021-22

| Level | Gross Enrolment Ratio | Net Enrolment Ratio | Adjusted NER | Age-specific Enrolment Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 103.4 | 88.6 | 99.1 | 99.1 (6-10 years) |
| Upper Primary | 94.7 | 71.3 | 87.3 | 92.2 (11-13 years) |
| Elementary | 100.1 | 90.5 | 96.5 | 96.5 (6-13 years) |
| Secondary | 79.6 | 47.9 | 64.7 | 72.8 (14-15 years) |
| Higher Secondary | 57.6 | 34.2 | - | 42.4 (16-17 years) |

Source: UDISE+2021-22.


Upper Primary Level: The Gross Enrolment Ratio is 94.7 percent at the upper primary level, indicating a relatively high enrolment rate. However, the Net Enrolment Ratio drops to 71.3 percent due to students progressing to higher grades. The Adjusted NER, which considers the age range of 11-13 years, is 87.3 percent. This emphasizes the importance of ensuring continued access to education during these critical years.

Elementary Level: Combining primary and upper primary levels as the elementary level, the Gross Enrolment Ratio is 100.1 percent, signifying near-universal enrolment. The Net Enrolment Ratio is calculated at 90.5 percent, indicating that a significant proportion (almost 91 percent) of the official age group is enrolled. The Adjusted NER for the elementary level is 96.5 percent, reflecting the inclusion of this age group of students enrolled one level above the elementary level.


Secondary Level: The enrolment ratios decline from the elementary to the secondary level. The Gross Enrolment Ratio at the secondary level is 79.6 percent, indicating that 79.6 percent of the relevant age group is enrolled. The Net Enrolment Ratio drops to 47.9 percent, reflecting a significant decrease due to various factors such as dropout rates and limited access to secondary education. The Adjusted NER, calculated at 64.7 percent, highlights the need for efforts to improve enrolment and retention rates at this level.

Higher Secondary Level: The Gross Enrolment Ratio is 57.6 percent at the higher secondary level, indicating a lower participation rate than previous levels. The Net Enrolment Ratio is 34.2 percent, highlighting the challenges in ensuring access to higher secondary education. Unfortunately, an Adjusted NER is not available for this level, but efforts should be made to improve enrolment and bridge the gap to provide students with better educational opportunities.

Overall, the analysis reveals that while primary and elementary levels show high enrolment rates, there is a need to focus on improving enrolment rates at the secondary and higher secondary levels. Efforts should be directed towards ensuring equitable access to education, as these challenges are crucial for promoting inclusive and quality education throughout the country. Policymakers and educators should continue to address the gaps and challenges to provide all children with equal opportunities for education and development.


As indicated above, since Muslim enrolment by age is not presently available, none of the enrolment indicators except GER could be analyzed in the present article.

## c) State-specific Muslim Enrolment Ratio

Education plays a crucial role in societal development, and ensuring equal access to quality education for all is a fundamental goal. The state-specific analysis of the Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) presented in Tables 19 \& 20 provides valuable insights into the state of development of school education for Muslim children in different States \& Union Territories in India. Here are some key observations from the analysis:

- Disparities Across Levels: The analysis indicates that states are at different stages of development in school education. Some states show higher Muslim GER at the primary level, with a possibility of achieving near-universal enrolment with a little push. However, the same is not valid for secondary and higher secondary levels of education, where enrolment rates drop significantly.
- Elementary Level GER: About 28 states/UTs have GER above 80 percent at the elementary level (Grades I-VIII) for Muslim children, which suggests relatively higher enrolment rates in these states' primary and upper primary levels.
- Secondary \& Higher Secondary GER: In contrast, only 12 states have GER above 80 percent for Muslim children at the secondary level (Grades IX-X), and only six states have GER above 80 percent at the higher secondary level (Grades XI-XII), which indicates lower participation rates at the secondary and higher secondary levels of education for Muslim children.
- Census Data \& Projections: The GER calculated in the present analysis is based on estimated child population figures. Once more recent data from the 2021 Census becomes available; the enrolment ratios may be subject to change. Using projected figures can lead to unconvincing results in some states.
- Lowest GER: The state of Mizoram shows the lowest GER of 33 percent (Grades I-XII) in 2021-22, indicating significant challenges in ensuring enrolment and access to education for Muslim children. However, the state had the lowest 1.35 percent share of Muslim to total population in 2011. Efforts should be made to address this situation.
- Muslim-Majority States: In Muslim-majority states like Assam, Jammu and Kashmir, Lakshadweep, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal, the overall enrolment ratio for Muslim students is 107.02, 71.44, $55.18,68.47$, and 114.24 percent, respectively. These states must continue to provide inclusive and quality education to all Muslim children.
- Bihar and Madhya Pradesh: Bihar and Madhya Pradesh have relatively low overall Muslim GER, at 68.51 percent and 61.49 percent, respectively, which indicates that many Muslim children in these states are still out of the education system. Identifying and enrolling out-of-school children in ageappropriate grades should be a priority.

Overall, the analysis highlights the need for targeted efforts in various states and regions to improve enrolment rates and ensure equal access to education for all Muslim children. It underscores the importance of addressing disparities across different levels of education to achieve the goal of inclusive and quality education throughout the country. Policymakers and education authorities should use this data to develop strategies to bridge the gaps and provide equitable opportunities for all children, irrespective of their background or religion.

The detailed analysis of the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) for Muslim students across primary, upper primary, elementary, secondary, and higher secondary levels in specific states provides valuable insights into the enrolment patterns and challenges these states face in ensuring access to quality education. Here are the key findings from the analysis:

1. Primary Level: Assam stands out as a positive example, with a commendable GER of 153.17 at the primary level, including over-age and under-age children, indicating that both girls and boys have good access to primary education. Bihar, with a GER of 93.02 , is trying to bridge the gender gap, as girls outperform boys with a GER of 96.67 . Jammu \& Kashmir showcases a relatively balanced GER of 106.10 , ensuring access to primary education for both genders. Though small, Lakshadweep UT demonstrates a reasonably balanced enrollment pattern with a GER of 82.08.
2. Upper Primary Level: Assam, Bihar, Jammu \& Kashmir face challenges in achieving equal enrollment at the upper primary level. Assam's GER of 112.76 indicates disparities between girls (123.57) and boys (102.31). Bihar's GER of 74.01 shows girls (79.25) outpacing boys (68.92). Jammu \& Kashmir's GER
drops to 59.23 , revealing a need to address lower enrollment among boys. Lakshadweep maintains a reasonably balanced GER of 65.54.
3. Elementary Level: The analysis continues to reveal disparities between girls and boys regarding GER at the elementary level (Grades I-VIII) for Assam and Jammu \& Kashmir. Assam's overall GER is 137.12, with girls (144.06) having higher enrollment than boys (130.63). Jammu \& Kashmir's GER stands at 84.01 , with girls (87.17) surpassing boys (81.21). Bihar's overall GER of 85.82 indicates efforts to bridge gender gaps and provide equitable access to education. Lakshadweep maintains a balanced GER of 75.54.

Table 19: State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Elementary Level: 2021-22, All India

| State/UT | Primary: Grades I-V |  |  | Upper Primary: Grades VI-VIII |  |  | Elementary: Grades I-VIII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 79.22 | 75.31 | 77.17 | 76.11 | 75.71 | 75.91 | 78.00 | 75.47 | 76.68 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 85.28 | 84.42 | 84.81 | 71.42 | 71.31 | 71.36 | 79.97 | 79.54 | 79.73 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 98.06 | 102.51 | 100.35 | 50.41 | 55.18 | 52.82 | 76.89 | 81.91 | 79.45 |
| Assam | 157.96 | 148.75 | 153.17 | 123.57 | 102.31 | 112.76 | 144.06 | 130.63 | 137.12 |
| Bihar | 96.67 | 89.73 | 93.02 | 79.25 | 68.92 | 74.01 | 89.93 | 82.00 | 85.82 |
| Chandigarh | 111.91 | 102.35 | 106.59 | 119.07 | 104.78 | 110.98 | 114.59 | 103.28 | 108.25 |
| Chhattisgarh | 74.61 | 79.48 | 77.08 | 71.09 | 73.44 | 72.33 | 73.33 | 77.31 | 75.36 |
| D \& D \& D \& Diu | 91.14 | 90.50 | 90.80 | 93.58 | 91.38 | 92.40 | 92.04 | 90.83 | 91.39 |
| Delhi | 156.41 | 133.53 | 144.02 | 174.76 | 151.76 | 162.54 | 163.40 | 140.35 | 151.01 |
| Goa | 143.04 | 136.05 | 139.36 | 127.17 | 123.95 | 125.47 | 136.93 | 131.40 | 134.01 |
| Gujarat | 96.62 | 86.86 | 91.33 | 89.16 | 84.95 | 86.92 | 93.82 | 86.16 | 89.70 |
| Haryana | 154.56 | 147.70 | 150.86 | 105.22 | 109.59 | 107.61 | 136.28 | 133.67 | 134.89 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 96.31 | 95.91 | 95.72 | 88.69 | 86.83 | 87.97 | 93.29 | 92.29 | 92.65 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 107.94 | 104.21 | 106.10 | 62.97 | 56.16 | 59.23 | 87.17 | 81.21 | 84.01 |
| Jharkhand | 104.70 | 98.30 | 101.34 | 91.64 | 83.64 | 87.63 | 99.45 | 92.63 | 95.93 |
| Karnataka | 141.78 | 138.26 | 140.00 | 130.28 | 129.59 | 129.92 | 137.41 | 135.05 | 136.22 |
| Kerala | 146.46 | 147.94 | 147.22 | 136.35 | 137.01 | 136.60 | 142.59 | 143.76 | 143.15 |
| Ladakh | 67.42 | 61.49 | 64.30 | 58.30 | 47.01 | 52.29 | 63.90 | 55.80 | 59.62 |
| Lakshadweep | 83.07 | 81.15 | 82.08 | 59.44 | 72.30 | 65.54 | 73.23 | 77.84 | 75.54 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 74.24 | 71.57 | 72.86 | 76.18 | 71.55 | 73.77 | 74.93 | 71.56 | 73.18 |
| Maharashtra | 137.59 | 124.50 | 130.56 | 120.22 | 113.59 | 116.74 | 130.66 | 120.24 | 125.11 |
| Manipur | 179.31 | 173.17 | 176.10 | 96.70 | 91.21 | 93.87 | 141.99 | 136.65 | 139.22 |
| Meghalaya | 185.69 | 170.21 | 177.73 | 119.86 | 97.55 | 108.54 | 157.03 | 139.04 | 147.84 |
| Mizoram | 63.80 | 53.30 | 58.40 | 24.02 | 21.75 | 22.86 | 46.53 | 39.67 | 43.01 |
| Nagaland | 306.16 | 300.02 | 302.95 | 122.86 | 106.90 | 114.53 | 224.39 | 214.17 | 219.05 |
| Odisha | 90.70 | 90.65 | 90.67 | 85.37 | 80.89 | 83.07 | 88.63 | 86.88 | 87.73 |
| Puducherry | 111.29 | 107.07 | 109.09 | 102.86 | 97.61 | 100.14 | 108.02 | 103.43 | 105.63 |
| Punjab | 155.02 | 154.98 | 155.00 | 135.62 | 127.02 | 130.93 | 147.70 | 144.21 | 145.81 |
| Rajasthan | 114.94 | 112.67 | 113.73 | 82.53 | 87.89 | 85.33 | 102.96 | 103.80 | 103.40 |
| Sikkim | 131.60 | 153.42 | 142.78 | 86.57 | 99.86 | 93.35 | 110.49 | 128.46 | 119.68 |
| Tamil Nadu | 124.25 | 119.12 | 121.57 | 118.62 | 116.95 | 117.77 | 122.09 | 118.31 | 120.13 |
| Telangana | 183.32 | 176.40 | 179.61 | 180.86 | 174.82 | 177.65 | 182.36 | 175.80 | 178.86 |
| Tripura | 160.62 | 156.79 | 158.64 | 109.74 | 95.62 | 102.50 | 137.58 | 129.39 | 133.36 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 94.40 | 88.71 | 91.36 | 73.51 | 68.03 | 70.65 | 86.98 | 81.59 | 84.13 |
| Uttarakhand | 161.90 | 150.62 | 155.94 | 105.46 | 95.14 | 99.97 | 139.07 | 128.85 | 133.65 |
| West Bengal | 145.52 | 141.74 | 143.59 | 126.47 | 117.61 | 121.98 | 137.92 | 132.30 | 135.06 |
| All India | 117.06 | 110.41 | 113.55 | 99.88 | 92.22 | 95.91 | 110.54 | 103.68 | 106.95 |

Note: Projected population of Muslims in different age groups has been obtained based on Expert Committee Projections
(Total) by assuming that the 2011 Census share of 14.23 percent of the Muslim to Total population is still valid in 2021.
4. Secondary Level: At the secondary level (Grades IX-X), Assam demonstrates a GER of 72.61, with girls (85.61) surpassing boys (60.04). Bihar faces challenges in achieving gender parity, with a GER of 45.56 for girls and 40.63 for boys. Jammu \& Kashmir's GER is relatively balanced at 53.89 for girls and 52.35 for boys, and Lakshadweep maintains a reasonably balanced GER of 65.39.

Table 20: State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary Level: 2021-22, All India

| State/UT | Secondary: IX-X |  |  | Higher Secondary: XI-XII |  |  | Total: I-XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 72.85 | 63.92 | 68.08 | 66.22 | 53.95 | 59.71 | 75.03 | 69.52 | 72.14 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 56.57 | 54.95 | 55.74 | 29.68 | 29.35 | 29.51 | 66.79 | 66.29 | 66.52 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 42.41 | 44.09 | 43.26 | 35.28 | 30.33 | 32.78 | 62.92 | 65.68 | 64.32 |
| Assam | 85.61 | 60.04 | 72.61 | 31.92 | 27.00 | 29.43 | 114.01 | 100.38 | 107.02 |
| Bihar | 50.77 | 40.63 | 45.56 | 23.48 | 20.93 | 22.16 | 72.28 | 64.99 | 68.51 |
| Chandigarh | 106.24 | 84.04 | 93.49 | 54.54 | 43.63 | 48.22 | 102.37 | 88.58 | 94.56 |
| Chhattisgarh | 58.80 | 54.66 | 56.70 | 50.09 | 41.76 | 45.86 | 67.02 | 67.67 | 67.35 |
| D \& D \& D \& D | 76.93 | 72.72 | 74.56 | 43.95 | 33.61 | 37.66 | 82.54 | 77.27 | 79.62 |
| Delhi | 139.55 | 119.69 | 128.89 | 110.19 | 81.26 | 94.53 | 149.51 | 126.18 | 136.93 |
| Goa | 107.40 | 101.54 | 104.27 | 87.35 | 81.98 | 84.54 | 123.15 | 117.61 | 120.23 |
| Gujarat | 59.83 | 62.56 | 61.29 | 32.79 | 29.93 | 31.25 | 77.57 | 72.60 | 74.91 |
| Haryana | 62.58 | 75.46 | 69.62 | 29.38 | 44.75 | 37.77 | 105.31 | 108.55 | 107.10 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 72.06 | 71.75 | 71.90 | 45.60 | 51.16 | 48.55 | 80.99 | 81.22 | 81.05 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 55.68 | 52.35 | 53.89 | 47.56 | 46.64 | 47.07 | 73.91 | 69.27 | 71.44 |
| Jharkhand | 62.83 | 50.95 | 56.79 | 34.95 | 28.23 | 31.52 | 81.79 | 74.41 | 78.00 |
| Karnataka | 113.78 | 107.76 | 110.69 | 51.53 | 39.48 | 45.30 | 118.50 | 113.93 | 116.15 |
| Kerala | 130.44 | 131.85 | 131.29 | 99.68 | 87.97 | 93.70 | 133.19 | 132.18 | 132.67 |
| Ladakh | 53.88 | 44.87 | 49.22 | 49.44 | 40.79 | 44.99 | 59.48 | 51.27 | 55.18 |
| Lakshadweep | 63.90 | 66.79 | 65.39 | 62.05 | 67.05 | 64.46 | 69.61 | 74.02 | 71.82 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 48.10 | 41.75 | 44.82 | 33.70 | 27.10 | 30.27 | 63.77 | 59.39 | 61.49 |
| Maharashtra | 102.98 | 96.36 | 99.48 | 62.14 | 55.44 | 58.61 | 113.57 | 104.59 | 108.80 |
| Manipur | 74.78 | 74.05 | 74.41 | 48.48 | 59.19 | 53.88 | 111.63 | 110.94 | 111.27 |
| Meghalaya | 61.21 | 61.10 | 61.16 | 30.11 | 34.18 | 32.18 | 117.21 | 106.64 | 111.82 |
| Mizoram | 16.00 | 17.64 | 16.84 | 16.11 | 15.49 | 15.79 | 35.18 | 31.13 | 33.11 |
| Nagaland | 72.61 | 70.56 | 71.54 | 24.39 | 28.06 | 26.27 | 157.60 | 152.64 | 155.02 |
| Odisha | 76.30 | 68.71 | 72.37 | 31.91 | 24.53 | 28.12 | 76.46 | 72.83 | 74.58 |
| Puducherry | 97.23 | 85.24 | 90.88 | 86.41 | 78.48 | 82.29 | 102.46 | 95.98 | 99.08 |
| Punjab | 100.97 | 94.12 | 97.22 | 75.56 | 69.28 | 72.18 | 126.68 | 121.58 | 123.93 |
| Rajasthan | 53.79 | 60.47 | 57.28 | 35.30 | 42.57 | 39.12 | 83.27 | 86.51 | 84.99 |
| Sikkim | 83.77 | 79.61 | 81.66 | 98.07 | 58.53 | 78.03 | 102.73 | 104.79 | 103.78 |
| Tamil Nadu | 113.33 | 110.85 | 112.06 | 92.31 | 84.75 | 88.46 | 115.36 | 111.25 | 113.24 |
| Telangana | 157.33 | 145.99 | 151.49 | 108.60 | 100.43 | 104.31 | 164.46 | 157.07 | 160.55 |
| Tripura | 103.03 | 76.47 | 89.40 | 50.35 | 40.11 | 45.00 | 114.03 | 101.71 | 107.67 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 45.85 | 44.89 | 45.35 | 30.72 | 29.26 | 29.95 | 70.50 | 66.66 | 68.47 |
| Uttarakhand | 67.37 | 54.95 | 60.63 | 47.18 | 34.93 | 40.68 | 109.10 | 98.77 | 103.56 |
| West Bengal | 103.27 | 80.73 | 91.79 | 80.63 | 45.42 | 62.64 | 121.35 | 107.43 | 114.24 |
| India | 74.78 | 66.56 | 70.51 | 47.71 | 39.71 | 43.54 | 93.54 | 86.38 | 89.80 |

Note: Projected population of Muslims in different age groups has been obtained on the basis of Expert Committee Projections (Total) by assuming that the 2011 Census share of 14.23 percent of the Muslim to Total population is still valid in 2021.
5. Higher Secondary Level: At the higher secondary level (Grades XI-XII), Assam, Bihar, and Jammu \& Kashmir continue to experience gender disparities in GER. Assam's GER is 29.43, with girls (31.92) exhibiting higher enrollment than boys (27.00). Bihar's GER of 22.16 shows girls (23.48) outperforming boys (20.93). Jammu \& Kashmir's GER is relatively balanced at 47.07 for girls and 46.64 for boys, and Lakshadweep maintains a reasonably balanced GER of 64.46.

Overall, this comprehensive analysis reveals varying enrollment patterns and disparities at different levels of education for Muslim students in specific states. Assam's efforts towards inclusive education, Bihar's focus on bridging gender gaps, Jammu \& Kashmir's relatively balanced enrollment, and Lakshadweep's emphasis on equitable access provide valuable examples for other states. Addressing these disparities and challenges can help build a more inclusive and quality education system for the Muslim community in India. It underscores the need for continued efforts to ensure equal access, regardless of gender or socio-economic background, to achieve the goal of universal and inclusive education.

## Flow Rates

Enrolling all children is necessary, but retaining everyone who entered the system is a sufficient condition. Table 21 provides information on the average annual promotion, repetition, and dropout rates for Muslim enrolment at different levels of school education in 2021-22 (Cohort 2020-21), along with the corresponding rates for all students (total) at the all-India level (Table 22). Here are the key findings:

1. Primary Level: The average annual promotion rate for Muslim children at the primary level is relatively high, indicating that a significant proportion of students progress to the next grade each year. However, the dropout rate for Muslim children is higher than that for all students, suggesting that Muslim children are more likely to leave school before completing primary education. The repetition rate remains low, indicating that most Muslim students can pass their grades without repeating.
2. Upper Primary Level: At the upper primary (middle) level, the promotion rate for Muslim children is relatively high, but again, the dropout rate is higher than the overall rate for all students, which indicates that while many Muslim students progress to higher grades, a significant number still drop out before completing upper primary education. The repetition rate is relatively low, indicating that most Muslim students can advance to the next grade without repeating.
3. Secondary Level: The promotion rate for Muslim children at the secondary level is relatively high, similar to the overall rate for all students. However, the dropout rate for Muslim children is higher than the overall rate, indicating that more Muslim students leave school at the secondary level. The repetition rate is relatively high at the secondary level, suggesting that some Muslim students may struggle to pass their grades without repeating.

The data presented in Table 21 highlights the need for targeted efforts to improve retention rates and reduce dropout rates for Muslim children at all levels of education, especially at the secondary level.

While the promotion rates are relatively high, ensuring that students continue their education and complete higher levels of schooling is crucial to achieving the goal of universalization.

Table 21: Muslim Flow Rate, All India: Cohort 2020-21

| Rate | Primary Level |  |  | Upper Primary Level |  |  | Secondary Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Total | Girls | Total |
| Promotion Rate | 95.88 | 96.38 | 96.13 | 93.47 | 93.26 | 93.36 | 80.06 | 79.85 | 79.95 |
| Repetition Rate | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.95 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.46 | 1.40 |
| Dropout Rate | 3.72 | 3.22 | 3.48 | 5.58 | 5.68 | 5.63 | 18.59 | 18.69 | 18.64 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.

1. Primary Level Dropout Rate: The dropout rate for Muslim children at the primary level is 3.48 percent, meaning that 3.48 percent of those enrolled in Grades I-V dropped out before completing primary education. Among these dropouts, 45.26 percent were Muslim girls, indicating that more girls leave school before completing primary education than boys.
2. Upper Primary Level Dropout Rate: At the upper primary level (Grades VI-VIII), the dropout rate for Muslim children is 5.63 percent. This dropout rate is higher than the primary level, almost similar for boys ( 5.68 percent) and girls ( 5.58 percent).

To make a comparison, Table 22 provides efficiency indicators for Total and Muslim enrolment at the all-India level, including dropout, transition, and retention rates at different levels of education and from one level to another. Below, we analyze the state-specific flow and transition rates; first, we look at the retention rate in Table 22.


Table 22: Efficiency Indicators: Cohort 2020-21 (All India)

| Indicator Year 2021-22/Cohort 2020-21 | Boys | Girls | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Annual Dropout Rate |  |  |  |
| Primary (All Students) | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Primary (Muslim Students) | 3.72 | 3.22 | 3.48 |
| Upper Primary (All Students) | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Upper Primary (Muslim Students) | 5.58 | 5.68 | 5.63 |
| Elementary (Muslim Students) | 4.32 | 4.05 | 4.19 |
| Secondary (All Students) | 13.0 | 12.3 | 12.6 |
| Secondary (Muslim Students) | 18.59 | 18.69 | 18.64 |
| Transition Rate |  |  |  |
| Primary to Upper Primary (ALL) | 93.1 | 93.4 | 93.2 |
| Primary to Upper Primary (Muslim Students) | 88.61 | 89.97 | 89.28 |
| Elementary to Secondary | 89.7 | 87.8 | 88.8 |
| Elementary to Secondary (Muslim Students) | 82.57 | 81.38 | 81.97 |
| Secondary to Higher Secondary | 77.6 | 79.3 | 78.4 |
| Secondary to Higher Secondary (Muslim Students) | 69.13 | 71.66 | 70.43 |
| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| Primary (1 to 5): All Students | 94.9 | 96.0 | 95.4 |
| Primary (1 to 5): Muslim Students | 96.01 | 92.19 | 94.02 |
| Elementary (1 to 8) ): All Students | 80.5 | 82.1 | 81.2 |
| Elementary (1 to 8) ): Muslim Students | 80.23 | 72.78 | 76.37 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISE + , different years.

## The Retention Rates

Retention rates are vital indicators of an education system's effectiveness in keeping students enrolled and engaged throughout their academic journey. This article analyzes the retention rates for the Cohort 2020-21, focusing on primary (Grades 1 to 5) and elementary (Grades 1 to 8) levels. Computed based on the data from UDISE+ provides insights into the retention rates of all students and Muslim students specifically. Retention rates are computed at Grades V, VIII, X, and Grade XII depending upon data availability. Enrolment in Grades V, VIII, X, and XII in the present year (minus repeaters) is linked to Grade I enrolment five, eight, ten, and twelve years back.

Primary Level Retention: The retention rate for all Grades 1 to 5 students stood at an encouraging 95.4 percent, which indicates that nearly 95.4 percent of students in the cohort 2020-21 successfully continued their education to the next grade. Gender-wise, girls outperformed boys, with a retention rate of 96.0
percent compared to 94.9 percent for boys. However, we observe a slightly lower overall retention rate of 94.02 percent when focusing on Muslim students. Surprisingly, the retention rate for Muslim boys ( 96.01 percent) surpassed their female counterparts ( 92.19 percent), showcasing a gender reversal compared to the overall trend.

Elementary Level Retention: The transition from primary to elementary level saw a decline in retention rates. The overall retention rate for all students in grades 1 to 8 was 81.2 percent, suggesting that around 81.2 percent continued their educational journey to higher grades. Specifically, the retention rate for Muslim students was 76.37 percent, indicating that challenges exist in retaining this group within the education system. While Muslim boys exhibited a retention rate of 80.23 percent, Muslim girls faced more significant obstacles, with a lower retention rate of 72.78 percent.

The above analysis highlights several vital insights and challenges within the Indian education system:
Gender Disparities: Overall, girls demonstrated higher retention rates at primary and elementary levels, showcasing their educational commitment. However, there is a concerning trend among Muslim students, where female retention rates were notably lower. Addressing this gender disparity is crucial for fostering equal education opportunities.

Transition Challenges: The decline in overall retention rates from primary to elementary warrants attention. The education system must identify and address the factors leading to these drop-offs to ensure a smoother student transition between different academic stages. The data reveals that Muslim students face additional challenges in terms of retention rates compared to the overall student population. Implementing targeted support and inclusive policies can help bridge this gap and provide equal educational opportunities to all. Fostering an environment that supports student retention and progression is crucial to ensure a more equitable and robust education system. By addressing the challenges highlighted in this analysis,

## Grade-specific Muslim Flow Rates

Table 23 presents grade-specific flow rates for Muslim students, including dropout and repetition rates, and compares them with those for all students in the respective grades. However, the table highlights a limitation in the analysis: the dropout rate is negative in a few grades (e.g., Grade I and VI), which is due to the change in enrolment data based on more schools covered under UDISE+ during 2021-22 compared to the previous year, leading to an underestimation of the actual dropout rate.

A comprehensive analysis of the data on Muslim enrolment, repeaters, and flow rates in All-India schools for the Cohort 2020-21 is presented in Table 23. The data covers Grades from I to XII and spans two academic years, 2020-21 and 2021-22. By examining the trends in enrolment, repeaters, and flow rates, this analysis aims to shed light on the educational progress and challenges Muslim students face in the country's schooling system.

Table 23 reveals that the total enrolment of Muslim students increased steadily from 2020-21 to 2021-22 across all grades. The highest enrolment was observed in Grade II, with 4,029,432 students in 2020-21. Grade XII had the lowest enrolment in both years, with $1,368,049$ students in 2020-21 and 1,388,364 students in 2021-22. Further, the data indicate that repetition rates varied across different grades. Grade IX had the highest repetition rate, with 1.52 percent of students repeating the same grade in 2020-21.


On the other hand, Grade II had the lowest repetition rate of 0.26 percent in the same academic year despite the no-detention policy being followed. The flow rates, representing the percentage of Muslim students promoted, were consistently high across all grades in 2020-21. Grade X had the lowest promotion rate of 70.43 percent, while Grade II had the highest promotion rate of 97.35 percent. In the case of dropout rates, Grade X recorded the highest rate of 28.28 percent, highlighting a concerning trend of students leaving the educational system at this stage; thus indicating a need for targeted interventions to retain students until the completion of their secondary education. Further, the higher repetition rates in Grade IX raise questions about the factors contributing to Muslim students repeating the grade at this stage. Understanding these factors and implementing supportive measures could help reduce repetition rates and improve overall learning outcomes.

More about the system's efficiency is known when the state-specific analysis presented below is undertaken.

## Analysis of State-specific Flow Rates

The education system is a crucial component in shaping the future of youth. However, a persistent challenge that hampers the potential of this system is the dropout rate. Dropout rates, especially among certain demographic groups, raise concerns about equitable access to education and can have long-term ramifications on social and economic development. Below, we delve into the state-specific dropout rates among Muslim students at different levels of education in India for the Cohort year 2020-21.

Table 23: Grade-specific Total Muslim Enrolment, Repeaters \& Flow Rate: Cohort 2020-21, AllIndia

| Grades | Enrolment |  | Number of |  |  | Flow Rates ( percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Repeaters | Promotes | Dropouts | Repetition | Promotion | Dropout | Total |
| I | 3701675 | 3915357 | 11284 | 3762443 | -72052 | 0.30 | 101.64 | -1.95 | 100 |
| II | 4029432 | 3773115 | 10672 | 3922578 | 96182 | 0.26 | 97.35 | 2.39 | 100 |
| III | 3913241 | 3933488 | 10910 | 3778268 | 124063 | 0.28 | 96.55 | 3.17 | 100 |
| IV | 3755023 | 3788491 | 10223 | 3591486 | 153314 | 0.27 | 95.64 | 4.08 | 100 |
| V | 3681064 | 3624057 | 32571 | 3286483 | 362010 | 0.88 | 89.28 | 9.83 | 100 |
| VI | 3185494 | 3317748 | 31265 | 3156747 | -2518 | 0.98 | 99.10 | -0.08 | 100 |
| VII | 3128255 | 3188274 | 31527 | 3088308 | 8420 | 1.01 | 98.72 | 0.27 | 100 |
| VIII | 3074799 | 3119703 | 31395 | 2520287 | 523117 | 1.02 | 81.97 | 17.01 | 100 |
| IX | 2554645 | 2559063 | 38776 | 2267477 | 248392 | 1.52 | 88.76 | 9.72 | 100 |
| X | 2362342 | 2297770 | 30293 | 1663886 | 668163 | 1.28 | 70.43 | 28.28 | 100 |
| XI | 1448659 | 1686438 | 22552 | 1367587 | 58520 | 1.56 | 94.40 | 4.04 | 100 |
| XII | 1368049 | 1388364 | 20777 |  |  | 1.52 |  |  |  |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years. Negative dropout rates indicate inconsistent enrolment data.
At the primary level, Table 24 reveals significant variations in dropout rates among Muslim students across different States \& UTs. While the national average dropout rate for Muslim boys stands at 3.72 percent, it is noteworthy that some regions report substantially higher dropout rates. For instance, in Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, the dropout rate for Muslim boys is 8.65 percent, more than twice the national average. Similar concerns are evident in Andhra Pradesh (12.00 percent), Assam (9.68 percent), and Arunachal Pradesh (10.89 percent).

Gender disparities in dropout rates are evident in the data as well. Across India, the dropout rate for Muslim girls at the primary level is 3.22 percent, marginally lower than that of boys ( 3.72 percent). However, it is essential to acknowledge that in some regions, this gap widens. For instance, in Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, the dropout rate for Muslim girls is 4.59 percent, significantly higher than that of boys (8.65 percent).

Table 24: Muslim Dropout Rate at Different Levels of Education: Cohort 2020-21

| State/UT | Primary Level |  |  | Upper Primary Level |  |  | Elementary Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| A \& M Islands | 8.65 | 4.59 | 6.71 | 0.25 | 1.63 | 0.94 | 5.67 | 3.48 | 4.61 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 12.00 | 10.23 | 11.15 | 21.64 | 20.08 | 20.88 | 15.35 | 13.72 | 14.56 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 10.89 | 11.15 | 11.02 | 15.97 | 33.19 | 24.91 | 12.60 | 19.35 | 15.94 |
| Assam | 9.68 | 6.83 | 8.27 | 18.10 | 13.05 | 15.37 | 12.29 | 9.01 | 10.62 |
| Bihar | -2.10 | -1.56 | -1.83 | 6.90 | 8.24 | 7.62 | 0.63 | 1.75 | 1.20 |
| Chandigarh | -12.22 | -7.24 | -9.85 | -1.00 | -0.53 | -0.78 | -7.70 | -4.55 | -6.21 |
| Chhattisgarh | 7.23 | 8.34 | 7.76 | 12.34 | 8.65 | 10.56 | 8.98 | 8.44 | 8.72 |
| D \& N \& D \& D | 0.05 | 4.87 | 2.35 | 3.43 | 5.38 | 4.34 | 1.25 | 5.05 | 3.05 |
| Delhi | -8.31 | -7.47 | -7.89 | 0.44 | -0.04 | 0.20 | -4.85 | -4.52 | -4.69 |
| Goa | 0.82 | -0.71 | 0.08 | 8.21 | 11.40 | 9.75 | 3.60 | 3.84 | 3.72 |
| Gujarat | 1.53 | 1.21 | 1.37 | 8.49 | 10.53 | 9.47 | 4.02 | 4.51 | 4.25 |
| Haryana | -7.36 | -8.39 | -7.83 | 3.16 | 5.86 | 4.35 | -4.17 | -4.29 | -4.22 |
| Himachal Pradesh | -2.29 | -4.56 | -3.35 | 7.97 | 7.06 | 7.53 | 1.58 | -0.01 | 0.83 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 3.85 | 4.36 | 4.09 | 2.33 | 2.57 | 2.45 | 3.33 | 3.76 | 3.54 |
| Jharkhand | 3.19 | 1.62 | 2.42 | 8.62 | 7.65 | 8.11 | 4.98 | 3.79 | 4.38 |
| Karnataka | -1.37 | -1.20 | -1.28 | 1.91 | 1.47 | 1.69 | -0.19 | -0.22 | -0.20 |
| Kerala | -2.28 | -1.44 | -1.87 | -1.32 | -0.12 | -0.73 | -1.93 | -0.96 | -1.45 |
| Ladakh | 10.02 | 9.13 | 9.58 | 7.00 | 3.33 | 5.11 | 8.99 | 7.05 | 8.01 |
| Lakshadweep | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 2.86 | 1.74 | 2.33 | 1.20 | 0.83 | 1.02 |
| Madhya Pradesh | -3.83 | -4.43 | -4.12 | 6.00 | 3.31 | 4.65 | -0.44 | -1.68 | -1.05 |
| Maharashtra | 1.12 | 1.85 | 1.48 | 4.85 | 5.46 | 5.15 | 2.49 | 3.18 | 2.83 |
| Manipur | 19.32 | 22.16 | 20.73 | 18.66 | 17.27 | 17.97 | 19.11 | 20.66 | 19.88 |
| Meghalaya | 18.19 | 13.38 | 15.76 | 11.35 | 15.12 | 13.39 | 16.29 | 13.91 | 15.07 |
| Mizoram | 50.19 | 33.48 | 42.41 | 52.11 | 54.49 | 53.26 | 50.70 | 39.34 | 45.35 |
| Nagaland | 12.22 | 9.12 | 10.74 | 12.96 | 9.52 | 11.26 | 12.39 | 9.22 | 10.86 |
| Odisha | 8.03 | 6.35 | 7.22 | 12.29 | 9.05 | 10.68 | 9.55 | 7.35 | 8.48 |
| Puducherry | 2.00 | -0.66 | 0.73 | 0.29 | 5.94 | 3.17 | 1.39 | 1.88 | 1.63 |
| Punjab | 15.21 | 15.83 | 15.50 | 16.83 | 15.83 | 16.37 | 15.75 | 15.83 | 15.79 |
| Rajasthan | 7.26 | 6.70 | 7.00 | 10.52 | 9.83 | 10.21 | 8.23 | 7.60 | 7.94 |
| Sikkim | 4.46 | 8.25 | 6.21 | 10.06 | 11.19 | 10.59 | 6.52 | 9.36 | 7.85 |
| Tamilnadu | -0.75 | -0.69 | -0.72 | -1.30 | -1.08 | -1.19 | -0.95 | -0.83 | -0.90 |
| Telangana | 3.27 | 2.22 | 2.76 | 7.80 | 6.20 | 7.01 | 4.95 | 3.73 | 4.35 |
| Tripura | 4.46 | 2.66 | 3.58 | 8.34 | 5.92 | 7.07 | 5.78 | 3.87 | 4.82 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 6.83 | 6.20 | 6.52 | 7.36 | 8.15 | 7.75 | 6.98 | 6.76 | 6.87 |
| Uttarakhand | 6.15 | 3.93 | 5.10 | 12.46 | 8.46 | 10.50 | 7.95 | 5.30 | 6.69 |
| West Bengal | 6.06 | 5.44 | 5.75 | -3.86 | -1.81 | -2.78 | 2.90 | 2.92 | 2.91 |
| All India | 3.72 | 3.22 | 3.48 | 5.58 | 5.68 | 5.63 | 4.32 | 4.05 | 4.19 |

Source: Computed based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22 enrolment \& repeaters data. Negative dropout rates indicate inconsistent enrolment/repeaters data.

As students progress to the upper primary level, the data indicates an increase in the overall dropout rate. The national average dropout rate for Muslim students at this level is 5.58 percent. States such as Andhra Pradesh (21.64 percent), Assam (18.10 percent), and Arunachal Pradesh (15.97 percent) report notably higher dropout rates, reflecting the challenges faced by Muslim students in continuing their education.

At the elementary level, the dropout rates remain a concern. The data reveals that while the national average dropout rate for Muslim boys is 4.32 percent, some regions demonstrate higher rates, such as Andhra Pradesh (20.88 percent) and Arunachal Pradesh (24.91 percent). Similarly, the national average for Muslim girls is 4.05 percent, but certain States \& UTs like Andhra Pradesh (13.72 percent) and Assam (9.01 percent) exhibit disparities.

The data on Muslim dropout rates at different levels of education underscores the need for targeted statespecific interventions and policy measures to ensure inclusive and equitable access to education. Identifying the factors contributing to high dropout rates and designing strategies to address them effectively is crucial. The outcome of such exercise must form part of the plan formulation under the Samagra Shiksha annual plans.

Table 25: Number of States having Muslim Dropout Rate: Cohort 2020-21

| Dropout Rate Slab | Primary Level | Upper Primary Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $0 \%$ | 9 | 4 |
| $0-2.5$ | 7 | 5 |
| $2.5-5$ | 3 | 4 |
| $5-7.5$ | 7 | 4 |
| $7.5-10$ | 3 | 6 |
| More than $10 \%$ | 7 | 36 |
| Number of States | 36 | 36 |

The above data sheds light on the intricate educational disparities among States \& Union Territories in India, explicitly concerning Muslim student dropout rates at different educational levels. The findings indicate that several regions face considerable challenges in retaining students, particularly at the primary and upper primary levels. Notably, seven states reported dropout rates exceeding ten percent for Muslim students at the primary level. In comparison, thirteen states faced similar issues at the upper primary level (as shown in Table 25). Conversely, nine states demonstrated dropout rates below zero for Muslim students, compared to four at the upper primary level states.

The next section of the analysis will delve into the dropout rates at the Secondary and Higher Secondary levels of education, specifically focusing on Muslim students, and present a state-wise breakdown of the data. This detailed examination will further aid in understanding the educational challenges faced by Muslim students in different regions and help develop tailored strategies to ensure their continued educational progress and success.

## Dropout Rate at the Secondary Levels

Table 26 reveals that compared to lower levels of school education, the dropout rates at the secondary level are notably higher, and this trend is particularly significant among Muslim students. Among states with a high percentage share of Muslims in the total population according to the 2011 Census, the following observations can be made:

- Jammu \& Kashmir: Despite its challenging geopolitical situation, Jammu \& Kashmir shows relatively low Muslim dropout rates at 5.10 percent, which suggests commendable efforts to ensure educational inclusivity despite the circumstances.

Table 26: Muslim Flow Rates at Secondary Level: Cohort 2020-21

| State/UT | Promotion Rate |  |  | Repetition Rate |  |  | Drop out Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 92.58 | 90.74 | 91.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.42 | 9.26 | 8.34 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 62.47 | 64.04 | 63.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 37.51 | 35.94 | 36.75 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 74.53 | 71.43 | 72.87 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 23.58 | 28.57 | 26.25 |
| Assam | 70.44 | 68.83 | 69.52 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 28.61 | 30.20 | 29.52 |
| Bihar | 77.61 | 74.22 | 75.77 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 22.31 | 25.72 | 24.16 |
| Chandigarh | 78.70 | 82.46 | 80.46 | 1.13 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 20.17 | 16.65 | 18.53 |
| Chhattisgarh | 86.48 | 88.39 | 87.46 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 13.20 | 11.48 | 12.32 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 76.29 | 75.05 | 75.75 | 0.33 | 1.08 | 0.66 | 23.37 | 23.87 | 23.59 |
| Delhi | 84.11 | 88.02 | 86.10 | 3.05 | 3.01 | 3.03 | 12.84 | 8.97 | 10.87 |
| Goa | 73.01 | 78.20 | 75.44 | 3.32 | 1.71 | 2.57 | 23.66 | 20.10 | 21.99 |
| Gujarat | 72.13 | 76.42 | 74.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.87 | 23.58 | 25.96 |
| Haryana | 82.88 | 79.85 | 81.72 | 1.08 | 0.63 | 0.91 | 16.04 | 19.52 | 17.37 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 77.56 | 80.17 | 78.70 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 22.39 | 19.83 | 21.28 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 95.58 | 93.56 | 94.61 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 4.11 | 6.18 | 5.10 |
| Jharkhand | 89.32 | 85.75 | 87.33 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 10.42 | 13.87 | 12.34 |
| Karnataka | 72.33 | 77.80 | 75.05 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 26.87 | 21.25 | 24.07 |
| Kerala | 86.20 | 90.08 | 88.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.80 | 9.92 | 11.91 |
| Ladakh | 93.65 | 94.62 | 94.16 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 6.26 | 5.16 | 5.68 |
| Lakshadweep | 99.74 | 101.02 | 100.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -1.02 | -0.36 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 93.46 | 96.21 | 94.87 | 1.06 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 5.48 | 3.08 | 4.25 |
| Maharashtra | 79.42 | 80.02 | 79.72 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 20.22 | 19.66 | 19.95 |
| Manipur | 76.48 | 71.62 | 74.09 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 23.43 | 28.35 | 25.86 |
| Meghalaya | 74.82 | 73.13 | 73.98 | 1.62 | 2.35 | 1.98 | 23.56 | 24.52 | 24.04 |
| Mizoram | 75.00 | 70.37 | 72.81 | 1.67 | 3.70 | 2.63 | 23.33 | 25.93 | 24.56 |
| Nagaland | 72.77 | 70.57 | 71.71 | 3.27 | 3.49 | 3.38 | 23.95 | 25.94 | 24.92 |
| Odisha | 62.40 | 66.90 | 64.69 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 37.33 | 33.02 | 35.14 |
| Puducherry | 97.65 | 95.40 | 96.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.35 | 4.60 | 3.51 |
| Punjab | 83.63 | 85.93 | 84.69 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 16.01 | 13.86 | 15.02 |
| Rajasthan | 80.25 | 80.92 | 80.54 | 1.38 | 1.18 | 1.29 | 18.37 | 17.90 | 18.16 |
| Sikkim | 76.19 | 103.98 | 89.59 | 3.17 | 2.84 | 3.01 | 20.63 | -6.82 | 7.40 |
| Tamilnadu | 92.17 | 94.98 | 93.55 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 7.50 | 4.76 | 6.16 |
| Telangana | 89.51 | 90.99 | 90.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.49 | 9.01 | 9.75 |
| Tripura | 84.34 | 78.96 | 81.23 | 2.60 | 1.65 | 2.05 | 13.06 | 19.39 | 16.72 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 85.57 | 85.23 | 85.40 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 13.36 | 13.73 | 13.53 |
| Uttarakhand | 82.23 | 87.27 | 84.82 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 17.09 | 12.15 | 14.55 |
| West Bengal | 70.90 | 72.05 | 71.59 | 5.52 | 4.79 | 5.08 | 23.58 | 23.16 | 23.33 |
| All India | 80.06 | 79.85 | 79.95 | 1.35 | 1.46 | 1.40 | 18.59 | 18.69 | 18.64 |

[^0]- Assam: Assam faces significant challenges in reducing dropout rates among Muslim students, with a high dropout rate of 29.52 percent. The higher dropout rates for boys ( 28.61 percent) and girls ( 30.20 percent) call for targeted interventions to improve educational outcomes and create equal opportunities for all.
- West Bengal: With a high percentage of the Muslim population, West Bengal displays moderate Muslim dropout rates at 23.33 percent, but they still exceed the national average of 18.64 percent. The state needs focused efforts to enhance retention rates and provide equitable access to education.
- Kerala: Kerala stands out as an educationally advanced state, boasting lower Muslim dropout rates at 11.91 percent, which indicates the state's successful model of educational inclusivity and social development.
- Uttar Pradesh: The most populous state in India, Uttar Pradesh experiences moderate Muslim dropout rates at 13.53 percent, emphasizing the need for interventions to enhance educational retention and socio-economic development.
- Bihar: Bihar faces significant dropout rates among Muslim students, with a rate of 24.16 percent, which calls for targeted measures to address socio-economic factors and improve educational accessibility.
- Telangana: Telangana exhibits relatively lower dropout rates among Muslim students, with a rate of 9.75 percent, showcasing the state's efforts to provide inclusive and quality education.
- Jharkhand: As an educationally developing state, Jharkhand reports lower Muslim dropout rates at 12.34 percent. However, targeted initiatives are still required to enhance educational inclusivity and retention.

The analysis of Muslim dropout rates presented above at the secondary level in states with higher Muslim population shares reveals a mix of performance across different regions. Due to the high dropout rate at this level, achieving school education for all by 2030 in India will undoubtedly be challenging. Additionally, attaining a 50 percent GER at higher education level by 2035, as envisioned in NEP 2020, will not be a simple task. Policymakers and educators must collaboratively work to implement targeted interventions that promote educational inclusivity, improve retention rates, and ensure equal opportunities for all students, including Muslims. Continuous monitoring and analysis of educational indicators will aid in developing effective strategies for improving educational outcomes and social development across states.

## Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out

Based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 and 2021-22 grade-wise enrolment and repeaters data, an attempt has also been made to compute the number of dropped-out boys and girls at primary, upper primary, elementary, and secondary levels of education, presented in the Table 26A reveals that a large number of Muslim students dropped-out from the system before the completion of an educational level. The numbers further reveal that while fewer girls dropped out at the primary level of education, the same is not valid for the upper
primary level. At the secondary level of education also, more girls ( 51.65 percent) dropped out than boys (48.35 percent). The data further reveals that 21,16,832 Muslim students dropped out in primary, upper primary, and secondary levels, of which 56.33 percent $(11,92,536)$ dropped out in elementary classes. In elementary classes, more boys ( 52.22 percent) dropped-out than girls ( 47.78 percent), and the difference between the two is enormous and might have severe implications for Muslims to attain the status of elementary education for all and need quick action to check the high incidence of dropouts.


Table 26A: Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out Between 2020-21 \& 2021-22

| Primary Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Number Dropped out | 3,63,692 | 2,99,825 | 6,63,517 |
| \%age | 54.81 | 45.19 | 100.00 |
| Upper Primary Level |  |  |  |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Number Dropped out | 2,59,075 | 2,69,944 | 5,29,019 |
| \%age | 48.97 | 51.03 | 100.00 |
| Elementary Level |  |  |  |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Number Dropped out | 6,22,767 | 5,69,769 | 11,92,536 |
| \%age | 52.22 | 47.78 | 100.00 |
| Secondary Level |  |  |  |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Number Dropped out | 4,46,886 | 4,77,410 | 9,24,296 |
| \%age | 48.35 | 51.65 | 100.00 |

The state-specific number of Muslim children who dropped out presented in Table 26B reveals that at least eight states have more than 100 thousand students who dropped out in elementary and secondary classes between 2020-21 and 2021-22, which is considered huge. Large states, such as Bihar, Maharashtra,

Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal, are crucial for India to attain the status of universal school education by 2030, as envisaged in NEP 2020 falls into such states. However, in a few states, the number of Muslim girls students who dropped out is slightly lower than their boys counterparts. In West Bengal (1,20,936 students), Assam (2,60,343 students), and Uttar Pradesh (4,01,214 students) massive number of Muslim students, both boys, and girls, dropped out before the completion of elementary level deserves quick action. While formulating annual plans under the flagship Samagra Shiksha, the massive number of Muslim children dropping out of the system must be prioritized, and initiate appropriate initiatives.

Table 26B: Number of Muslim Children Dropped-out Between 2020-21 \& 2021-22

| State/UT | Primary | Upper Primary | Elementary | Secondary | Primary to Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telangana | 13,429 | 20,410 | 33,839 | 16,985 | 84,663 |
| Gujarat | 7,113 | 27,065 | 34,178 | 37,679 | 1,06,035 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 28,438 | 8,629 | 37,067 | 10,366 | 84,500 |
| Jharkhand | 13,448 | 23,753 | 37,201 | 14,095 | 88,497 |
| Bihar | -38,572 | 76,005 | 37,433 | 99,601 | 1,74,467 |
| Maharashtra | 20,011 | 40,612 | 60,623 | 91,877 | 2,13,123 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 37,019 | 37,476 | 74,495 | 37,451 | 1,86,441 |
| Rajasthan | 57,333 | 34,507 | 91,840 | 30,984 | 2,14,664 |
| West Bengal | 1,59,497 | -38,561 | 1,20,936 | 2,03,579 | 4,45,451 |
| Assam | 1,35,536 | 1,24,807 | 2,60,343 | 1,00,537 | 6,21,223 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2,72,787 | 1,28,427 | 4,01,214 | 1,03,361 | 9,05,789 |
| All India | 6,63,517 | 5,29,019 | 11,92,536 | 9,24,296 | 33,09,368 |

More than the dropout rates, the transition rate from one to another level of education also plays a crucial role in moving toward school education for all, and the same is analyzed at the state and all-India levels (Table 27 \& 28).

Chart 20: Number of Musilm Studenst Droppeout: Between-2020 21 \& 2021-22 (In '000)


## Transition Rate: Muslim Students

The data presented in Table 27 concerning the transition rate of Muslim enrolment at the all-India level reveals some concerning trends. Irrespective of the educational level, the transition rate for Muslim students is lower than that of all children combined in the latest year, 2021-22. Moreover, there has been a significant decline in the transition rate for Muslim enrolment from 2018-19 to 2021-22, particularly in the transition from primary to upper primary and elementary to secondary levels. However, there has been a slight improvement in the transition rate from secondary to higher secondary level during the same period.
At the all-India level, the transition rate from primary to secondary levels of education stands at 93.2 percent, but for Muslim children, it is lower at 89.28 percent in 2021-22. There is no significant difference between the transition rate of boys ( 88.61 percent) and girls ( 89.97 percent) from primary to upper primary levels of education. However, the transition rate for girls is lower than for boys from elementary to secondary levels of education (Girls: 81.38 percent, Boys: 82.57 percent). Conversely, the transition rate is higher for girls than boys from secondary to higher secondary levels of education (Girls: 71.66 percent, Boys: 69.13 percent).
One concerning trend is that the transition rate declines as students move from lower to higher levels of education, and this pattern is observed for both boys and girls. This indicates a lack of continuity and efficiency in the education system, where issues at the primary level hinder the growth of Muslim education at higher levels. The average annual dropout rate for Muslim children at the primary level is 3.48 percent, and about 11 percent of children drop out during the transition, causing significant inefficiency in the system.
While the enrolment ratio for Muslim children may be high, the benefits of increased enrolment will not be fully realized without addressing the dropout and transition rate inefficiencies. To improve educational outcomes for Muslim students, particular attention should be given to enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the primary education system. Addressing the underlying causes of dropout and implementing targeted interventions can pave the way for a more inclusive and equitable education system that supports the growth and success of Muslim students at all levels of education.


Table 27: Muslim Transition Rate, All India: Cohort 2018-19 to 2020-21

| Cohort | Primary to Upper Primary |  |  | Elementary to Secondary |  |  | Secondary to Higher Secondary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2018-19 | 95.93 | 93.50 | 94.71 | 90.33 | 90.57 | 90.45 | 67.06 | 65.21 | 66.17 |
| 2019-20 | 90.42 | 88.59 | 89.50 | 85.63 | 84.98 | 85.32 | 65.70 | 65.48 | 65.59 |
| 2020-21 | 89.97 | 88.61 | 89.28 | 81.38 | 82.57 | 81.97 | 71.66 | 69.13 | 70.43 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE data, different years.
A glance at the state-specific transition rate presented in Table 28 indicates that the transition rate sometimes exceeds 100 in a few states due to the inclusion of all schools, as mentioned previously. Most states boast transition rates above 80 percent from primary to upper primary levels. However, states like Arunachal Pradesh ( 76.46 percent), Mizoram (59.53 percent), and Manipur ( 74.19 percent) have notably lower transition rates, posing challenges in achieving universal primary education status. As many as 15 states reported transition rates below 80 percent from elementary to secondary education, with Kerala reporting the highest and Mizoram the lowest at 57.43 percent.

Even in populous states like Bihar, the transition rate from elementary to secondary level is low at 67.32 percent, indicating that about 33 percent of Muslim children could not progress from Grade VIII to IX. The state also experiences a high dropout rate at the elementary level, indicating inefficiencies in the education system. Bihar's Muslim population share ( 16.87 percent) is above the national average (14.23 percent), and the high dropout rate may hinder efforts to achieve the goal of universal school education in the state. Additionally, states such as Uttar Pradesh (74.68 percent), Manipur, Mizoram, Meghalaya, Punjab, and Uttarakhand have low transition rates from elementary to secondary education.

Table 28: Transition Rate, Muslim Enrolment, 2021-22 (Cohort 2020-21), All India

| State/UT | Primary to Upper Primary |  |  | Elementary to Secondary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 95.75 | 94.02 | 94.82 | 97.71 | 98.07 | 97.89 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 92.10 | 88.41 | 90.18 | 76.72 | 75.24 | 75.96 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 80.00 | 73.06 | 76.46 | 65.77 | 81.63 | 73.21 |
| Assam | 94.14 | 83.64 | 88.92 | 74.57 | 65.96 | 70.68 |
| Bihar | 86.23 | 85.98 | 86.11 | 66.40 | 68.44 | 67.32 |
| Chandigarh | 105.70 | 108.89 | 107.38 | 95.40 | 95.49 | 95.45 |
| Chhattisgarh | 90.12 | 87.47 | 88.72 | 84.51 | 76.99 | 80.63 |
| Daman \& Diu and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 95.11 | 98.11 | 96.66 | 87.41 | 95.85 | 91.82 |
| Delhi | 99.06 | 94.92 | 96.93 | 94.73 | 94.76 | 94.75 |
| Goa | 94.73 | 94.51 | 94.62 | 89.90 | 91.64 | 90.81 |
| Gujarat | 96.44 | 97.15 | 96.81 | 72.87 | 79.50 | 76.34 |
| Haryana | 99.12 | 97.95 | 98.47 | 82.63 | 88.18 | 85.73 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 104.61 | 104.82 | 104.73 | 88.92 | 88.14 | 88.52 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 91.36 | 92.75 | 92.07 | 92.33 | 94.45 | 93.42 |
| Jharkhand | 94.60 | 91.61 | 93.10 | 76.97 | 73.84 | 75.51 |
| Karnataka | 97.87 | 97.67 | 97.77 | 96.10 | 96.09 | 96.09 |
| Kerala | 100.00 | 101.65 | 100.84 | 99.49 | 100.84 | 100.18 |
| Ladakh | 91.16 | 90.36 | 90.76 | 94.70 | 95.92 | 95.29 |
| Lakshadweep | 98.35 | 100.41 | 99.38 | 98.91 | 96.89 | 97.87 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 101.66 | 99.68 | 100.66 | 76.02 | 71.66 | 73.85 |
| Maharashtra | 96.85 | 97.82 | 97.34 | 92.45 | 92.35 | 92.40 |
| Manipur | 75.07 | 73.33 | 74.19 | 72.39 | 73.73 | 73.07 |
| Meghalaya | 112.18 | 97.74 | 105.14 | 56.79 | 71.50 | 63.51 |
| Mizoram | 72.06 | 48.78 | 59.33 | 70.27 | 50.00 | 57.43 |
| Nagaland | 92.84 | 84.13 | 88.43 | 84.54 | 81.31 | 82.84 |
| Odisha | 94.09 | 90.87 | 92.44 | 79.55 | 76.28 | 77.92 |
| Puducherry | 99.12 | 94.32 | 96.64 | 94.59 | 102.24 | 98.28 |
| Punjab | 83.25 | 83.26 | 83.25 | 78.30 | 77.87 | 78.07 |
| Rajasthan | 83.82 | 84.69 | 84.29 | 79.94 | 79.99 | 79.97 |
| Sikkim | 95.79 | 81.75 | 87.78 | 80.17 | 82.69 | 81.36 |
| Tamilnadu | 99.32 | 98.67 | 98.98 | 99.55 | 99.42 | 99.48 |
| Telangana | 95.05 | 92.10 | 93.53 | 91.17 | 89.89 | 90.53 |
| Tripura | 91.92 | 86.08 | 89.05 | 87.82 | 84.25 | 86.20 |
| Uttarakhand | 89.12 | 83.77 | 86.31 | 73.92 | 66.58 | 70.21 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 82.54 | 80.72 | 81.61 | 72.68 | 76.67 | 74.68 |
| West Bengal | 84.90 | 85.35 | 85.12 | 84.89 | 87.42 | 86.04 |
| India | 89.97 | 88.61 | 89.28 | 81.38 | 82.57 | 81.97 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE + data, different years.

Chart 22: Muslim Transition Rate: Secondary to Higher Secondary: Chhort 2018-19 to 2020-21


These low transition rates in several states signify potential barriers and challenges in ensuring seamless educational progression for Muslim students. Addressing the underlying causes of these low transition rates, such as dropout issues and inefficiencies in the education system, is crucial for achieving universal education goals and fostering inclusive and equitable education for all students, including Muslims. Policymakers and educators must collaborate to implement targeted interventions and improve the educational landscape to facilitate smoother transitions and better educational outcomes for students across states.


Table 29 shows that more than 70 percent of Muslim children transitioned from the secondary level (Grade X) to the higher secondary level (Grade XI) in the academic year 2020-21. However, specific states reported low to meager transition rates, including Andhra Pradesh ( 48.36 percent), Assam ( 56.05 percent), Gujarat ( 58.95 percent), Maharashtra ( 63.24 percent), and Odisha ( 36.43 percent), along with several states from the north-eastern region. This pattern remains consistent for both Muslim boys and girls, and it is also reflected in the overall dropout rate (18.64 percent) and Gross Enrollment Ratio (GER) (43.54 percent) at the secondary level of education.

Table 29: Transition Rate, Muslim Enrolment, 2021-22 (Cohort 2020-21), All India

| State/UT | Secondary to Higher Secondary |  |  | Grade XI to XII* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 87.02 | 92.67 | 89.78 | 96.83 | 95.56 | 96.26 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 49.10 | 47.67 | 48.36 | 64.25 | 65.23 | 64.75 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 73.26 | 67.31 | 70.43 | 94.68 | 100.00 | 97.19 |
| Assam | 52.93 | 60.24 | 56.05 | 96.95 | 91.17 | 94.07 |
| Bihar | 49.84 | 55.28 | 52.34 | 107.50 | 107.44 | 107.47 |
| Chandigarh | 73.65 | 70.14 | 71.75 | 100.37 | 100.34 | 100.35 |
| Chhattisgarh | 86.37 | 81.85 | 84.16 | 93.60 | 98.64 | 95.78 |
| Daman \& Diu and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 64.44 | 73.43 | 69.35 | 90.68 | 87.72 | 89.22 |
| Delhi | 97.05 | 89.93 | 93.62 | 97.18 | 97.16 | 97.17 |
| Goa | 75.30 | 75.36 | 75.33 | 100.00 | 100.12 | 100.05 |
| Gujarat | 63.36 | 55.56 | 58.95 | 97.97 | 99.20 | 98.56 |
| Haryana | 82.67 | 82.04 | 82.26 | 98.93 | 98.93 | 98.93 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 71.19 | 68.60 | 69.69 | 85.14 | 90.55 | 87.97 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 89.63 | 93.95 | 91.88 | 96.68 | 92.34 | 94.36 |
| Jharkhand | 73.33 | 76.93 | 74.91 | 99.15 | 102.89 | 100.85 |
| Karnataka | 55.24 | 45.18 | 50.16 | 83.61 | 82.03 | 82.90 |
| Kerala | 80.36 | 72.00 | 76.08 | 98.85 | 98.28 | 98.58 |
| Ladakh | 91.42 | 96.54 | 93.88 | 95.98 | 86.82 | 91.72 |
| Lakshadweep | 101.93 | 97.21 | 99.57 | 97.57 | 98.46 | 98.02 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 92.26 | 89.88 | 91.11 | 106.83 | 107.99 | 107.35 |
| Maharashtra | 64.30 | 62.22 | 63.24 | 99.16 | 100.95 | 100.05 |
| Manipur | 61.50 | 74.45 | 67.97 | 63.37 | 78.79 | 71.22 |
| Meghalaya | 57.72 | 63.41 | 60.59 | 111.98 | 124.67 | 118.74 |
| Mizoram | 71.43 | 80.65 | 76.27 | 181.25 | 480.00 | 252.38 |
| Nagaland | 51.53 | 61.07 | 56.32 | 101.63 | 131.62 | 116.25 |
| Odisha | 38.93 | 33.83 | 36.43 | 72.10 | 63.79 | 68.20 |
| Puducherry | 94.77 | 101.81 | 98.09 | 103.01 | 105.06 | 104.03 |
| Punjab | 78.10 | 75.78 | 76.84 | 91.28 | 92.99 | 92.16 |
| Rajasthan | 72.10 | 71.94 | 72.01 | 95.13 | 94.79 | 94.94 |
| Sikkim | 122.99 | 76.14 | 99.43 | 136.25 | 86.30 | 112.42 |
| Tamilnadu | 89.65 | 84.11 | 86.81 | 101.04 | 101.01 | 101.02 |
| Telangana | 75.67 | 73.08 | 74.37 | 103.34 | 101.54 | 102.44 |
| Tripura | 79.03 | 87.76 | 82.76 | 87.78 | 90.74 | 89.18 |
| Uttarakhand | 76.79 | 69.22 | 73.11 | 98.91 | 100.41 | 99.58 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 73.85 | 72.90 | 73.35 | 98.98 | 99.77 | 99.38 |
| West Bengal | 83.19 | 75.93 | 80.42 | 90.87 | 86.13 | 88.97 |
| India | 71.66 | 69.13 | 70.43 | 96.11 | 95.55 | 95.84 |

Source: Computed based on UDISE + data, different years. *without considering repeaters.

Table 30 reveals that in 18 states ( 50 percent), Muslim students' transition rate from elementary to secondary education is above 85 percent, compared to 30 such states ( 83 percent) for the transition rate from primary to upper primary level. However, it is observed that once a Muslim child reaches Grade XI, the chances of them reaching Grade XII are relatively brighter.

Several key challenges must be addressed to ensure more Muslim children reach Grade XI and beyond. These include enrolling all remaining Muslim children currently out of the education system, checking the dropout rate at the primary and elementary levels, and improving the overall transition rate. We can expect a significant increase in Muslim children progressing to Grade XI and beyond through concerted efforts in these areas.

Table 30: Number of States having Muslim Transition Rate: 2020-21

| Transition Rate |  | Primary to Upper Primary Level |  | Elementary to Secondary Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $75 \%$ | 2 |  | 9 |  |
| $75-85$ | 4 | 9 |  |  |
| $85-95$ | 16 | 9 | 10 |  |
| $95-100$ | 5 | 7 | 1 |  |
| More than $100 \%$ | 36 | 36 |  |  |
| Number of States |  |  |  |  |

## Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education in India

The data presented in Table 31 illustrates a positive trend in the enrollment of Muslim students in higher education in India over the past few years. From 17,39,218 Muslim students enrolled in higher education in 2016-17, the number increased to 19,21,713 in 2020-21. However, in the latest year, i.e., 2020-21, the Muslim enrolment in higher education declined to $19,21,713$ students from 21,00,860 students in the previous 2019-20, thus showing a decline of $1,79,147$ students in absolute terms or 8.53 in percentage terms which is termed significant and has severe implications for Muslim education in India. Almost 49 percent of the decline in higher education enrolment in 2020-21 amounted to a decline in female enrollment. Incidentally, female enrolment in higher education was the highest, with $10,54,486$ female students in 2020-21.

Furthermore, the percentage of Muslim students enrolled in higher education relative to the total number of students enrolled has also seen a slight decrease, declining from 4.87 percent in 2016-17 to 4.64 percent in 2020-21, against a decline from 4.83 percent to 4.50 percent in case of male and from 4.91 percent to 4.80 percent in case of female enrolment during the same period.

On the other hand, a consistent increase is observed in higher education enrolment during 2016-17 to 2019-20, which is true separately for male and female enrolment. Several factors have likely contributed to the increase in Muslim students' participation in higher education. These factors include a growing
awareness of the importance of education within Muslim communities, improved access to information about educational opportunities, and an increasing number of scholarships and financial assistance options specifically designed to support Muslim students in pursuing higher education. The efforts of government and private institutions to expand educational opportunities and increase access to higher education might have also played a crucial role in this positive trend.


However, despite the progress, there are still challenges that Muslim students face in accessing higher education in India. Many Muslim students come from low-income families and struggle to afford the cost of higher education. To address this issue, it is essential to provide financial assistance and support to deserving students who face financial constraints. Enhancing and increasing the number of scholarships, grants, and financial aid opportunities targeted explicitly at Muslim students can significantly alleviate the financial burden and help more deserving students access higher education.

Table 31: Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education: 2016-17 to 2020-21

| Year | Muslim Enrolment |  |  | Total Enrolment |  |  | \%Muslim Enrolment to Total Enrolment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both |
| 2020-21 | 954655 | 967058 | 1921713 | 21237910 | 20142803 | 41380713 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.64 |
| 2019-20 | 1046374 | 1054486 | 2100860 | 19643747 | 18892612 | 38536359 | 5.33 | 5.58 | 5.45 |
| 2018-19 | 993396 | 965608 | 1959004 | 19209888 | 18189500 | 37399388 | 5.17 | 5.31 | 5.24 |
| 2017-18 | 939488 | 898121 | 1837609 | 19204675 | 17437703 | 36642378 | 4.89 | 5.15 | 5.01 |
| 2016-17 | 916388 | 822830 | 1739218 | 18980595 | 16725310 | 35705905 | 4.83 | 4.92 | 4.87 |

[^1]Additionally, improving the overall quality of education, providing equal opportunities for all students, regardless of their background, and creating a more inclusive and supportive learning environment are critical aspects that can further enhance Muslim students' enrollment and success in higher education. By addressing these challenges and fostering an environment that encourages and supports educational pursuits among Muslim communities, India can ensure that more talented and deserving students can pursue higher education and contribute to the nation's progress and development.

## Average Annual Growth Rate: Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education

The data presented in Table 32 on the Average Annual Growth Rate (AAGR) in enrolment at the higher education level from 2016-17 to 2021-22 provides valuable insights into the progress and areas of focus for policymakers and stakeholders. The AAGR for Muslim enrolment at this level has increased at a lower rate than the total enrolment, consistent for both male and female students.

The specific AAGR for Muslim enrolment during the specified period is as follows:

- Male: 1.03 percent
- Female: 4.12 percent
- Both (Male \& Female): 2.53 percent

The data indicate that female Muslim students have experienced a significantly higher AAGR than their male counterparts, suggesting that efforts to improve access and participation of Muslim women in higher education have been relatively successful. This trend reflects an increasing inclination towards higher education among Indian women, which may be attributed to awareness campaigns, scholarships, and initiatives promoting gender equality in education. The higher growth rate for females signifies an encouraging stride towards bridging the gender gap in higher education.

Analyzing the average annual rate of growth in enrolment at the higher education level in India reveals some critical implications:

1. Gender Disparities: The significant difference in growth rates between male and female enrolment, particularly among Muslim students, highlights the need for targeted efforts to address gender disparities in higher education. Implementing initiatives targeting male students and creating an inclusive environment for both genders can help reduce this disparity and ensure equal access to educational opportunities.
2. Empowering Muslim Women: The higher AAGR of female Muslim enrolment indicates the potential for educational empowerment among Muslim women. It calls for sustained efforts to provide equal opportunities, scholarships, and support systems to encourage more female Muslim students to pursue higher education and enable them to contribute actively to society and the economy.
3. Continued Focus on Total Enrolment: While the AAGR in total enrolment is positive, there is room for further improvement. Policymakers should continue focusing on expanding access to higher education, improving the quality of education, and addressing socio-economic barriers that may hinder students' participation in higher education.

By addressing these implications and building on the progress made, India can continue to advance its efforts in promoting inclusive and equitable higher education. Encouraging higher enrolment rates, particularly among underrepresented groups like Muslim women, will contribute to a more diverse and skilled workforce, driving the country's social and economic development.


Table 32: Average Annual Growth Rate in Enrolment at Higher Education Level All India: 2016-17 to 2021-22

| Higher Education <br> Enrolment |  |  |  | Average Annual Growth Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both |  |  |  |
| Muslim Enrolment | 1.03 | 4.12 | 2.53 |  |  |  |
| Total Enrolment | 2.85 | 4.76 | 3.76 |  |  |  |

The analysis of the average annual enrollment growth rate at the higher education level in India presents a complex scenario. On the one hand, the overall growth rates indicate progress in the education sector. However, on the other hand, the data highlights the urgent need for targeted interventions to address gender disparities and foster inclusivity. The encouraging aspect of the data lies in the higher growth rate among female students, including those from the Muslim community, which reflects the positive impact of
initiatives aimed at promoting gender equality in education. This signifies that efforts to empower women to access higher education yield fruitful results.

Policymakers and educational institutions must build upon these promising findings and continue their efforts to create a more equitable and inclusive higher education system in India. Focusing on targeted measures that address gender-based barriers and foster inclusivity can bridge the existing gaps and ensure that every individual, regardless of gender or background, has equal opportunities to access and thrive in higher education.

When we delve into the Gross Enrolment Ratio at the higher education level, more insights into the situation of Muslims in higher education come to light. This broader perspective will enable a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges various communities face, including Muslims, and guide policymakers in crafting effective strategies to foster education among all sections of society. Ultimately, a concerted effort towards promoting inclusivity, gender equality, and access to education will benefit individuals and contribute to the nation's overall socio-economic development.


## Muslim GPI at Higher Education

The data presented in Table 33 regarding the Gender Parity Index (GPI) at the Higher Education level from 2016-17 to 2020-21 indicates positive developments for Muslim students in higher education. The fact that the GPI for Muslims has been increasing and is higher than the national average of 0.93 is a positive sign, suggesting progress toward gender equality in educational access for this community.

One significant finding from the data is the faster increase in the percentage of female Muslim students enrolling in higher education compared to their male counterparts. This trend indicates a growing interest and determination among female Muslim students to pursue higher education, showcasing the positive impact of efforts to promote gender equality and inclusivity in the education system.

The statistics for the academic year 2020-21 reveal that the percentage of Muslim girls to total enrolment at the higher education level was 50.32 percent. While this represents progress in terms of female representation, it is essential to acknowledge that there still exists a notable gender gap in the enrolment of Muslim students in higher education.

To address this disparity, a deeper examination of the data must identify the underlying factors contributing to the trends and patterns. Understanding these factors can enable the development of targeted policies and programs that empower and encourage male Muslim students to pursue higher education.

Additionally, it is crucial to recognize that the progress made in enrolling more Muslim girls in higher education may not be considered entirely satisfactory if many Muslim students do not even reach the higher secondary level. This highlights the importance of addressing educational access and quality at earlier stages, ensuring that more students can continue their education beyond the basic levels.


Policymakers and educational institutions should use this data-driven insight to create comprehensive strategies that tackle the gender gap in educational attainment among Muslim students at all levels. By providing equal opportunities and support to both male and female students from the Muslim community, India can work towards building a more equitable and inclusive higher education system, contributing to the nation's overall development.

Table 33: Muslim GPI at Higher Education 2016-17 to 2020-21

| Year | Muslim Enrolment at Higher Education Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GPI | \% Males | \% Females |
| $2020-21$ | 1.01 | 49.68 | 50.32 |
| $2019-20$ | 1.01 | 49.81 | 50.19 |
| $2018-19$ | 0.97 | 50.71 | 49.29 |
| $2017-18$ | 0.96 | 51.13 | 48.87 |
| $2016-17$ | 0.90 | 52.69 | 47.31 |

Source: Calculated based on AIHES 2020-21.

## Share of Muslim Enrolment at School to Higher Education Level

Understanding different communities' enrolment patterns is essential for fostering equal opportunities and inclusive growth in the education sector. The article also delves into the percentage share of Muslim enrolment at the school education level (Grades 1 to 12 ) concerning total higher education enrolment for Muslims, providing valuable insights into the trends observed from 2016-17 to 2020-21 (Table 34).

Over the five years, the percentage share of Muslim enrolment at the school education level remained relatively stable, with minor fluctuations. In 2016-17, the percentage share was 5.52 percent, which decreased slightly to 5.26 percent in 2020-21, indicating a marginal decline of 0.26 percent. This data, presented in Table 34, highlights the need to examine the underlying factors influencing these trends to ensure that educational access remains equitable for all communities, including Muslims.

An analysis of the data by gender reveals exciting patterns. The percentage share of Muslim male enrolment at the school education level gradually decreased from 5.42 percent in 2017-18 to 4.93 percent in 2020-21. On the other hand, Muslim female enrolment remained relatively consistent, experiencing only a minor increase from 5.42 percent in 2017-18 to 5.35 percent in 2020-21.

To address the fluctuations and disparities observed in the enrolment patterns, it becomes crucial to investigate the social and economic factors contributing to these trends. By understanding these underlying factors, policymakers can develop targeted strategies and initiatives to promote inclusivity and provide the necessary support to ensure that all communities, including Muslims, have equal opportunities to access quality education.


Efforts to create an educational environment that fosters diversity and equal opportunities for all students, regardless of their backgrounds, will be vital in building a more inclusive and equitable education system. By addressing the challenges faced by various communities and working towards removing barriers to education, India can move closer to its goal of providing accessible and quality education for all, ultimately contributing to the nation's overall growth and development.

## Muslims Gross Enrolment Ratio

Table 35 sheds light on the enrolment of Muslim students in higher education and reveals some significant trends and challenges. While the number of Muslim students enrolled in higher education is increasing, there is still a considerable gap between eligible Muslim students aged 18-23 and the actual enrolment figures, which suggests that barriers hinder Muslim students' access to higher education.

Table 34 Share of Muslim Enrolment at School to Total Muslim Enrolment at Higher Education Level

| Year | \% Muslim Enrolment at School Education Level (Grades 1 to 12) to Total (Muslim) Higher Education Enrolment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Both |
| 2020-21 | 5.26 | 5.35 | 5.31 |
| 2019-20 | 5.98 | 6.02 | 6.00 |
| 2018-19 | 6.10 | 5.92 | 6.01 |
| 2017-18 | 5.67 | 5.42 | 5.55 |
| 2016-17 | 5.52 | 4.93 | 5.22 |

Source: Calculated based on AIHES 2020-21.

The Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) of Muslims in higher education has shown improvement over the past few years, with a rise from 8.24 percent in 2016-17 to 8.91 percent in 2020-21. Although the highest GER of 9.79 percent was recorded in 2019-20, there was a decline in the following year. Despite this progress, the GER of Muslim students remains significantly lower than the national average of 27.3 percent, indicating that various challenges still deter Muslim students from enrolling in higher education.

The barriers preventing Muslim students from pursuing higher education could include financial constraints, limited access to quality education, and societal and cultural factors. To address these challenges and to create a more equitable society, specific steps as specified below may be initiated:

1. Provide Financial Assistance: Offering financial support to economically disadvantaged Muslim students would help alleviate the financial burden associated with higher education. Scholarships, grants, and educational loans tailored to the needs of Muslim students can encourage them to pursue higher studies.
2. Increase Scholarships \& Grants: Increasing the number of scholarships and grants explicitly targeting Muslim students would incentivize and enable them to access higher education. These financial aids can make a significant difference in encouraging enrolment.
3. Improve Access to Quality Education: Ensuring Muslim students in rural and underserved areas have access to quality education is vital. Establishing more schools and educational facilities in these regions and improving their infrastructure and teaching standards can enhance the likelihood of students progressing to higher education.

By taking these steps and addressing the barriers that hinder Muslim students' enrolment in higher education, India can work towards building a more inclusive and equitable education system, which shall benefit Muslim students and contribute to the nation's overall socio-economic development, fostering a diverse and empowered generation of individuals capable of achieving their full potential, regardless of their religious background.

Table 35: Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Higher Education Level

| Gender | Muslim Enrolment in Higher Education |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 |
| Both | 1739218 | 1837609 | 1959004 | 2100860 | 1921713 |
| Male | 916388 | 939488 | 993396 | 1046374 | 954655 |
| Female | 822830 | 898121 | 965608 | 1054486 | 967058 |
| Muslim Projected Population (Age Group 18-23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both | 21102505 | 21219036 | 21335568 | 21452099 | 21568630 |
| Male | 11095360 | 11149017 | 11202673 | 11256330 | 11309987 |
| Female | 10007145 | 10070020 | 10132894 | 10195769 | 10258643 |
| Gender | Muslims Gross Enrolment Ratio (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Both | 8.24 | 8.66 | 9.18 | 9.79 | 8.91 |
| Male | 8.26 | 8.43 | 8.87 | 9.30 | 8.44 |
| Female | 8.22 | 8.92 | 9.53 | 10.34 | 9.43 |

The projected population of Muslims in different age groups has been obtained based on Expert Committee Projections (Total) by assuming that the 2011 Census share of 14.23 percent of the Muslim to Total population is still valid in 2021.

## State-specific Muslim GER at the Higher Education Level

The analysis of the Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) in Higher Education across various states of India reveals significant variations in educational opportunities for Muslim students (Table 36). The GER is a crucial indicator of the educational attainment of Muslim communities in each state. It sheds light on the progress and challenges in providing equitable access to higher education.

Kerala and Lakshadweep stand out with higher Muslim GERs, indicating a more robust enrollment rate of Muslim students in higher education than the national average. These states are known for their emphasis on education and relatively higher literacy rates, which likely contribute to the higher GER. On the other hand, states like Assam and Bihar report lower Muslim GERs, indicating barriers and challenges in ensuring equal access to higher education for Muslim students. Socio-economic disparities, lack of educational resources, and social and cultural factors may hinder Muslim students' enrollment and educational choices in these states.

Let us further explore the analysis of a few states:

1. Andhra Pradesh: The state has a Muslim population share of 9.56 percent of the 2011 population. The Muslim GER for the 18-23 age group is 10.12 percent for both genders, with a slightly higher GER for males ( 10.75 percent) than females ( 9.44 percent). While the GER is higher than the national average, efforts should be directed toward further improving enrollment rates and addressing any barriers that may hinder equal access to higher education for Muslim students.
2. Assam: With a significant Muslim population share of 34.22 percent of the total 2011 population, Assam faces challenges in providing equitable access to higher education. The Muslim GER within the 18-23 age group stands at approximately 6.5 percent for all genders, indicating a need for targeted measures to enhance enrollment rates and remove barriers hindering educational opportunities for Muslim students.
3. Bihar: Bihar, with a substantial Muslim population share of 16.87 percent of the total 2011 population, reports a Muslim GER of around 6 percent for both genders within the 18-23 age group. Efforts should focus on improving enrollment rates and addressing socio-economic factors that may impede access to higher education for Muslim students.
4. Kerala: Kerala boasts a significant Muslim population share of 26.56 percent of the total 2011 population. Within the 18-23 age group, the Muslim GER is remarkably high, at 20.35 percent for both genders, which indicates a strong enrollment rate among Muslim students in higher education. Notably, the female GER surpasses the male GER, showcasing Kerala's commendable efforts in promoting gender inclusivity in education.
5. Jammu \& Kashmir: Jammu \& Kashmir has a substantial Muslim population share, making up 68.31 percent of the total 2011 population. Within the 18-23 age group, the Muslim GER in the state is 12.06 percent for both genders. While this GER is relatively higher than in some other states, there is room for improvement to ensure more equitable access to higher education for Muslim youth. Initiatives should focus on expanding educational infrastructure, addressing socio-economic factors that may hinder educational opportunities, and fostering an inclusive environment encouraging higher education enrollment among Muslim students in Jammu \& Kashmir.
6. Lakshadweep: Lakshadweep stands out with a predominantly Muslim population, comprising 96.58 percent of the total population. Within the 18-23 age group, the Muslim GER is 4.32 percent for both genders. While the GER is lower than the national average, efforts can be directed toward increasing access to higher education and ensuring that Muslim youth in Lakshadweep have equal opportunities to pursue higher studies. Initiatives to enhance educational infrastructure, provide more resources, and address socio-economic barriers can help improve educational access for Muslim students in Lakshadweep.
7. Rajasthan: Rajasthan has a Muslim population share of 9.07 percent of the total 2011 population. Within the 18-23 age group, the Muslim GER in the state stands at approximately 5 percent for all genders. While the GER is relatively moderate, there is scope for improvement to enhance access to higher education for Muslim students in Rajasthan. Addressing socio-economic disparities, promoting educational awareness, and providing support systems can contribute to bridging the gap and improving enrollment rates among Muslim students in the state.
8. Uttar Pradesh: Uttar Pradesh, with a significant Muslim population share of 19.26 percent of the total 2011 population, reports a Muslim GER of approximately 5 percent within the 18-23 age group. The state faces challenges in providing equitable access to higher education for Muslim youth. Efforts should improve infrastructure, promote educational awareness, and address socio-economic factors to enhance enrollment rates and ensure equal opportunities for Muslim students to pursue higher education.
9. West Bengal: West Bengal, with a notable Muslim population share of 27.01 percent of the total 2011 population, exhibits a Muslim GER of approximately 8.5 percent within the 18-23 age group. While the GER in West Bengal is higher than the national average, there is scope for further improvement. Continued efforts to enhance access to higher education, address socio-economic disparities, and foster an inclusive educational environment will contribute to the overall development of Muslim students in West Bengal.

Table 36: State-specific
Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Higher Education, 2020-21

| State/UT | \%age Share of Muslim to Total 2011 Population | Muslim GER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Both | Male | Female |
| A \& N Islands | 8.517 | 14.71 | 11.69 | 17.98 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 9.556 | 10.12 | 10.75 | 9.44 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1.955 | 2.69 | 2.99 | 2.37 |
| Assam | 34.223 | 6.46 | 6.42 | 6.51 |
| Bihar | 16.866 | 6.13 | 5.9 | 6.39 |
| Chandigarh | 4.874 | 8.63 | 7.08 | 10.67 |
| Chhattisgarh | 2.016 | 7 | 5.95 | 8.07 |
| Delhi | 12.859 | 7.09 | 7.65 | 6.49 |
| Goa | 8.335 | 19.49 | 17.29 | 22.03 |
| Gujarat | 9.674 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.45 |
| Haryana | 7.027 | 4.49 | 5.9 | 2.83 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 2.183 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.33 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 68.314 | 12.06 | 11.36 | 12.84 |
| Jharkhand | 14.532 | 5.11 | 4.94 | 5.29 |
| Karnataka | 12.919 | 15.78 | 15.97 | 15.57 |
| Kerala | 26.562 | 20.35 | 15.8 | 25.16 |
| Lakshadweep | 96.58 | 4.32 | 1.78 | 7.08 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 6.574 | 6.57 | 6.35 | 6.8 |
| Maharashtra | 11.543 | 10.04 | 9.97 | 10.11 |
| Manipur | 8.398 | 14.38 | 12.15 | 16.69 |
| Meghalaya | 4.395 | 12.39 | 13.71 | 11.04 |
| Mizoram | 1.352 | 4 | 5.04 | 2.92 |
| Nagaland | 2.475 | 3.82 | 3.22 | 4.46 |
| Odisha | 2.172 | 8.05 | 8.83 | 7.24 |
| Puducherry | 6.054 | 25.74 | 27.73 | 23.93 |
| Punjab | 1.93 | 26.92 | 35.01 | 17.02 |
| Rajasthan | 9.067 | 5.08 | 5.48 | 4.64 |
| Sikkim | 1.616 | 10.44 | 13.7 | 6.78 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5.862 | 27.59 | 30.34 | 24.68 |
| Telangana | 9.556* | 33.55 | 33.27 | 33.84 |
| D \& N \& D \& D | 5.49 | 4.36 | 3.27 | 6.65 |
| Tripura | 8.602 | 3.24 | 3.14 | 3.34 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 19.26 | 5.43 | 4.7 | 6.26 |
| Uttarakhand | 13.948 | 12.48 | 11.16 | 13.94 |
| West Bengal | 27.011 | 8.46 | 7.67 | 9.3 |
| All India (Muslims), 2021-22 | 14.225 | 8.91 | 8.44 | 9.43 |
| All India (All Communities), 2020-21 |  | 26.7 | 27.9 | 27.3 |

Source: Calculated based on the Projected Muslim Population of the 18 to 23 age group and enrolment provided through AIHES 2020-21. Based on the total population of the Age Group 18-23 years, a state-specific share of Muslims to the total population in 2001 is applied to obtain the Muslim population (18 to 23 years), which is then applied to get Muslim GER. * Of undivided Andhra Pradesh.

The analysis of state-wise Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) in Higher Education within the 18-23 age group indeed provides valuable insights into the educational opportunities available to Muslim youth in different states of India. It is essential to recognize that achieving a GER of $100 \%$ in higher education is not a practical expectation, as it requires a continuous educational journey from primary to higher education levels. Instead, the GER should be understood as a measure of progress in providing access to higher education for eligible students within the 18-23 age group.

Comparing the GER of different states with their percentage share in the total population may not accurately reflect the educational opportunities available to Muslim students. A more appropriate comparison involves examining the share of Muslim enrolment to the total enrolment in higher education within each state. This comparison highlights the representation and access of Muslim students in higher education, regardless of the state's overall population share.

States like Kerala and Jammu \& Kashmir stand out with commendable GERs, indicating relatively higher enrollment rates of Muslim students in higher education. These states serve as examples of efforts to promote inclusivity and equitable access to education for all students, including Muslims.

On the other hand, states such as Lakshadweep, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal face challenges in providing access to higher education for Muslim students. To address these challenges and ensure equal educational opportunities, policymakers and stakeholders should prioritize initiatives that address barriers to enrollment, promote gender inclusivity in education, and bridge socio-economic disparities.

Creating an inclusive and equitable education system is vital for empowering Muslim students and fostering their holistic development. By providing equal educational opportunities and support to all students, regardless of their backgrounds, India can work towards building a more inclusive and prosperous society where every individual has the chance to reach their full potential and contribute to the nation's progress and development.

## Data on Muslim Education from Other Sources

Including data from various sources, such as the National Sample Survey, provides a more comprehensive perspective on educational variables concerning Muslims in India. By analyzing data from multiple sources, policymakers and researchers can gain a broader understanding of the educational landscape and identify trends and patterns that may not be apparent when relying solely on specific datasets.

The 75th Round on Household Social Consumption on Education in India: 2017-18 is a significant source of data that includes information on educational indicators specific to Muslims. This dataset can offer valuable insights into the educational status and opportunities available to Muslim communities in India.

By combining data from UDISEPlus, AIHES, and the National Sample Survey, stakeholders can cross-verify and validate findings, thereby enhancing the accuracy and reliability of the analysis. This approach also enables researchers to uncover potential discrepancies or gaps in the data, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of the educational challenges faced by Muslim students and communities.

Analyzing data from multiple sources can also facilitate a deeper examination of the factors influencing Muslim educational outcomes, including socio-economic factors, geographic disparities, and cultural influences. This in-depth analysis can further inform the development of targeted policies and interventions aimed at addressing the specific needs of Muslim students and enhancing their educational opportunities and outcomes.

As the Ministry of Statistics \& Programme Implementation continues to collect data through the National Sample Survey and other sources, ongoing research and analysis of educational variables concerning Muslims will be crucial for monitoring progress, identifying areas for improvement, and ensuring that efforts towards inclusivity and equitable education are effective and sustainable.

In conclusion, including data from multiple sources enhances the richness of the analysis and facilitates a more comprehensive understanding of Muslim education in India. By drawing insights from diverse datasets, policymakers, and stakeholders can develop evidence-based strategies to promote educational equity and ensure that all students, including Muslims, have access to quality education and equal opportunities for their holistic development. However, it may be observed that different data sets are available for different years. For example, UDISEPlus data on school education is the latest available for the year 2021-22, AISHE on higher education data is available for 2020-21, and NSSO Household Social Consumption on Education data is the latest available for 2017-18 because of which the same is not comparable.

A few tables are annexed.

## Concluding Observations

Education is vital for promoting equality and social justice. By examining the educational experiences of Muslims, we can ensure that all students, regardless of their religious background, have the opportunity to reach their full potential. Muslims face several challenges in India, including poverty and limited access to quality education. Analyzing the education of Muslims allows us to understand these obstacles better and develop effective policies and programs to address them. We can also learn from the successes and failures of different educational initiatives, ultimately improving the quality of education for all students, irrespective of their religion. This endeavor contributes to building a more just and equitable society for everyone.

This article delves into available data concerning school and higher education in India, explicitly focusing on Muslims. It computes various indicators falling under the different components of Universal School Education, which were not previously considered for the Muslim population. The study highlights the limitations of data on the education of Muslims in India, including indicators such as gross enrollment ratio, dropout and retention rates, gender parity index, and the share of Muslims in total enrollment at different levels of education. In addition, Muslim enrolment, GPI, and GER at higher education levels are also analyzed. The findings provide valuable insights into Muslim education in India.

Additionally, the article analyzes the share and patterns of the Muslim population based on census data from 1951 to 2011. The share of Muslims in the total population has remained relatively stable over the years, accounting for 14.23 percent in 2011. However, a lack of data on the Muslim child population hinders the computation of enrollment-based indicators for Muslim students at various education levels.

The main challenge in studying Muslim education is the limited availability of relevant data. Efforts have been recommended to establish an autonomous National Data Bank (NDB) to address this issue, but it is yet to become fully operational. The most comprehensive data on Muslim education comes from the Unified District Information System and the All India Survey on Higher Education. However, some crucial indicators, such as enrollment ratio, flow rates, dropout, and retention rates, are still unavailable in these sources.

Moreover, there is no data source in India collecting information on Muslim enrollment by age, making it impossible to calculate essential indicators like net enrollment ratio, adjusted NER, and age-specific enrollment ratios for Muslims. This dearth of data hampers the development of effective policies and programs to improve the educational attainment of Muslims. The Department of School Education \& Literacy, Ministry of Education must collaborate with the Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare to include projections of the Muslim population in the next Expert Committee on Population Projections. Extending the coverage of UDISEPlus to collect Muslim enrollment by age and relevant enrollment ratios, transition, and dropout rates in future publications based on UDISEPlus \& AISHE data would also aid in addressing this data gap.

Regarding school education, the data shows progress in the enrollment of Muslim students, particularly girls, at primary, upper primary, and elementary levels over the past four years. However, there remains a gender gap that requires attention to ensure equal opportunities for Muslim boys and girls in schools. Concerted efforts are needed to improve access to and retention of Muslim girls in schools, considering socio-economic factors, cultural beliefs, and other barriers.

In higher education, there has been some improvement in Muslim enrollment, but it still falls below the national average, with variations across different states. Financial constraints, limited access to quality education, and socio-cultural influences contribute to the lower enrollment ratio. However, positive trends, such as increasing gender parity in Muslim enrollment, indicate some progress. Nevertheless, more work is required to provide all Muslim students with opportunities to pursue higher education.

In conclusion, challenges persist despite improving Muslim students' education at school and higher levels. Addressing these challenges requires tackling issues like poverty, improving access to quality schools, providing financial assistance to Muslim families, and raising awareness about the importance of education within Muslim communities. By taking these steps, we can create a more just and equitable society where all students, regardless of religion, can achieve their full potential.
Table 1：MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education

| Year | Primary$(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ |  |  | Upper Primary （VI－VIII） |  |  | Secondary(IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary （XI－XII） |  |  | Total Primary to Higher Secondary（I to XII） |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021－22 | 9265242 | 9769266 | 19034508 | 4832423 | 4793302 | 9625725 | 2472842 | 2383991 | 4856833 | 1611841 | 1462961 | 3074802 | 18182348 | 18409520 | 36591868 |
| 2020－21 | 9311571 | 9768864 | 19080435 | 4749080 | 4639468 | 9388548 | 2539899 | 2377088 | 4916987 | 1468825 | 1347883 | 2816708 | 18069375 | 18133303 | 36202678 |
| 2019－20 | 9148318 | 9572698 | 18721016 | 4572261 | 4423414 | 8995675 | 2444958 | 2273741 | 4718699 | 1346007 | 1220175 | 2566182 | 17511544 | 17490028 | 35001572 |
| 2018－19 | 8585999 | 8963530 | 17549529 | 4264085 | 4113182 | 8377267 | 2278993 | 2125327 | 4404320 | 1178292 | 1080703 | 2258995 | 16307369 | 16282742 | 32590111 |
| 2017－18 | 8795011 | 9189037 | 17984048 | 4379057 | 4201805 | 8580862 | 2229605 | 2092386 | 4321991 | 1160564 | 1073251 | 2233815 | 16564237 | 16556479 | 33120716 |
| 2016－17 | 9090346 | 9464348 | 18554694 | 4411010 | 4183937 | 8594047 | 2181132 | 2018816 | 4199948 | 1017289 | 941085 | 1958374 | 16699777 | 16607286 | 33307063 |
| 2015－16 | 9139234 | 9492461 | 18631695 | 4372472 | 4142781 | 8515253 | 2088037 | 1920110 | 4008147 | 1028440 | 962550 | 1990990 | 16628183 | 16517902 | 33146085 |
| 2014－15 | 9196234 | 9562071 | 18758305 | 4358513 | 4107070 | 8465583 | 1985850 | 1852044 | 3837894 | 996308 | 963067 | 1959375 | 16536905 | 16484252 | 33021157 |
| 2013－14 | 9327267 | 9661457 | 18988724 | 4277718 | 4047648 | 8325366 | 1884856 | 1795917 | 3680773 | 926892 | 917902 | 1844794 | 16416733 | 16422924 | 32839657 |
| 2012－13 | 9420693 | 9719017 | 19139710 | 4048353 | 3815080 | 7863433 | 1597250 | 1538215 | 3135465 | 706008 | 716163 | 1422171 | 15772304 | 15788475 | 31560779 |

Table 2：Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education 2012－13 to 2021－22，All India



|  | ज़ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 8 <br> 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes . \\ & \dot{\gamma} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sigma}{\circ}}{\vec{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\underset{子}{\dot{\sigma}}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{b} \\ \stackrel{+}{+} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \\ & \dot{子} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ơ } \\ \substack{\infty \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | N |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ç } \\ & \text { ò } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c}}{\stackrel{1}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
| 感 | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ . \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 . \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ®. }}{\text { ® }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{4}}{i}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{O}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & \stackrel{i}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}}$ |
|  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\infty} \\ \dot{\infty} \\ \dot{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{+}{\underset{+}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\infty}{+}}$ | $\dot{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} \check{+} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\text { }}{\substack{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\square}$ | ત̌ |
| む |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { İ } \\ \text { İ } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ্ָ} \\ & \text { ふ̀ } \\ & \text { ה̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\lambda}}$ | oे | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\dot{J}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{1}}$ |

Table 3: TOTAL Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of School Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22, All India

| Year | Primary$(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ |  |  | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) |  |  | Secondary(IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) |  |  | Total Primary to Higher Secondary (I to XII) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021-22 | 58324595 | 63517655 | 121842250 | 32278942 | 34511750 | 66790692 | 18456713 | 20071918 | 38528631 | 13831318 | 14747732 | 28579050 | 122891568 | 132849055 | 255740623 |
| 2020-21 | 58491640 | 63529651 | 122021291 | 31889045 | 33965154 | 65854199 | 18623307 | 20383068 | 39006375 | 13068639 | 13853957 | 26922596 | 122072631 | 131731830 | 253804461 |
| 2019-20 | 58434954 | 63251749 | 121686703 | 31445816 | 33427571 | 64873387 | 18392077 | 20072356 | 38464433 | 12616211 | 13330949 | 25947160 | 120889058 | 130082625 | 250971683 |
| 2018-19 | 57721044 | 62509126 | 120230170 | 31107939 | 33159087 | 64267026 | 18327824 | 20006747 | 38334571 | 12324185 | 13182632 | 25506817 | 119480992 | 128857592 | 248338584 |
| 2017-18 | 58792849 | 63585551 | 122378400 | 31723158 | 33725064 | 65448222 | 18308856 | 20171167 | 38480023 | 11791407 | 12891141 | 24682548 | 120616270 | 130372923 | 250989193 |
| 2016-17 | 59563133 | 64244759 | 123807892 | 32073008 | 34006115 | 66079123 | 18443383 | 20380471 | 38823854 | 10744758 | 11880690 | 22625448 | 120824282 | 130512035 | 251336317 |
| 2015-16 | 62249548 | 66873236 | 129122784 | 32873623 | 34720104 | 67593727 | 18597702 | 20547350 | 39145052 | 11733280 | 13002117 | 24735397 | 125454153 | 135142807 | 260596960 |
| 2014-15 | 62892034 | 67609101 | 130501135 | 32663923 | 34501851 | 67165774 | 18180094 | 20121505 | 38301599 | 11061022 | 12440776 | 23501798 | 124797073 | 134673233 | 259470306 |
| 2013-14 | 63836863 | 68591577 | 132428440 | 32343993 | 34127226 | 66471219 | 17639239 | 19657444 | 37296683 | 10485285 | 11829029 | 22314314 | 124305380 | 134205276 | 258510656 |
| 2012-13 | 65176697 | 69607863 | 134784560 | 31666686 | 33259997 | 64926683 | 16320103 | 18320000 | 34640103 | 9267168 | 10656614 | 19923782 | 122430654 | 131844474 | 254275128 |

[^2]Table 4: Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment to Total Enrolment at Different Levels of School Education: 2012-13 to 2021-22, All India

| Year | Primary$(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V})$ |  |  | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) |  |  | Secondary(IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) |  |  | Total Without Pre-Primary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021-22 | 15.89 | 15.38 | 15.62 | 14.97 | 13.89 | 14.41 | 13.40 | 11.88 | 12.61 | 11.65 | 9.92 | 10.76 | 14.80 | 13.86 | 14.31 |
| 2020-21 | 15.92 | 15.38 | 15.64 | 14.89 | 13.66 | 14.26 | 13.64 | 11.66 | 12.61 | 11.24 | 9.73 | 10.46 | 14.80 | 13.77 | 14.26 |
| 2019-20 | 15.66 | 15.13 | 15.38 | 14.54 | 13.23 | 13.87 | 13.29 | 11.33 | 12.27 | 10.67 | 9.15 | 9.89 | 14.49 | 13.45 | 13.95 |
| 2018-19 | 14.87 | 14.34 | 14.60 | 13.71 | 12.40 | 13.04 | 12.43 | 10.62 | 11.49 | 9.56 | 8.20 | 8.86 | 13.65 | 12.64 | 13.12 |
| 2017-18 | 14.96 | 14.45 | 14.70 | 13.80 | 12.46 | 13.11 | 12.18 | 10.37 | 11.23 | 9.84 | 8.33 | 9.05 | 13.73 | 12.70 | 13.20 |
| 2016-17 | 15.26 | 14.73 | 14.99 | 13.75 | 12.30 | 13.01 | 11.83 | 9.91 | 10.82 | 9.47 | 7.92 | 8.66 | 13.82 | 12.72 | 13.25 |
| 2015-16 | 14.68 | 14.19 | 14.43 | 13.30 | 11.93 | 12.60 | 11.23 | 9.34 | 10.24 | 8.77 | 7.40 | 8.05 | 13.25 | 12.22 | 12.72 |
| 2014-15 | 14.62 | 14.14 | 14.37 | 13.34 | 11.90 | 12.60 | 10.92 | 9.20 | 10.02 | 9.01 | 7.74 | 8.34 | 13.25 | 12.24 | 12.73 |
| 2013-14 | 14.61 | 14.09 | 14.34 | 13.23 | 11.86 | 12.52 | 10.69 | 9.14 | 9.87 | 8.84 | 7.76 | 8.27 | 13.21 | 12.24 | 12.70 |
| 2012-13 | 14.45 | 13.96 | 14.20 | 12.78 | 11.47 | 12.11 | 9.79 | 8.40 | 9.05 | 7.62 | 6.72 | 7.14 | 12.88 | 11.98 | 12.41 |

Table 5: MUSLIM Enrolment, Gender Parity Index. 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Primary (I-V) | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary } \\ & \text { (IX-X) } \end{aligned}$ | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) | Total Primary to Higher Secondary (I to XII) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 0.99 |
| 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.00 |
| 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.08 | 1.10 | 1.00 |
| 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.00 |
| 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| 0.96 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.01 |
| 0.96 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.01 |
| 0.96 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.00 |

Table 6: Percentage MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels to Total Muslim Enrolment (I to XII), Gender Parity Index.

| Year |  | Primary |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 2021-22 | 50.96 | 53.07 | 52.02 | 26.58 | 26.04 | 26.31 | 13.60 | 12.95 | 13.27 | 8.86 | 7.95 | 8.40 | 18182348 | 18409520 | 36591868 |
| 2020-21 | 51.53 | 53.87 | 52.70 | 26.28 | 25.59 | 25.93 | 14.06 | 13.11 | 13.58 | 8.13 | 7.43 | 7.78 | 18069375 | 18133303 | 36202678 |
| 2019-20 | 52.24 | 54.73 | 53.49 | 26.11 | 25.29 | 25.70 | 13.96 | 13.00 | 13.48 | 7.69 | 6.98 | 7.33 | 17511544 | 17490028 | 35001572 |
| 2018-19 | 52.65 | 55.05 | 53.85 | 26.15 | 25.26 | 25.70 | 13.98 | 13.05 | 13.51 | 7.23 | 6.64 | 6.93 | 16307369 | 16282742 | 32590111 |
| 2017-18 | 53.10 | 55.50 | 54.30 | 26.44 | 25.38 | 25.91 | 13.46 | 12.64 | 13.05 | 7.01 | 6.48 | 6.74 | 16564237 | 16556479 | 33120716 |
| 2016-17 | 54.43 | 56.99 | 55.71 | 26.41 | 25.19 | 25.80 | 13.06 | 12.16 | 12.61 | 6.09 | 5.67 | 5.88 | 16699777 | 16607286 | 33307063 |
| 2015-16 | 54.96 | 57.47 | 56.21 | 26.30 | 25.08 | 25.69 | 12.56 | 11.62 | 12.09 | 6.18 | 5.83 | 6.01 | 16628183 | 16517902 | 33146085 |
| 2014-15 | 55.61 | 58.01 | 56.81 | 26.36 | 24.92 | 25.64 | 12.01 | 11.24 | 11.62 | 6.02 | 5.84 | 5.93 | 16536905 | 16484252 | 33021157 |
| 2013-14 | 56.82 | 58.83 | 57.82 | 26.06 | 24.65 | 25.35 | 11.48 | 10.94 | 11.21 | 5.65 | 5.59 | 5.62 | 16416733 | 16422924 | 32839657 |
| 2012-13 | 59.73 | 61.56 | 60.64 | 25.67 | 24.16 | 24.92 | 10.13 | 9.74 | 9.93 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.51 | 15772304 | 15788475 | 31560779 |

Table 7: Percent Change in MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of Education, All India, 2012-13 to 2021-22

| Year | Primary |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |  |
| 2021-22 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -1.72 | -3.21 | -2.46 | 2.71 | -0.29 | 1.24 | -8.87 | -7.87 | -8.39 | -0.62 | -1.50 | -1.06 | 36591868 |
| 2020-21 | -1.75 | -2.01 | -1.88 | -3.72 | -4.66 | -4.18 | -3.74 | -4.35 | -4.03 | -8.36 | -9.47 | -8.89 | -3.09 | -3.55 | -3.32 | 36202678 |
| 2019-20 | -6.15 | -6.36 | -6.26 | -6.74 | -7.01 | -6.87 | -6.79 | -6.53 | -6.66 | -12.46 | -11.43 | -11.97 | -6.88 | -6.90 | -6.89 | 35001572 |
| 2018-19 | 2.43 | 2.52 | 2.48 | 2.70 | 2.15 | 2.43 | -2.17 | -1.55 | -1.87 | -1.50 | -0.69 | -1.11 | 1.58 | 1.68 | 1.63 | 32590111 |
| 2017-18 | 3.36 | 3.00 | 3.17 | 0.73 | -0.43 | 0.15 | -2.17 | -3.52 | -2.82 | -12.35 | -12.31 | -12.33 | 0.82 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 33120716 |
| 2016-17 | 0.54 | 0.30 | 0.41 | -0.87 | -0.98 | -0.92 | -4.27 | -4.89 | -4.57 | 1.10 | 2.28 | 1.67 | -0.43 | -0.54 | -0.48 | 33307063 |
| 2015-16 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.68 | -0.32 | -0.86 | -0.58 | -4.89 | -3.54 | -4.25 | -3.12 | 0.05 | -1.59 | -0.55 | -0.20 | -0.38 | 33146085 |
| 2014-15 | 1.42 | 1.04 | 1.23 | -1.85 | -1.45 | -1.66 | -5.09 | -3.03 | -4.09 | -6.97 | -4.69 | -5.85 | -0.73 | -0.37 | -0.55 | 33021157 |
| 2013-14 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.80 | -5.36 | -5.75 | -5.55 | -15.26 | -14.35 | -14.82 | -23.83 | -21.98 | -22.91 | -3.93 | -3.86 | -3.89 | 32839657 |

Table 8: State-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender at Different Levels of

| Year | Primary (I-V) |  |  | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) |  |  | Secondary(IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 1232 | 1286 | 2518 | 775 | 828 | 1603 | 498 | 500 | 998 | 462 | 425 | 887 | 2967 | 3039 | 6006 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 142935 | 154086 | 297021 | 74319 | 77211 | 151530 | 41409 | 42273 | 83682 | 22658 | 23702 | 46360 | 281321 | 297272 | 578593 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1185 | 1306 | 2491 | 487 | 542 | 1029 | 280 | 298 | 578 | 215 | 189 | 404 | 2167 | 2335 | 4502 |
| Assam | 789792 | 807389 | 1597181 | 419084 | 355388 | 774472 | 194240 | 140955 | 335195 | 72971 | 64230 | 137201 | 1476087 | 1367962 | 2844049 |
| Bihar | 1073345 | 1097517 | 2170862 | 554970 | 498196 | 1053166 | 232384 | 195872 | 428256 | 106365 | 101511 | 207876 | 1967064 | 1893096 | 3860160 |
| Chandigarh | 2718 | 3122 | 5840 | 1725 | 1976 | 3701 | 1072 | 1144 | 2216 | 585 | 644 | 1229 | 6100 | 6886 | 12986 |
| Chhattisgarh | 20411 | 22912 | 43323 | 11092 | 11829 | 22921 | 6259 | 6006 | 12265 | 5433 | 4681 | 10114 | 43195 | 45428 | 88623 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli and Daman \& Diu | 1544 | 1788 | 3332 | 925 | 1050 | 1975 | 459 | 560 | 1019 | 252 | 299 | 551 | 3180 | 3697 | 6877 |
| Delhi | 138377 | 141831 | 280208 | 95056 | 96401 | 191457 | 54192 | 53869 | 108061 | 44777 | 38246 | 83023 | 332402 | 330347 | 662749 |
| Goa | 7376 | 7812 | 15188 | 4108 | 4449 | 8557 | 2359 | 2551 | 4910 | 1976 | 2032 | 4008 | 15819 | 16844 | 32663 |
| Gujarat | 247043 | 264170 | 511213 | 137138 | 148096 | 285234 | 62859 | 75223 | 138082 | 35053 | 37028 | 72081 | 482093 | 524517 | 1006610 |


| Primary (I-V) |  |  | Upper Primary (VI-VIII) |  |  | Secondary (IX-X) |  |  | Higher Secondary (XI-XII) |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 121643 | 141359 | 263002 | 48727 | 61066 | 109793 | 20054 | 29110 | 49164 | 9682 | 17735 | 27417 | 200106 | 249270 | 449376 |
| 5046 | 5590 | 10636 | 3059 | 3355 | 6414 | 1699 | 1911 | 3610 | 1105 | 1396 | 2501 | 10909 | 12252 | 23161 |
| 348037 | 368068 | 716105 | 174233 | 182250 | 356483 | 100414 | 109076 | 209490 | 84147 | 93991 | 178138 | 706831 | 753385 | 1460216 |
| 265967 | 272424 | 538391 | 156340 | 146470 | 302810 | 71496 | 59894 | 131390 | 39872 | 33517 | 73389 | 533675 | 512305 | 1045980 |
| 443082 | 469948 | 913030 | 249091 | 259492 | 508583 | 148611 | 148819 | 297430 | 68569 | 55957 | 124526 | 909353 | 934216 | 1843569 |
| 463331 | 489236 | 952567 | 268011 | 280594 | 548605 | 172545 | 182117 | 354662 | 132654 | 122446 | 255100 | 1036541 | 1074393 | 2110934 |
| 6290 | 6353 | 12643 | 429 | 3145 | 6574 | 2295 | 2044 | 4339 | 2131 | 1866 | 3997 | 14145 | 3408 | 7553 |
| 2621 | 2751 | 5372 | 1337 | 1467 | 2804 | 885 | 983 | 1868 | 957 | 963 | 1920 | 5800 | 6164 | 11964 |
| 197765 | 207967 | 405732 | 111733 | 111006 | 222739 | 47935 | 44578 | 92513 | 34186 | 29749 | 63935 | 391619 | 393300 | 784919 |
| 659731 | 689398 | 1349129 | 382172 | 403699 | 785871 | 223484 | 234580 | 458064 | 137000 | 137791 | 274791 | 1402387 | 1465468 | 2867855 |
| 15580 | 16388 | 31968 | 6922 | 6937 | 13859 | 3682 | 3802 | 7484 | 2301 | 2854 | 5155 | 28485 | 29981 | 58466 |
| 11210 | 10862 | 22072 | 5579 | 4676 | 10255 | 1833 | 1877 | 3710 | 892 | 1048 | 1940 | 19514 | 18463 | 37977 |
| 360 | 319 | 679 | 104 | 99 | 203 | 46 | 53 | 99 | 49 | 49 | 98 | 559 | 520 | 1079 |
| 6293 | 6775 | 13068 | 2034 | 1932 | 3966 | 811 | 860 | 1671 | 280 | 338 | 618 | 9418 | 9905 | 19323 |
| 34337 | 36423 | 70760 | 20471 | 20487 | 40958 | 12546 | 11849 | 24395 | 5371 | 4311 | 9682 | 72725 | 73070 | 145795 |
| 3993 | 4204 | 8197 | 2343 | 2398 | 4741 | 1494 | 1472 | 2966 | 1324 | 1299 | 2623 | 9154 | 9373 | 18527 |
| 28932 | 33501 | 62433 | 15338 | 17209 | 32547 | 8048 | 9083 | 17131 | 6285 | 7033 | 13318 | 58603 | 66826 | 125429 |
| 380814 | 429386 | 810200 | 160443 | 186785 | 347228 | 71114 | 87560 | 158674 | 47501 | 62883 | 110384 | 659872 | 766614 | 1426486 |
| 448 | 549 | 997 | 260 | 312 | 572 | 174 | 169 | 343 | 217 | 133 | 350 | 1099 | 1163 | 2262 |
| 180919 | 190357 | 371276 | 107296 | 111476 | 218772 | 70288 | 72649 | 142937 | 58547 | 56832 | 115379 | 417050 | 431314 | 848364 |
| 224236 | 239866 | 464102 | 142064 | 145177 | 287241 | 86747 | 85381 | 172128 | 62269 | 61229 | 123498 | 515316 | 531653 | 1046969 |
| 16516 | 17302 | 33818 | 9340 | 8565 | 17905 | 5870 | 4592 | 10462 | 3004 | 2615 | 5619 | 34730 | 33074 | 67804 |
| 90779 | 100839 | 191618 | 40156 | 41136 | 81292 | 17948 | 16556 | 34504 | 13030 | 10913 | 23943 | 161913 | 169444 | 331357 |
| 2012616 | 2164392 | 4177008 | 862552 | 871069 | 1733621 | 377252 | 407502 | 784754 | 264301 | 279869 | 544170 | 3516721 | 3722832 | 7239553 |
| 1318738 | 1361790 | 2680528 | 759710 | 726534 | 1486244 | 429560 | 348223 | 777783 | 345420 | 203157 | 548577 | 2853428 | 2639704 | 5493132 |
| 9265242 | 9769266 | 19034508 | 4832423 | 4793302 | 9625725 | 2472842 | 2383991 | 4856833 | 1611841 | 1462961 | 3074802 | 18182 | 18409520 | 36591868 |

Table 9: State-wise Percentage of MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels of Education to Total State Enrolment, 2021-22

| Year |  | Primary |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 9.72 | 9.67 | 9.70 | 8.98 | 9.11 | 9.05 | 8.54 | 8.37 | 8.45 | 8.03 | 7.42 | 7.72 | 9.02 | 8.92 | 8.97 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 8.02 | 7.93 | 7.97 | 7.17 | 6.82 | 6.99 | 6.40 | 6.09 | 6.24 | 4.87 | 5.08 | 4.97 | 7.16 | 7.02 | 7.08 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1.47 | 1.56 | 1.52 | 1.12 | 1.31 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.33 | 1.27 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.37 |
| Assam | 43.85 | 43.78 | 43.81 | 42.40 | 38.74 | 40.64 | 36.02 | 30.28 | 33.36 | 25.92 | 24.31 | 25.14 | 40.89 | 39.18 | 40.05 |
| Bihar | 15.69 | 14.95 | 15.31 | 15.34 | 13.70 | 14.52 | 12.81 | 10.86 | 11.84 | 10.94 | 9.92 | 10.42 | 14.85 | 13.72 | 14.27 |
| Chandigarh | 6.03 | 6.14 | 6.09 | 5.80 | 5.75 | 5.77 | 5.41 | 4.77 | 5.06 | 2.97 | 2.79 | 2.88 | 5.33 | 5.21 | 5.27 |
| Chhattisgarh | 1.55 | 1.66 | 1.61 | 1.51 | 1.57 | 1.54 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.50 | 1.57 | 1.54 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 5.46 | 5.71 | 5.59 | 5.55 | 5.62 | 5.59 | 5.35 | 5.55 | 5.46 | 3.41 | 4.12 | 3.76 | 5.22 | 5.49 | 5.36 |
| Delhi | 16.75 | 15.28 | 15.97 | 17.06 | 15.22 | 16.08 | 16.00 | 13.93 | 14.90 | 14.25 | 11.46 | 12.81 | 16.33 | 14.47 | 15.35 |
| Goa | 12.55 | 12.48 | 12.52 | 11.81 | 11.86 | 11.83 | 10.44 | 10.51 | 10.48 | 9.54 | 9.59 | 9.57 | 11.56 | 11.57 | 11.57 |
| Gujarat | 9.73 | 9.27 | 9.49 | 9.44 | 9.04 | 9.23 | 7.95 | 7.84 | 7.89 | 6.64 | 5.96 | 6.27 | 9.08 | 8.64 | 8.85 |
| Haryana | 10.37 | 10.05 | 10.20 | 7.30 | 7.51 | 7.42 | 4.72 | 5.52 | 5.16 | 2.72 | 4.18 | 3.51 | 7.63 | 7.86 | 7.76 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1.92 | 1.94 | 1.93 | 1.87 | 1.88 | 1.87 | 1.66 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 1.13 | 1.72 | 1.76 | 1.74 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 65.59 | 63.87 | 64.69 | 63.13 | 60.44 | 61.73 | 62.02 | 59.74 | 60.81 | 61.43 | 59.68 | 60.49 | 63.94 | 61.86 | 62.85 |
| Jharkhand | 14.76 | 14.05 | 14.39 | 14.84 | 13.81 | 14.32 | 12.95 | 11.16 | 12.06 | 10.58 | 9.13 | 9.87 | 14.10 | 13.13 | 13.60 |
| Karnataka | 16.92 | 16.56 | 16.73 | 16.06 | 15.77 | 15.91 | 15.49 | 14.72 | 15.10 | 11.33 | 9.35 | 10.34 | 15.86 | 15.33 | 15.59 |
| Kerala | 38.25 | 38.38 | 38.31 | 36.56 | 36.54 | 36.55 | 35.57 | 35.64 | 35.61 | 29.95 | 28.56 | 29.27 | 36.09 | 36.02 | 36.05 |
| Ladakh | 55.75 | 54.72 | 55.23 | 54.79 | 53.24 | 54.04 | 58.20 | 56.17 | 57.23 | 61.68 | 62.72 | 62.16 | 56.72 | 55.57 | 56.15 |
| Lakshadweep | 99.43 | 99.71 | 99.57 | 99.78 | 99.59 | 99.68 | 99.77 | 99.70 | 99.73 | 99.90 | 99.79 | 99.84 | 99.64 | 99.69 | 99.67 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 5.61 | 5.43 | 5.51 | 5.51 | 5.06 | 5.27 | 4.62 | 3.85 | 4.21 | 4.37 | 3.43 | 3.88 | 5.31 | 4.88 | 5.09 |
| Maharashtra | 14.50 | 13.74 | 14.10 | 13.95 | 12.96 | 13.42 | 12.85 | 11.75 | 12.26 | 10.12 | 8.89 | 9.46 | 13.51 | 12.55 | 13.00 |
| Manipur | 10.29 | 10.33 | 10.31 | 9.24 | 9.13 | 9.19 | 8.12 | 8.33 | 8.22 | 5.84 | 7.10 | 6.48 | 9.16 | 9.36 | 9.26 |
| Meghalaya | 4.26 | 4.06 | 4.16 | 4.32 | 4.07 | 4.20 | 2.85 | 3.53 | 3.16 | 2.51 | 3.81 | 3.08 | 3.97 | 3.99 | 3.98 |
| Mizoram | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.39 |
| Nagaland | 7.22 | 7.47 | 7.34 | 4.19 | 4.03 | 4.11 | 2.68 | 3.02 | 2.85 | 1.56 | 2.10 | 1.81 | 5.12 | 5.41 | 5.26 |


| Year | Primary |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| Odisha | 2.02 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.03 | 1.93 | 1.98 | 2.06 | 1.86 | 1.96 | 1.53 | 1.27 | 1.40 | 1.98 | 1.90 | 1.94 |
| Puducherry | 8.72 | 8.53 | 8.62 | 7.95 | 7.66 | 7.80 | 7.44 | 7.03 | 7.23 | 7.16 | 7.35 | 7.25 | 8.04 | 7.86 | 7.95 |
| Punjab | 2.70 | 2.67 | 2.69 | 2.42 | 2.32 | 2.37 | 2.04 | 1.92 | 1.97 | 1.76 | 1.65 | 1.70 | 2.38 | 2.31 | 2.34 |
| Rajasthan | 9.77 | 9.85 | 9.81 | 7.97 | 8.14 | 8.06 | 6.43 | 6.66 | 6.56 | 4.83 | 5.22 | 5.04 | 8.25 | 8.35 | 8.31 |
| Sikkim | 2.07 | 2.27 | 2.17 | 1.79 | 2.08 | 1.94 | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.48 | 2.20 | 1.67 | 1.96 | 1.90 | 1.99 | 1.94 |
| Tamilnadu | 7.28 | 7.12 | 7.20 | 7.11 | 6.94 | 7.02 | 6.96 | 6.79 | 6.87 | 6.30 | 6.43 | 6.36 | 7.03 | 6.92 | 6.97 |
| Telangana | 15.50 | 15.04 | 15.26 | 16.39 | 15.54 | 15.95 | 15.90 | 14.90 | 15.39 | 15.56 | 15.21 | 15.38 | 15.81 | 15.17 | 15.48 |
| Tripura | 10.76 | 10.88 | 10.83 | 10.45 | 9.50 | 9.97 | 10.52 | 8.39 | 9.46 | 7.17 | 6.58 | 6.88 | 10.20 | 9.63 | 9.91 |
| Uttarakhand | 18.27 | 17.85 | 18.04 | 14.26 | 13.10 | 13.64 | 10.35 | 8.61 | 9.44 | 8.16 | 6.32 | 7.20 | 14.57 | 13.62 | 14.07 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 17.45 | 17.12 | 17.27 | 15.39 | 14.55 | 14.96 | 13.34 | 12.00 | 12.61 | 12.25 | 10.68 | 11.39 | 15.89 | 15.10 | 15.48 |
| West Bengal | 33.93 | 33.38 | 33.65 | 34.51 | 32.72 | 33.61 | 29.95 | 26.14 | 28.11 | 30.84 | 22.83 | 27.29 | 33.01 | 30.97 | 32.00 |
| Total | 15.89 | 15.38 | 15.62 | 14.97 | 13.89 | 14.41 | 13.40 | 11.88 | 12.61 | 11.65 | 9.92 | 10.76 | 14.80 | 13.86 | 14.31 |

Table 10: State-wise Distribution of MUSLIM Enrolment at Different Levels of Education to Total State Enrolment, 2021-22

| Year | Primary |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 41.52 | 42.32 | 41.92 | 26.12 | 27.25 | 26.69 | 16.78 | 16.45 | 16.62 | 15.57 | 13.98 | 14.77 | 2967 | 3039 | 6006 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 50.81 | 51.83 | 51.34 | 26.42 | 25.97 | 26.19 | 14.72 | 14.22 | 14.46 | 8.05 | 7.97 | 8.01 | 281321 | 297272 | 578593 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 54.68 | 55.93 | 55.33 | 22.47 | 23.21 | 22.86 | 12.92 | 12.76 | 12.84 | 9.92 | 8.09 | 8.97 | 2167 | 2335 | 4502 |
| Assam | 53.51 | 59.02 | 56.16 | 28.39 | 25.98 | 27.23 | 13.16 | 10.30 | 11.79 | 4.94 | 4.70 | 4.82 | 1476087 | 1367962 | 2844049 |
| Bihar | 54.57 | 57.97 | 56.24 | 28.21 | 26.32 | 27.28 | 11.81 | 10.35 | 11.09 | 5.41 | 5.36 | 5.39 | 1967064 | 1893096 | 3860160 |
| Chandigarh | 44.56 | 45.34 | 44.97 | 28.28 | 28.70 | 28.50 | 17.57 | 16.61 | 17.06 | 9.59 | 9.35 | 9.46 | 6100 | 6886 | 12986 |
| Chhattisgarh | 47.25 | 50.44 | 48.88 | 25.68 | 26.04 | 25.86 | 14.49 | 13.22 | 13.84 | 12.58 | 10.30 | 11.41 | 43195 | 45428 | 88623 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 48.55 | 48.36 | 48.45 | 29.09 | 28.40 | 28.72 | 14.43 | 15.15 | 14.82 | 7.92 | 8.09 | 8.01 | 3180 | 3697 | 6877 |
| Delhi | 41.63 | 42.93 | 42.28 | 28.60 | 29.18 | 28.89 | 16.30 | 16.31 | 16.30 | 13.47 | 11.58 | 12.53 | 332402 | 330347 | 662749 |
| Goa | 46.63 | 46.38 | 46.50 | 25.97 | 26.41 | 26.20 | 14.91 | 15.14 | 15.03 | 12.49 | 12.06 | 12.27 | 15819 | 16844 | 32663 |
| Gujarat | 51.24 | 50.36 | 50.79 | 28.45 | 28.23 | 28.34 | 13.04 | 14.34 | 13.72 | 7.27 | 7.06 | 7.16 | 482093 | 524517 | 1006610 |
| Haryana | 60.79 | 56.71 | 58.53 | 24.35 | 24.50 | 24.43 | 10.02 | 11.68 | 10.94 | 4.84 | 7.11 | 6.10 | 200106 | 249270 | 449376 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 46.26 | 45.63 | 45.92 | 28.04 | 27.38 | 27.69 | 15.57 | 15.60 | 15.59 | 10.13 | 11.39 | 10.80 | 10909 | 12252 | 23161 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 49.24 | 48.86 | 49.04 | 24.65 | 24.19 | 24.41 | 14.21 | 14.48 | 14.35 | 11.90 | 12.48 | 12.20 | 706831 | 753385 | 1460216 |
| Jharkhand | 49.84 | 53.18 | 51.47 | 29.29 | 28.59 | 28.95 | 13.40 | 11.69 | 12.56 | 7.47 | 6.54 | 7.02 | 533675 | 512305 | 1045980 |
| Karnataka | 48.72 | 50.30 | 49.53 | 27.39 | 27.78 | 27.59 | 16.34 | 15.93 | 16.13 | 7.54 | 5.99 | 6.75 | 909353 | 934216 | 1843569 |
| Kerala | 44.70 | 45.54 | 45.13 | 25.86 | 26.12 | 25.99 | 16.65 | 16.95 | 16.80 | 12.80 | 11.40 | 12.08 | 1036541 | 1074393 | 2110934 |
| Ladakh | 44.47 | 47.38 | 45.89 | 24.24 | 23.46 | 23.86 | 16.22 | 15.24 | 15.75 | 15.07 | 13.92 | 14.51 | 14145 | 13408 | 27553 |
| Lakshadweep | 45.19 | 44.63 | 44.90 | 23.05 | 23.80 | 23.44 | 15.26 | 15.95 | 15.61 | 16.50 | 15.62 | 16.05 | 5800 | 6164 | 11964 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 50.50 | 52.88 | 51.69 | 28.53 | 28.22 | 28.38 | 12.24 | 11.33 | 11.79 | 8.73 | 7.56 | 8.15 | 391619 | 393300 | 784919 |
| Maharashtra | 47.04 | 47.04 | 47.04 | 27.25 | 27.55 | 27.40 | 15.94 | 16.01 | 15.97 | 9.77 | 9.40 | 9.58 | 1402387 | 1465468 | 2867855 |
| Manipur | 54.70 | 54.66 | 54.68 | 24.30 | 23.14 | 23.70 | 12.93 | 12.68 | 12.80 | 8.08 | 9.52 | 8.82 | 28485 | 29981 | 58466 |
| Meghalaya | 57.45 | 58.83 | 58.12 | 28.59 | 25.33 | 27.00 | 9.39 | 10.17 | 9.77 | 4.57 | 5.68 | 5.11 | 19514 | 18463 | 37977 |
| Mizoram | 64.40 | 61.35 | 62.93 | 18.60 | 19.04 | 18.81 | 8.23 | 10.19 | 9.18 | 8.77 | 9.42 | 9.08 | 559 | 520 | 1079 |
| Nagaland | 66.82 | 68.40 | 67.63 | 21.60 | 19.51 | 20.52 | 8.61 | 8.68 | 8.65 | 2.97 | 3.41 | 3.20 | 9418 | 9905 | 19323 |


| Year | Primary |  |  | Upper Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Total Enrolment: Grades I to XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| Odisha | 47.21 | 49.85 | 48.53 | 28.15 | 28.04 | 28.09 | 17.25 | 16.22 | 16.73 | 7.39 | 5.90 | 6.64 | 72725 | 73070 | 145795 |
| Puducherry | 43.62 | 44.85 | 44.24 | 25.60 | 25.58 | 25.59 | 16.32 | 15.70 | 16.01 | 14.46 | 13.86 | 14.16 | 9154 | 9373 | 18527 |
| Punjab | 49.37 | 50.13 | 49.78 | 26.17 | 25.75 | 25.95 | 13.73 | 13.59 | 13.66 | 10.72 | 10.52 | 10.62 | 58603 | 66826 | 125429 |
| Rajasthan | 57.71 | 56.01 | 56.80 | 24.31 | 24.36 | 24.34 | 10.78 | 11.42 | 11.12 | 7.20 | 8.20 | 7.74 | 659872 | 766614 | 1426486 |
| Sikkim | 40.76 | 47.21 | 44.08 | 23.66 | 26.83 | 25.29 | 15.83 | 14.53 | 15.16 | 19.75 | 11.44 | 15.47 | 1099 | 1163 | 2262 |
| Tamilnadu | 43.38 | 44.13 | 43.76 | 25.73 | 25.85 | 25.79 | 16.85 | 16.84 | 16.85 | 14.04 | 13.18 | 13.60 | 417050 | 431314 | 848364 |
| Telangana | 43.51 | 45.12 | 44.33 | 27.57 | 27.31 | 27.44 | 16.83 | 16.06 | 16.44 | 12.08 | 11.52 | 11.80 | 515316 | 531653 | 1046969 |
| Tripura | 47.56 | 52.31 | 49.88 | 26.89 | 25.90 | 26.41 | 16.90 | 13.88 | 15.43 | 8.65 | 7.91 | 8.29 | 34730 | 33074 | 67804 |
| Uttarakhand | 56.07 | 59.51 | 57.83 | 24.80 | 24.28 | 24.53 | 11.08 | 9.77 | 10.41 | 8.05 | 6.44 | 7.23 | 161913 | 169444 | 331357 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 57.23 | 58.14 | 57.70 | 24.53 | 23.40 | 23.95 | 10.73 | 10.95 | 10.84 | 7.52 | 7.52 | 7.52 | 3516721 | 3722832 | 7239553 |
| West Bengal | 46.22 | 51.59 | 48.80 | 26.62 | 27.52 | 27.06 | 15.05 | 13.19 | 14.16 | 12.11 | 7.70 | 9.99 | 2853428 | 2639704 | 5493132 |
| Total | 50.96 | 53.07 | 52.02 | 26.58 | 26.04 | 26.31 | 13.60 | 12.95 | 13.27 | 8.86 | 7.95 | 8.40 | 18182348 | 18409520 | 36591868 |

Table 11: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Primary Level in 2021-22 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 1329 | 1456 | 2785 | 1232 | 1286 | 2518 | -97 | -170 | -267 | -7.30 | -11.68 | -9.59 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 159269 | 172743 | 332012 | 142935 | 154086 | 297021 | -16334 | -18657 | -34991 | -10.26 | -10.80 | -10.54 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1175 | 1267 | 2442 | 1185 | 1306 | 2491 | 10 | 39 | 49 | 0.85 | 3.08 | 2.01 |
| Assam | 811499 | 828113 | 1639612 | 789792 | 807389 | 1597181 | -21707 | -20724 | -42431 | -2.67 | -2.50 | -2.59 |
| Bihar | 1054933 | 1056897 | 2111830 | 1073345 | 1097517 | 2170862 | 18412 | 40620 | 59032 | 1.75 | 3.84 | 2.80 |
| Chandigarh | 2542 | 2808 | 5350 | 2718 | 3122 | 5840 | 176 | 314 | 490 | 6.92 | 11.18 | 9.16 |
| Chhattisgarh | 21691 | 24045 | 45736 | 20411 | 22912 | 43323 | -1280 | -1133 | -2413 | -5.90 | -4.71 | -5.28 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D D D | 1683 | 1851 | 3534 | 1544 | 1788 | 3332 | -139 | -63 | -202 | -8.26 | -3.40 | -5.72 |
| Delhi | 138466 | 141906 | 280372 | 138377 | 141831 | 280208 | -89 | -75 | -164 | -0.06 | -0.05 | -0.06 |
| Goa | 7354 | 7840 | 15194 | 7376 | 7812 | 15188 | 22 | -28 | -6 | 0.30 | -0.36 | -0.04 |
| Gujarat | 249558 | 267916 | 517474 | 247043 | 264170 | 511213 | -2515 | -3746 | -6261 | -1.01 | -1.40 | -1.21 |
| Haryana | 108346 | 127170 | 235516 | 121643 | 141359 | 263002 | 13297 | 14189 | 27486 | 12.27 | 11.16 | 11.67 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 4914 | 5599 | 10513 | 5046 | 5590 | 10636 | 132 | -9 | 123 | 2.69 | -0.16 | 1.17 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 338559 | 355907 | 694466 | 348037 | 368068 | 716105 | 9478 | 12161 | 21639 | 2.80 | 3.42 | 3.12 |
| Jharkhand | 274376 | 282417 | 556793 | 265967 | 272424 | 538391 | -8409 | -9993 | -18402 | -3.06 | -3.54 | -3.30 |
| Karnataka | 422271 | 445361 | 867632 | 443082 | 469948 | 913030 | 20811 | 24587 | 45398 | 4.93 | 5.52 | 5.23 |
| Kerala | 454169 | 473984 | 928153 | 463331 | 489236 | 952567 | 9162 | 15252 | 24414 | 2.02 | 3.22 | 2.63 |
| Ladakh | 6396 | 6490 | 12886 | 6290 | 6353 | 12643 | -106 | -137 | -243 | -1.66 | -2.11 | -1.89 |
| Lakshadweep | 2575 | 2694 | 5269 | 2621 | 2751 | 5372 | 46 | 57 | 103 | 1.79 | 2.12 | 1.95 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 185415 | 194186 | 379601 | 197765 | 207967 | 405732 | 12350 | 13781 | 26131 | 6.66 | 7.10 | 6.88 |
| Maharashtra | 663863 | 691693 | 1355556 | 659731 | 689398 | 1349129 | -4132 | -2295 | -6427 | -0.62 | -0.33 | -0.47 |
| Manipur | 17824 | 18094 | 35918 | 15580 | 16388 | 31968 | -2244 | -1706 | -3950 | -12.59 | -9.43 | -11.00 |
| Meghalaya | 11948 | 11692 | 23640 | 11210 | 10862 | 22072 | -738 | -830 | -1568 | -6.18 | -7.10 | -6.63 |
| Mizoram | 460 | 528 | 988 | 360 | 319 | 679 | -100 | -209 | -309 | -21.74 | -39.58 | -31.28 |
| Nagaland | 5962 | 6522 | 12484 | 6293 | 6775 | 13068 | 331 | 253 | 584 | 5.55 | 3.88 | 4.68 |
| Odisha | 36939 | 39586 | 76525 | 34337 | 36423 | 70760 | -2602 | -3163 | -5765 | -7.04 | -7.99 | -7.53 |
| Puducherry | 3962 | 4295 | 8257 | 3993 | 4204 | 8197 | 31 | -91 | -60 | 0.78 | -2.12 | -0.73 |
| Punjab | 33237 | 37960 | 71197 | 28932 | 33501 | 62433 | -4305 | -4459 | -8764 | -12.95 | -11.75 | -12.31 |
| Rajasthan | 383097 | 436016 | 819113 | 380814 | 429386 | 810200 | -2283 | -6630 | -8913 | -0.60 | -1.52 | -1.09 |
| Sikkim | 485 | 561 | 1046 | 448 | 549 | 997 | -37 | -12 | -49 | -7.63 | -2.14 | -4.68 |
| Tamilnadu | 179126 | 187979 | 367105 | 180919 | 190357 | 371276 | 1793 | 2378 | 4171 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 1.14 |
| Telangana | 234982 | 251008 | 485990 | 224236 | 239866 | 464102 | -10746 | -11142 | -21888 | -4.57 | -4.44 | -4.50 |
| Tripura | 16837 | 17336 | 34173 | 16516 | 17302 | 33818 | -321 | -34 | -355 | -1.91 | -0.20 | -1.04 |
| Uttarakhand | 88300 | 99229 | 187529 | 90779 | 100839 | 191618 | 2479 | 1610 | 4089 | 2.81 | 1.62 | 2.18 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2022317 | 2159856 | 4182173 | 2012616 | 2164392 | 4177008 | -9701 | 4536 | -5165 | -0.48 | 0.21 | -0.12 |
| West Bengal | 1365712 | 1405859 | 2771571 | 1318738 | 1361790 | 2680528 | -46974 | -44069 | -91043 | -3.44 | -3.13 | -3.28 |
| Total | 9311571 | 9768864 | 19080435 | 9265242 | 9769266 | 19034508 | -46329 | 402 | -45927 | -0.50 | 0.004 | -0.24 |

Table 12: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Upper Primary Level in 2021-22 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 796 | 801 | 1597 | 775 | 828 | 1603 | -21 | 27 | 6 | -2.64 | 3.37 | 0.38 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 87448 | 92023 | 179471 | 74319 | 77211 | 151530 | -13129 | -14812 | -27941 | -15.01 | -16.10 | -15.57 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 696 | 645 | 1341 | 487 | 542 | 1029 | -209 | -103 | -312 | -30.03 | -15.97 | -23.27 |
| Assam | 438006 | 373816 | 811822 | 419084 | 355388 | 774472 | -18922 | -18428 | -37350 | -4.32 | -4.93 | -4.60 |
| Bihar | 538722 | 458495 | 997217 | 554970 | 498196 | 1053166 | 16248 | 39701 | 55949 | 3.02 | 8.66 | 5.61 |
| Chandigarh | 1699 | 1892 | 3591 | 1725 | 1976 | 3701 | 26 | 84 | 110 | 1.53 | 4.44 | 3.06 |
| Chhattisgarh | 11658 | 12509 | 24167 | 11092 | 11829 | 22921 | -566 | -680 | -1246 | -4.86 | -5.44 | $-5.16$ |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 892 | 1021 | 1913 | 925 | 1050 | 1975 | 33 | 29 | 62 | 3.70 | 2.84 | 3.24 |
| Delhi | 91359 | 92708 | 184067 | 95056 | 96401 | 191457 | 3697 | 3693 | 7390 | 4.05 | 3.98 | 4.01 |
| Goa | 4429 | 4728 | 9157 | 4108 | 4449 | 8557 | -321 | -279 | -600 | -7.25 | -5.90 | -6.55 |
| Gujarat | 136786 | 149113 | 285899 | 137138 | 148096 | 285234 | 352 | -1017 | -665 | 0.26 | -0.68 | -0.23 |
| Haryana | 43831 | 55367 | 99198 | 48727 | 61066 | 109793 | 4896 | 5699 | 10595 | 11.17 | 10.29 | 10.68 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 3160 | 3389 | 6549 | 3059 | 3355 | 6414 | -101 | -34 | -135 | -3.20 | -1.00 | -2.06 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 171727 | 180978 | 352705 | 174233 | 182250 | 356483 | 2506 | 1272 | 3778 | 1.46 | 0.70 | 1.07 |
| Jharkhand | 153627 | 139197 | 292824 | 156340 | 146470 | 302810 | 2713 | 7273 | 9986 | 1.77 | 5.22 | 3.41 |
| Karnataka | 243736 | 251049 | 494785 | 249091 | 259492 | 508583 | 5355 | 8443 | 13798 | 2.20 | 3.36 | 2.79 |
| Kerala | 264644 | 274399 | 539043 | 268011 | 280594 | 548605 | 3367 | 6195 | 9562 | 1.27 | 2.26 | 1.77 |
| Ladakh | 3571 | 3370 | 6941 | 3429 | 3145 | 6574 | -142 | -225 | -367 | -3.98 | -6.68 | -5.29 |
| Lakshadweep | 1150 | 1293 | 2443 | 1337 | 1467 | 2804 | 187 | 174 | 361 | 16.26 | 13.46 | 14.78 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 102153 | 102283 | 204436 | 111733 | 111006 | 222739 | 9580 | 8723 | 18303 | 9.38 | 8.53 | 8.95 |
| Maharashtra | 385694 | 402927 | 788621 | 382172 | 403699 | 785871 | -3522 | 772 | -2750 | -0.91 | 0.19 | -0.35 |
| Manipur | 7875 | 8137 | 16012 | 6922 | 6937 | 13859 | -953 | -1200 | -2153 | -12.10 | -14.75 | -13.45 |
| Meghalaya | 5272 | 4485 | 9757 | 5579 | 4676 | 10255 | 307 | 191 | 498 | 5.82 | 4.26 | 5.10 |
| Mizoram | 178 | 190 | 368 | 104 | 99 | 203 | -74 | -91 | -165 | -41.57 | -47.89 | -44.84 |
| Nagaland | 1923 | 1976 | 3899 | 2034 | 1932 | 3966 | 111 | -44 | 67 | 5.77 | -2.23 | 1.72 |
| Odisha | 21747 | 22061 | 43808 | 20471 | 20487 | 40958 | -1276 | -1574 | -2850 | -5.87 | -7.13 | -6.51 |
| Puducherry | 2473 | 2383 | 4856 | 2343 | 2398 | 4741 | -130 | 15 | -115 | -5.26 | 0.63 | -2.37 |
| Punjab | 16676 | 18973 | 35649 | 15338 | 17209 | 32547 | -1338 | -1764 | -3102 | -8.02 | -9.30 | -8.70 |
| Rajasthan | 154525 | 183587 | 338112 | 160443 | 186785 | 347228 | 5918 | 3198 | 9116 | 3.83 | 1.74 | 2.70 |
| Sikkim | 295 | 328 | 623 | 260 | 312 | 572 | -35 | -16 | -51 | -11.86 | -4.88 | -8.19 |
| Tamilnadu | 106407 | 110040 | 216447 | 107296 | 111476 | 218772 | 889 | 1436 | 2325 | 0.84 | 1.30 | 1.07 |
| Telangana | 144049 | 147194 | 291243 | 142064 | 145177 | 287241 | -1985 | -2017 | -4002 | -1.38 | -1.37 | -1.37 |
| Tripura | 9896 | 8929 | 18825 | 9340 | 8565 | 17905 | -556 | -364 | -920 | -5.62 | -4.08 | -4.89 |
| Uttarakhand | 38386 | 39746 | 78132 | 40156 | 41136 | 81292 | 1770 | 1390 | 3160 | 4.61 | 3.50 | 4.04 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 823420 | 833203 | 1656623 | 862552 | 871069 | 1733621 | 39132 | 37866 | 76998 | 4.75 | 4.54 | 4.65 |
| West Bengal | 730174 | 656233 | 1386407 | 759710 | 726534 | 1486244 | 29536 | 70301 | 99837 | 4.05 | 10.71 | 7.20 |
| Total | 4749080 | 4639468 | 9388548 | 4832423 | 4793302 | 9625725 | 83343 | 153834 | 237177 | 1.75 | 3.316 | 2.53 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22.

Table 13: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Upper Primary Level in 2020-2 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 540 | 539 | 1079 | 498 | 500 | 998 | -42 | -39 | -81 | -7.78 | -7.24 | -7.51 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 49578 | 52330 | 101908 | 41409 | 42273 | 83682 | -8169 | -10057 | -18226 | -16.48 | -19.22 | -17.88 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 364 | 318 | 682 | 280 | 298 | 578 | -84 | -20 | -104 | -23.08 | -6.29 | -15.25 |
| Assam | 194756 | 145827 | 340583 | 194240 | 140955 | 335195 | -516 | -4872 | -5388 | -0.26 | -3.34 | -1.58 |
| Bihar | 223977 | 188194 | 412171 | 232384 | 195872 | 428256 | 8407 | 7678 | 16085 | 3.75 | 4.08 | 3.90 |
| Chandigarh | 1015 | 1155 | 2170 | 1072 | 1144 | 2216 | 57 | -11 | 46 | 5.62 | -0.95 | 2.12 |
| Chhattisgarh | 6776 | 6407 | 13183 | 6259 | 6006 | 12265 | -517 | -401 | -918 | -7.63 | -6.26 | -6.96 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 465 | 599 | 1064 | 459 | 560 | 1019 | -6 | -39 | -45 | -1.29 | -6.51 | -4.23 |
| Delhi | 57479 | 55361 | 112840 | 54192 | 53869 | 108061 | -3287 | -1492 | -4779 | -5.72 | -2.70 | -4.24 |
| Goa | 2697 | 3068 | 5765 | 2359 | 2551 | 4910 | -338 | -517 | -855 | -12.53 | -16.85 | -14.83 |
| Gujarat | 64798 | 80365 | 145163 | 62859 | 75223 | 138082 | -1939 | -5142 | -7081 | -2.99 | -6.40 | -4.88 |
| Haryana | 17770 | 28723 | 46493 | 20054 | 29110 | 49164 | 2284 | 387 | 2671 | 12.85 | 1.35 | 5.74 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1790 | 2322 | 4112 | 1699 | 1911 | 3610 | -91 | -411 | -502 | -5.08 | -17.70 | -12.21 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 97307 | 105938 | 203245 | 100414 | 109076 | 209490 | 3107 | 3138 | 6245 | 3.19 | 2.96 | 3.07 |
| Jharkhand | 63546 | 50675 | 114221 | 71496 | 59894 | 131390 | 7950 | 9219 | 17169 | 12.51 | 18.19 | 15.03 |
| Karnataka | 141487 | 143206 | 284693 | 148611 | 148819 | 297430 | 7124 | 5613 | 12737 | 5.04 | 3.92 | 4.47 |
| Kerala | 171526 | 180185 | 351711 | 172545 | 182117 | 354662 | 1019 | 1932 | 2951 | 0.59 | 1.07 | 0.84 |
| Ladakh | 2288 | 2094 | 4382 | 2295 | 2044 | 4339 | 7 | -50 | -43 | 0.31 | -2.39 | -0.98 |
| Lakshadweep | 1078 | 1159 | 2237 | 885 | 983 | 1868 | -193 | -176 | -369 | -17.90 | -15.19 | -16.50 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 43226 | 40770 | 83996 | 47935 | 44578 | 92513 | 4709 | 3808 | 8517 | 10.89 | 9.34 | 10.14 |
| Maharashtra | 225052 | 235590 | 460642 | 223484 | 234580 | 458064 | -1568 | -1010 | -2578 | -0.70 | -0.43 | -0.56 |
| Manipur | 4437 | 4563 | 9000 | 3682 | 3802 | 7484 | -755 | -761 | -1516 | -17.02 | -16.68 | -16.84 |
| Meghalaya | 1872 | 1914 | 3786 | 1833 | 1877 | 3710 | -39 | -37 | -76 | -2.08 | -1.93 | -2.01 |
| Mizoram | 54 | 60 | 114 | 46 | 53 | 99 | -8 | -7 | -15 | -14.81 | -11.67 | -13.16 |
| Nagaland | 717 | 764 | 1481 | 811 | 860 | 1671 | 94 | 96 | 190 | 13.11 | 12.57 | 12.83 |
| Odisha | 13282 | 12857 | 26139 | 12546 | 11849 | 24395 | -736 | -1008 | -1744 | -5.54 | -7.84 | -6.67 |
| Puducherry | 1500 | 1406 | 2906 | 1494 | 1472 | 2966 | -6 | 66 | 60 | -0.40 | 4.69 | 2.06 |
| Punjab | 8415 | 9889 | 18304 | 8048 | 9083 | 17131 | -367 | -806 | -1173 | -4.36 | -8.15 | -6.41 |
| Rajasthan | 73894 | 96683 | 170577 | 71114 | 87560 | 158674 | -2780 | -9123 | -11903 | -3.76 | -9.44 | -6.98 |
| Sikkim | 176 | 189 | 365 | 174 | 169 | 343 | -2 | -20 | -22 | -1.14 | -10.58 | -6.03 |
| Tamilnadu | 67443 | 69963 | 137406 | 70288 | 72649 | 142937 | 2845 | 2686 | 5531 | 4.22 | 3.84 | 4.03 |
| Telangana | 87083 | 87099 | 174182 | 86747 | 85381 | 172128 | -336 | -1718 | -2054 | -0.39 | -1.97 | -1.18 |
| Tripura | 5517 | 4043 | 9560 | 5870 | 4592 | 10462 | 353 | 549 | 902 | 6.40 | 13.58 | 9.44 |
| Uttarakhand | 17739 | 16785 | 34524 | 17948 | 16556 | 34504 | 209 | -229 | -20 | 1.18 | -1.36 | -0.06 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 365660 | 398038 | 763698 | 377252 | 407502 | 784754 | 11592 | 9464 | 21056 | 3.17 | 2.38 | 2.76 |
| West Bengal | 524595 | 348010 | 872605 | 429560 | 348223 | 777783 | -95035 | 213 | -94822 | -18.12 | 0.06 | -10.87 |
| Total | 2539899 | 2377088 | 4916987 | 2472842 | 2383991 | 4856833 | -67057 | 6903 | -60154 | -2.64 | 0.290 | -1.22 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22

Table 14: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Secondary Level in 2020-21 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 540 | 539 | 1079 | 498 | 500 | 998 | -42 | -39 | -81 | -7.78 | -7.24 | -7.51 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 49578 | 52330 | 101908 | 41409 | 42273 | 83682 | -8169 | -10057 | -18226 | -16.48 | -19.22 | -17.88 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 364 | 318 | 682 | 280 | 298 | 578 | -84 | -20 | -104 | -23.08 | -6.29 | -15.25 |
| Assam | 194756 | 145827 | 340583 | 194240 | 140955 | 335195 | -516 | -4872 | -5388 | -0.26 | -3.34 | -1.58 |
| Bihar | 223977 | 188194 | 412171 | 232384 | 195872 | 428256 | 8407 | 7678 | 16085 | 3.75 | 4.08 | 3.90 |
| Chandigarh | 1015 | 1155 | 2170 | 1072 | 1144 | 2216 | 57 | -11 | 46 | 5.62 | -0.95 | 2.12 |
| Chhattisgarh | 6776 | 6407 | 13183 | 6259 | 6006 | 12265 | -517 | -401 | -918 | -7.63 | -6.26 | -6.96 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 465 | 599 | 1064 | 459 | 560 | 1019 | -6 | -39 | -45 | -1.29 | -6.51 | -4.23 |
| Delhi | 57479 | 55361 | 112840 | 54192 | 53869 | 108061 | -3287 | -1492 | -4779 | -5.72 | -2.70 | -4.24 |
| Goa | 2697 | 3068 | 5765 | 2359 | 2551 | 4910 | -338 | -517 | -855 | -12.53 | -16.85 | -14.83 |
| Gujarat | 64798 | 80365 | 145163 | 62859 | 75223 | 138082 | -1939 | -5142 | -7081 | -2.99 | -6.40 | -4.88 |
| Haryana | 17770 | 28723 | 46493 | 20054 | 29110 | 49164 | 2284 | 387 | 2671 | 12.85 | 1.35 | 5.74 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1790 | 2322 | 4112 | 1699 | 1911 | 3610 | -91 | -411 | -502 | -5.08 | -17.70 | -12.21 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 97307 | 105938 | 203245 | 100414 | 109076 | 209490 | 3107 | 3138 | 6245 | 3.19 | 2.96 | 3.07 |
| Jharkhand | 63546 | 50675 | 114221 | 71496 | 59894 | 131390 | 7950 | 9219 | 17169 | 12.51 | 18.19 | 15.03 |
| Karnataka | 141487 | 143206 | 284693 | 148611 | 148819 | 297430 | 7124 | 5613 | 12737 | 5.04 | 3.92 | 4.47 |
| Kerala | 171526 | 180185 | 351711 | 172545 | 182117 | 354662 | 1019 | 1932 | 2951 | 0.59 | 1.07 | 0.84 |
| Ladakh | 2288 | 2094 | 4382 | 2295 | 2044 | 4339 | 7 | -50 | -43 | 0.31 | -2.39 | -0.98 |
| Lakshadweep | 1078 | 1159 | 2237 | 885 | 983 | 1868 | -193 | -176 | -369 | $-17.90$ | -15.19 | -16.50 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 43226 | 40770 | 83996 | 47935 | 44578 | 92513 | 4709 | 3808 | 8517 | 10.89 | 9.34 | 10.14 |
| Maharashtra | 225052 | 235590 | 460642 | 223484 | 234580 | 458064 | -1568 | -1010 | -2578 | -0.70 | -0.43 | -0.56 |
| Manipur | 4437 | 4563 | 9000 | 3682 | 3802 | 7484 | -755 | -761 | -1516 | -17.02 | -16.68 | -16.84 |
| Meghalaya | 1872 | 1914 | 3786 | 1833 | 1877 | 3710 | -39 | -37 | -76 | -2.08 | -1.93 | -2.01 |
| Mizoram | 54 | 60 | 114 | 46 | 53 | 99 | -8 | -7 | -15 | -14.81 | -11.67 | -13.16 |
| Nagaland | 717 | 764 | 1481 | 811 | 860 | 1671 | 94 | 96 | 190 | 13.11 | 12.57 | 12.83 |
| Odisha | 13282 | 12857 | 26139 | 12546 | 11849 | 24395 | -736 | -1008 | -1744 | -5.54 | -7.84 | -6.67 |
| Puducherry | 1500 | 1406 | 2906 | 1494 | 1472 | 2966 | -6 | 66 | 60 | -0.40 | 4.69 | 2.06 |
| Punjab | 8415 | 9889 | 18304 | 8048 | 9083 | 17131 | -367 | -806 | -1173 | -4.36 | -8.15 | -6.41 |
| Rajasthan | 73894 | 96683 | 170577 | 71114 | 87560 | 158674 | -2780 | -9123 | -11903 | -3.76 | -9.44 | -6.98 |
| Sikkim | 176 | 189 | 365 | 174 | 169 | 343 | -2 | -20 | -22 | -1.14 | -10.58 | -6.03 |
| Tamilnadu | 67443 | 69963 | 137406 | 70288 | 72649 | 142937 | 2845 | 2686 | 5531 | 4.22 | 3.84 | 4.03 |
| Telangana | 87083 | 87099 | 174182 | 86747 | 85381 | 172128 | -336 | -1718 | -2054 | -0.39 | -1.97 | -1.18 |
| Tripura | 5517 | 4043 | 9560 | 5870 | 4592 | 10462 | 353 | 549 | 902 | 6.40 | 13.58 | 9.44 |
| Uttarakhand | 17739 | 16785 | 34524 | 17948 | 16556 | 34504 | 209 | -229 | -20 | 1.18 | -1.36 | -0.06 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 365660 | 398038 | 763698 | 377252 | 407502 | 784754 | 11592 | 9464 | 21056 | 3.17 | 2.38 | 2.76 |
| West Bengal | 524595 | 348010 | 872605 | 429560 | 348223 | 777783 | -95035 | 213 | -94822 | -18.12 | 0.06 | -10.87 |
| Total | 2539899 | 2377088 | 4916987 | 2472842 | 2383991 | 4856833 | -67057 | 6903 | -60154 | -2.64 | 0.290 | -1.22 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22.

Table 15: Percentage Change in MUSLIM Enrolment at Higher Secondary Level in 2020-21 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 448 | 318 | 766 | 462 | 425 | 887 | 14 | 107 | 121 | 3.13 | 33.65 | 15.80 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 32660 | 33767 | 66427 | 22658 | 23702 | 46360 | -10002 | -10065 | -20067 | -30.62 | -29.81 | -30.21 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 162 | 150 | 312 | 215 | 189 | 404 | 53 | 39 | 92 | 32.72 | 26.00 | 29.49 |
| Assam | 53733 | 53027 | 106760 | 72971 | 64230 | 137201 | 19238 | 11203 | 30441 | 35.80 | 21.13 | 28.51 |
| Bihar | 97263 | 93014 | 190277 | 106365 | 101511 | 207876 | 9102 | 8497 | 17599 | 9.36 | 9.14 | 9.25 |
| Chandigarh | 597 | 557 | 1154 | 585 | 644 | 1229 | -12 | 87 | 75 | -2.01 | 15.62 | 6.50 |
| Chhattisgarh | 5069 | 3774 | 8843 | 5433 | 4681 | 10114 | 364 | 907 | 1271 | 7.18 | 24.03 | 14.37 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 228 | 227 | 455 | 252 | 299 | 551 | 24 | 72 | 96 | 10.53 | 31.72 | 21.10 |
| Delhi | 37293 | 30241 | 67534 | 44777 | 38246 | 83023 | 7484 | 8005 | 15489 | 20.07 | 26.47 | 22.94 |
| Goa | 1886 | 1712 | 3598 | 1976 | 2032 | 4008 | 90 | 320 | 410 | 4.77 | 18.69 | 11.40 |
| Gujarat | 31363 | 31239 | 62602 | 35053 | 37028 | 72081 | 3690 | 5789 | 9479 | 11.77 | 18.53 | 15.14 |
| Haryana | 7002 | 13253 | 20255 | 9682 | 17735 | 27417 | 2680 | 4482 | 7162 | 38.27 | 33.82 | 35.36 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1188 | 1263 | 2451 | 1105 | 1396 | 2501 | -83 | 133 | 50 | -6.99 | 10.53 | 2.04 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 76899 | 88080 | 164979 | 84147 | 93991 | 178138 | 7248 | 5911 | 13159 | 9.43 | 6.71 | 7.98 |
| Jharkhand | 35392 | 29599 | 64991 | 39872 | 33517 | 73389 | 4480 | 3918 | 8398 | 12.66 | 13.24 | 12.92 |
| Karnataka | 72285 | 58579 | 130864 | 68569 | 55957 | 124526 | -3716 | -2622 | -6338 | -5.14 | -4.48 | -4.84 |
| Kerala | 130737 | 119381 | 250118 | 132654 | 122446 | 255100 | 1917 | 3065 | 4982 | 1.47 | 2.57 | 1.99 |
| Ladakh | 2221 | 1843 | 4064 | 2131 | 1866 | 3997 | -90 | 23 | -67 | -4.05 | 1.25 | -1.65 |
| Lakshadweep | 994 | 1021 | 2015 | 957 | 963 | 1920 | -37 | -58 | -95 | -3.72 | -5.68 | -4.71 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 27783 | 22633 | 50416 | 34186 | 29749 | 63935 | 6403 | 7116 | 13519 | 23.05 | 31.44 | 26.81 |
| Maharashtra | 128952 | 123759 | 252711 | 137000 | 137791 | 274791 | 8048 | 14032 | 22080 | 6.24 | 11.34 | 8.74 |
| Manipur | 2926 | 3020 | 5946 | 2301 | 2854 | 5155 | -625 | -166 | -791 | -21.36 | -5.50 | -13.30 |
| Meghalaya | 676 | 794 | 1470 | 892 | 1048 | 1940 | 216 | 254 | 470 | 31.95 | 31.99 | 31.97 |
| Mizoram | 29 | 14 | 43 | 49 | 49 | 98 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 68.97 | 250.00 | 127.91 |
| Nagaland | 293 | 296 | 589 | 280 | 338 | 618 | -13 | 42 | 29 | -4.44 | 14.19 | 4.92 |
| Odisha | 7345 | 6520 | 13865 | 5371 | 4311 | 9682 | -1974 | -2209 | -4183 | -26.88 | -33.88 | -30.17 |
| Puducherry | 1202 | 1107 | 2309 | 1324 | 1299 | 2623 | 122 | 192 | 314 | 10.15 | 17.34 | 13.60 |
| Punjab | 6349 | 6845 | 13194 | 6285 | 7033 | 13318 | -64 | 188 | 124 | -1.01 | 2.75 | 0.94 |
| Rajasthan | 41681 | 54153 | 95834 | 47501 | 62883 | 110384 | 5820 | 8730 | 14550 | 13.96 | 16.12 | 15.18 |
| Sikkim | 129 | 125 | 254 | 217 | 133 | 350 | 88 | 8 | 96 | 68.22 | 6.40 | 37.80 |
| Tamilnadu | 55281 | 52291 | 107572 | 58547 | 56832 | 115379 | 3266 | 4541 | 7807 | 5.91 | 8.68 | 7.26 |
| Telangana | 54948 | 53918 | 108866 | 62269 | 61229 | 123498 | 7321 | 7311 | 14632 | 13.32 | 13.56 | 13.44 |
| Tripura | 2480 | 2279 | 4759 | 3004 | 2615 | 5619 | 524 | 336 | 860 | 21.13 | 14.74 | 18.07 |
| Uttarakhand | 12066 | 9686 | 21752 | 13030 | 10913 | 23943 | 964 | 1227 | 2191 | 7.99 | 12.67 | 10.07 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 256853 | 262172 | 519025 | 264301 | 279869 | 544170 | 7448 | 17697 | 25145 | 2.90 | 6.75 | 4.84 |
| West Bengal | 282412 | 187226 | 469638 | 345420 | 203157 | 548577 | 63008 | 15931 | 78939 | 22.31 | 8.51 | 16.81 |
| Total | 1468825 | 1347883 | 2816708 | 1611841 | 1462961 | 3074802 | 143016 | 115078 | 258094 | 9.74 | 8.538 | 9.16 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22.

Table 16: Percentage Change in TOTAL MUSLIM Enrolment (Grades I to XII) in 2020-21 over 2020-21

| State/UT | 2020-21 |  |  | 2021-22 |  |  | Change over the Previous Year |  |  | \%Change over the Previous Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 3113 | 3114 | 6227 | 2967 | 3039 | 6006 | -146 | -75 | -221 | -4.69 | -2.41 | -3.55 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 328955 | 350863 | 679818 | 281321 | 297272 | 578593 | -47634 | -53591 | -101225 | -14.48 | -15.27 | -14.89 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2397 | 2380 | 4777 | 2167 | 2335 | 4502 | -230 | -45 | -275 | -9.60 | -1.89 | -5.76 |
| Assam | 1497994 | 1400783 | 2898777 | 1476087 | 1367962 | 2844049 | -21907 | -32821 | -54728 | -1.46 | -2.34 | -1.89 |
| Bihar | 1914895 | 1796600 | 3711495 | 1967064 | 1893096 | 3860160 | 52169 | 96496 | 148665 | 2.72 | 5.37 | 4.01 |
| Chandigarh | 5853 | 6412 | 12265 | 6100 | 6886 | 12986 | 247 | 474 | 721 | 4.22 | 7.39 | 5.88 |
| Chhattisgarh | 45194 | 46735 | 91929 | 43195 | 45428 | 88623 | -1999 | -1307 | -3306 | -4.42 | -2.80 | -3.60 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 3268 | 3698 | 6966 | 3180 | 3697 | 6877 | -88 | -1 | -89 | -2.69 | -0.03 | -1.28 |
| Delhi | 324597 | 320216 | 644813 | 332402 | 330347 | 662749 | 7805 | 10131 | 17936 | 2.40 | 3.16 | 2.78 |
| Goa | 16366 | 17348 | 33714 | 15819 | 16844 | 32663 | -547 | -504 | -1051 | -3.34 | -2.91 | -3.12 |
| Gujarat | 482505 | 528633 | 1011138 | 482093 | 524517 | 1006610 | -412 | -4116 | -4528 | -0.09 | -0.78 | -0.45 |
| Haryana | 176949 | 224513 | 401462 | 200106 | 249270 | 449376 | 23157 | 24757 | 47914 | 13.09 | 11.03 | 11.93 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 11052 | 12573 | 23625 | 10909 | 12252 | 23161 | -143 | -321 | -464 | -1.29 | -2.55 | -1.96 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 684492 | 730903 | 1415395 | 706831 | 753385 | 1460216 | 22339 | 22482 | 44821 | 3.26 | 3.08 | 3.17 |
| Jharkhand | 526941 | 501888 | 1028829 | 533675 | 512305 | 1045980 | 6734 | 10417 | 17151 | 1.28 | 2.08 | 1.67 |
| Karnataka | 879779 | 898195 | 1777974 | 909353 | 934216 | 1843569 | 29574 | 36021 | 65595 | 3.36 | 4.01 | 3.69 |
| Kerala | 1021076 | 1047949 | 2069025 | 1036541 | 1074393 | 2110934 | 15465 | 26444 | 41909 | 1.51 | 2.52 | 2.03 |
| Ladakh | 14476 | 13797 | 28273 | 14145 | 13408 | 27553 | -331 | -389 | -720 | -2.29 | -2.82 | -2.55 |
| Lakshadweep | 5797 | 6167 | 11964 | 5800 | 6164 | 11964 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0.05 | -0.05 | 0.00 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 358577 | 359872 | 718449 | 391619 | 393300 | 784919 | 33042 | 33428 | 66470 | 9.21 | 9.29 | 9.25 |
| Maharashtra | 1403561 | 1453969 | 2857530 | 1402387 | 1465468 | 2867855 | -1174 | 11499 | 10325 | -0.08 | 0.79 | 0.36 |
| Manipur | 33062 | 33814 | 66876 | 28485 | 29981 | 58466 | -4577 | -3833 | -8410 | -13.84 | -11.34 | -12.58 |
| Meghalaya | 19768 | 18885 | 38653 | 19514 | 18463 | 37977 | -254 | -422 | -676 | -1.28 | -2.23 | -1.75 |
| Mizoram | 721 | 792 | 1513 | 559 | 520 | 1079 | -162 | -272 | -434 | -22.47 | -34.34 | -28.68 |
| Nagaland | 8895 | 9558 | 18453 | 9418 | 9905 | 19323 | 523 | 347 | 870 | 5.88 | 3.63 | 4.71 |
| Odisha | 79313 | 81024 | 160337 | 72725 | 73070 | 145795 | -6588 | -7954 | -14542 | -8.31 | -9.82 | -9.07 |
| Puducherry | 9137 | 9191 | 18328 | 9154 | 9373 | 18527 | 17 | 182 | 199 | 0.19 | 1.98 | 1.09 |
| Punjab | 64677 | 73667 | 138344 | 58603 | 66826 | 125429 | -6074 | -6841 | -12915 | -9.39 | -9.29 | -9.34 |
| Rajasthan | 653197 | 770439 | 1423636 | 659872 | 766614 | 1426486 | 6675 | -3825 | 2850 | 1.02 | -0.50 | 0.20 |
| Sikkim | 1085 | 1203 | 2288 | 1099 | 1163 | 2262 | 14 | -40 | -26 | 1.29 | -3.33 | -1.14 |
| Tamilnadu | 408257 | 420273 | 828530 | 417050 | 431314 | 848364 | 8793 | 11041 | 19834 | 2.15 | 2.63 | 2.39 |
| Telangana | 521062 | 539219 | 1060281 | 515316 | 531653 | 1046969 | -5746 | -7566 | -13312 | -1.10 | -1.40 | -1.26 |
| Tripura | 34730 | 32587 | 67317 | 34730 | 33074 | 67804 | 0 | 487 | 487 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 0.72 |
| Uttarakhand | 156491 | 165446 | 321937 | 161913 | 169444 | 331357 | 5422 | 3998 | 9420 | 3.46 | 2.42 | 2.93 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 3468250 | 3653269 | 7121519 | 3516721 | 3722832 | 7239553 | 48471 | 69563 | 118034 | 1.40 | 1.90 | 1.66 |
| West Bengal | 2902893 | 2597328 | 5500221 | 2853428 | 2639704 | 5493132 | -49465 | 42376 | -7089 | -1.70 | 1.63 | -0.13 |
| Total | 18069375 | 18133303 | 36202678 | 18182348 | 18409520 | 36591868 | 112973 | 276217 | 389190 | 0.63 | 1.523 | 1.08 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22.

Table 17: Ratio of Muslim Girls to Boys in Enrolment at Different Levels: UDISEPlus 2021-22

| State/UT | Educational Level |  |  |  |  | Sec + Hr. Sec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Upper Primary | Elementary | Secondary | Higher Secondary |  |
| A \& N Islands | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.04 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 1.14 | 1.02 |
| Assam | 0.98 | 1.18 | 1.04 | 1.38 | 1.14 | 1.30 |
| Bihar | 0.98 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.14 |
| Chandigarh | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.93 |
| Chhattisgarh | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 1.04 | 1.16 | 1.09 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.83 |
| Delhi | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.17 | 1.07 |
| Goa | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.95 |
| Gujarat | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.87 |
| Haryana | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.63 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 0.85 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.91 |
| Jharkhand | 0.98 | 1.07 | 1.01 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| Karnataka | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.23 | 1.06 |
| Kerala | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| Ladakh | 0.99 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.13 |
| Lakshadweep | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 0.95 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.08 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
| Maharashtra | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| Manipur | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.90 |
| Meghalaya | 1.03 | 1.19 | 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 0.93 |
| Mizoram | 1.13 | 1.05 | 1.11 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
| Nagaland | 0.93 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.91 |
| Odisha | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.06 | 1.25 | 1.11 |
| Puducherry | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| Punjab | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Rajasthan | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.79 |
| Sikkim | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 1.03 | 1.63 | 1.29 |
| Tamilnadu | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Telangana | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| Tripura | 0.95 | 1.09 | 1.00 | 1.28 | 1.15 | 1.23 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 0.93 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| Uttarakhand | 0.90 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 1.08 | 1.19 | 1.13 |
| West Bengal | 0.97 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.23 | 1.70 | 1.41 |
| All India | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 1.06 |

Source: Calculated based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22.

## MUSLIM Flow Rates: Promotion, Repetition, and Dropout Rates

By using the grade-specific enrolment and number of repeaters in the years 2020-21 and 2021-22, this section has made an effort to compute Flow Rates in the case of MUSLIM Students, which is unique and is not attempted previously. The Flow Rates and the Transition Rates in the case of Muslim Students and Retention Rates are also computed. Negative dropout rates in a few grades are because of inconstant enrolment data and consideration of all schools, not the common ones.

In addition, the number of MUSLIM students who dropped out by gender between the years 2020-21 and 2021-22 has also worked out both all-India and State-wide.

Help received from Dr. Ganesh Kumar Nigam in this regard is greatly acknowledged.
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Table 19: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender Grades I to VIII), 2020-21

| State/UT | C6 Boys | C6 Girls | C6 Total | C7 Boys | C7 Girls | C7 Total | C8 Boys | C8 Girls | C8 Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A \& N Islands | 283 | 252 | 535 | 259 | 282 | 541 | 259 | 262 | 521 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 31,830 | 29,924 | 61,754 | 31,416 | 29,694 | 61,110 | 28,777 | 27,830 | 56,607 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 224 | 252 | 476 | 225 | 222 | 447 | 196 | 222 | 418 |
| Assam | 1,32,883 | 1,51,152 | 2,84,035 | 1,25,840 | 1,47,088 | 2,72,928 | 1,15,093 | 1,39,766 | 2,54,859 |
| Bihar | 1,58,378 | 1,77,049 | 3,35,427 | 1,53,212 | 1,80,970 | 3,34,182 | 1,46,905 | 1,80,703 | 3,27,608 |
| Chandigarh | 613 | 558 | 1,171 | 680 | 576 | 1,256 | 599 | 565 | 1,164 |
| Chhattisgarh | 4,233 | 3,917 | 8,150 | 4,165 | 3,893 | 8,058 | 4,111 | 3,848 | 7,959 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D \& D | 348 | 319 | 667 | 360 | 287 | 647 | 313 | 286 | 599 |
| Delhi | 32,008 | 30,713 | 62,721 | 30,736 | 30,804 | 61,540 | 29,964 | 29,842 | 59,806 |
| Goa | 1,608 | 1,538 | 3,146 | 1,624 | 1,535 | 3,159 | 1,496 | 1,356 | 2,852 |
| Gujarat | 51,667 | 47,745 | 99,412 | 47,313 | 43,552 | 90,865 | 50,133 | 45,489 | 95,622 |
| Haryana | 19,147 | 15,340 | 34,487 | 18,416 | 14,396 | 32,812 | 17,804 | 14,095 | 31,899 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1,133 | 1,084 | 2,217 | 1,168 | 1,065 | 2,233 | 1,088 | 1,011 | 2,099 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 61,430 | 58,762 | 1,20,192 | 60,222 | 57,096 | 1,17,318 | 59,326 | 55,869 | 1,15,195 |
| Jharkhand | 48,651 | 52,656 | 1,01,307 | 45,750 | 49,952 | 95,702 | 44,796 | 51,019 | 95,815 |
| Karnataka | 87,086 | 83,288 | 1,70,374 | 85,415 | 82,577 | 1,67,992 | 78,548 | 77,871 | 1,56,419 |
| Kerala | 92,929 | 89,021 | 1,81,950 | 90,931 | 88,580 | 1,79,511 | 90,539 | 87,043 | 1,77,582 |
| Ladakh | 1,149 | 1,191 | 2,340 | 1,118 | 1,191 | 2,309 | 1,103 | 1,189 | 2,292 |
| Lakshadweep | 626 | 465 | 1,091 | 378 | 411 | 789 | 289 | 274 | 563 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 34,660 | 34,382 | 69,042 | 35,052 | 34,921 | 69,973 | 32,571 | 32,850 | 65,421 |
| Maharashtra | 1,38,873 | 1,32,439 | 2,71,312 | 1,37,137 | 1,31,365 | 2,68,502 | 1,26,917 | 1,21,890 | 2,48,807 |
| Manipur | 2,697 | 2,725 | 5,422 | 2,753 | 2,542 | 5,295 | 2,687 | 2,608 | 5,295 |
| Meghalaya | 1,565 | 1,859 | 3,424 | 1,541 | 1,772 | 3,313 | 1,379 | 1,641 | 3,020 |
| Mizoram | 76 | 76 | 152 | 50 | 65 | 115 | 64 | 37 | 101 |
| Nagaland | 777 | 766 | 1,543 | 632 | 646 | 1,278 | 567 | 511 | 1,078 |
| Odisha | 6,999 | 6,893 | 13,892 | 7,201 | 6,932 | 14,133 | 7,861 | 7,922 | 15,783 |
| Puducherry | 825 | 860 | 1,685 | 800 | 799 | 1,599 | 758 | 814 | 1,572 |
| Punjab | 6,611 | 5,952 | 12,563 | 6,497 | 5,540 | 12,037 | 5,865 | 5,184 | 11,049 |
| Rajasthan | 66,247 | 56,861 | 1,23,108 | 61,410 | 51,767 | 1,13,177 | 55,930 | 45,897 | 1,01,827 |
| Sikkim | 110 | 89 | 199 | 114 | 90 | 204 | 104 | 116 | 220 |
| Tamilnadu | 35,518 | 34,604 | 70,122 | 36,906 | 35,626 | 72,532 | 37,616 | 36,177 | 73,793 |
| Telangana | 52,439 | 49,770 | 1,02,209 | 49,718 | 48,965 | 98,683 | 45,037 | 45,314 | 90,351 |
| Tripura | 2,950 | 2,997 | 5,947 | 3,033 | 3,343 | 6,376 | 2,946 | 3,556 | 6,502 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2,73,324 | 2,71,087 | 5,44,411 | 2,82,891 | 2,78,546 | 5,61,437 | 2,76,988 | 2,73,787 | 5,50,775 |
| Uttarakhand | 13,231 | 12,700 | 25,931 | 13,563 | 12,981 | 26,544 | 12,952 | 12,705 | 25,657 |
| West Bengal | 2,25,057 | 2,38,023 | 4,63,080 | 2,10,637 | 2,29,021 | 4,39,658 | 2,20,539 | 2,63,130 | 4,83,669 |
| All India | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 8 , 1 8 5}$ | 15,97,309 | 31,85,494 | 15,49,163 | 15,79,092 | 31,28,255 | 15,02,120 | 15,72,679 | 30,74,799 |

Source: UDISEPlus 2020-21.
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 Table 20：Grade－wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender（Grades I to VIII），2021－22
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Table 21: Grade-wise MUSLIM Enrolment by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2021-22

| State/UT | C6 Girls | C6 Total | C7 Boys | C7 Girls | C7 Total | C8 Boys | C8 Girls | C8 Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A \& N Islands | 248 | 531 | 281 | 236 | 517 | 264 | 291 | 555 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 25,787 | 52,545 | 26,191 | 24,931 | 51,122 | 24,262 | 23,601 | 47,863 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 174 | 344 | 204 | 181 | 385 | 168 | 132 | 300 |
| Assam | 1,42,612 | 2,67,850 | 1,19,183 | 1,40,622 | 2,59,805 | 1,10,967 | 1,35,850 | 2,46,817 |
| Bihar | 1,80,623 | 3,52,519 | 1,66,660 | 1,85,412 | 3,52,072 | 1,59,640 | 1,88,935 | 3,48,575 |
| Chandigarh | 556 | 1,193 | 656 | 576 | 1,232 | 683 | 593 | 1,276 |
| Chhattisgarh | 3,696 | 7,732 | 3,920 | 3,692 | 7,612 | 3,873 | 3,704 | 7,577 |
| D \& N Haveli \& D | 332 | 697 | 341 | 326 | 667 | 344 | 267 | 611 |
| Delhi | 31,928 | 64,423 | 31,903 | 31,201 | 63,104 | 32,003 | 31,927 | 63,930 |
| Goa | 1,403 | 2,883 | 1,502 | 1,346 | 2,848 | 1,467 | 1,359 | 2,826 |
| Gujarat | 47,898 | 99,402 | 50,201 | 46,622 | 96,823 | 46,391 | 42,618 | 89,009 |
| Haryana | 19,119 | 42,291 | 19,367 | 15,219 | 34,586 | 18,527 | 14,389 | 32,916 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1,021 | 2,216 | 1,124 | 1,012 | 2,136 | 1,036 | 1,026 | 2,062 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 58,552 | 1,20,096 | 60,433 | 58,292 | 1,18,725 | 60,273 | 57,389 | 1,17,662 |
| Jharkhand | 53,806 | 1,06,235 | 48,352 | 52,710 | 1,01,062 | 45,689 | 49,824 | 95,513 |
| Karnataka | 84,526 | 1,73,906 | 86,640 | 83,123 | 1,69,763 | 83,472 | 81,442 | 1,64,914 |
| Kerala | 89,647 | 1,83,512 | 93,804 | 89,325 | 1,83,129 | 92,925 | 89,039 | 1,81,964 |
| Ladakh | 1,103 | 2,172 | 1,081 | 1,170 | 2,251 | 995 | 1,156 | 2,151 |
| Lakshadweep | 478 | 969 | 594 | 459 | 1,053 | 382 | 400 | 782 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 38,072 | 76,452 | 36,036 | 36,656 | 72,692 | 36,590 | 37,005 | 73,595 |
| Maharashtra | 1,30,254 | 2,67,812 | 1,37,089 | 1,28,670 | 2,65,759 | 1,29,052 | 1,23,248 | 2,52,300 |
| Manipur | 2,296 | 4,596 | 2,207 | 2,302 | 4,509 | 2,430 | 2,324 | 4,754 |
| Meghalaya | 2,059 | 3,764 | 1,501 | 1,840 | 3,341 | 1,470 | 1,680 | 3,150 |
| Mizoram | 49 | 89 | 24 | 32 | 56 | 35 | 23 | 58 |
| Nagaland | 745 | 1,451 | 699 | 729 | 1,428 | 527 | 560 | 1,087 |
| Odisha | 7,002 | 14,141 | 6,718 | 6,658 | 13,376 | 6,630 | 6,811 | 13,441 |
| Puducherry | 787 | 1,584 | 814 | 806 | 1,620 | 787 | 750 | 1,537 |
| Punjab | 5,366 | 11,374 | 5,734 | 5,169 | 10,903 | 5,467 | 4,803 | 10,270 |
| Rajasthan | 57,798 | 1,25,053 | 63,605 | 54,780 | 1,18,385 | 55,925 | 47,865 | 1,03,790 |
| Sikkim | 91 | 194 | 108 | 80 | 188 | 101 | 89 | 190 |
| Tamilnadu | 35,760 | 73,161 | 36,478 | 35,430 | 71,908 | 37,597 | 36,106 | 73,703 |
| Telangana | 48,255 | 98,205 | 49,644 | 47,988 | 97,632 | 45,583 | 45,821 | 91,404 |
| Tripura | 3,158 | 6,031 | 2,885 | 2,931 | 5,816 | 2,807 | 3,251 | 6,058 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 3,06,771 | 6,20,095 | 2,76,739 | 2,76,525 | 5,53,264 | 2,81,006 | 2,79,256 | 5,60,262 |
| Uttarakhand | 14,411 | 29,378 | 13,272 | 13,022 | 26,294 | 12,897 | 12,723 | 25,620 |
| West Bengal | 2,52,928 | 5,02,852 | 2,40,936 | 2,51,275 | 4,92,211 | 2,35,674 | 2,55,507 | 4,91,181 |
| All India | 16,49,311 | 33,17,748 | 15,86,926 | 16,01,348 | 31,88,274 | 15,37,939 | 15,81,764 | 31,19,703 |

Source: UDISEPlus 2020-21.
Table 22: Grade-wise MUSLIM Number of Repeaters by Gender (Grades I to VIII), 2021-22

| State/UT | $\begin{gathered} \text { C1 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { C1 }}{\text { Cirls }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C1 } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C2 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C2 } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\mathbf{C} 2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C3 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C3 } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C3 } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { C4 }}{\substack{\text { Boys }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C4 } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C4 } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C5 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C5 } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C5 } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C6 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C6 } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C6 } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C7 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Girls }}{\text { Gir }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C7} \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C8 } \\ \text { Boys } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A \& N Islands Nicobar Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 23 | 25 | 48 | 21 | 19 | 40 | 18 | 26 | 44 | 28 | 31 | 59 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 4 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 2 |
| Assam | 200 | 152 | 352 | 119 | 112 | 231 | 139 | 102 | 241 | 111 | 100 | 211 | 143 | 104 | 247 | 110 | 141 | 251 | 128 | 128 | 256 | 114 |
| Bihar | 35 | 15 | 50 | 29 | 16 | 45 | 29 | 13 | 42 | 27 | 20 | 47 | 30 | 19 | 49 | 19 | 8 | 27 | 33 | 17 | 50 | 34 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | 6 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 7 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Delhi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Goa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gujarat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Haryana | 52 | 46 | 98 | 88 | 48 | 136 | 45 | 36 | 81 | 49 | 37 | 86 | 43 | 29 | 72 | 25 | 9 | 34 | 29 | 19 | 48 | 17 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 106 | 88 | 194 | 94 | 87 | 181 | 67 | 55 | 122 | 44 | 61 | 105 | 50 | 55 | 105 | 32 | 41 | 73 | 28 | 37 | 65 | 31 |
| Jharkhand | 141 | 84 | 225 | 108 | 74 | 182 | 94 | 55 | 149 | 117 | 80 | 197 | 88 | 67 | 155 | 84 | 65 | 149 | 63 | 78 | 141 | 65 |
| Karnataka | 1,052 | 1,048 | 2,100 | 796 | 862 | 1,658 | 923 | 918 | 1,841 | 836 | 817 | 1,653 | 747 | 812 | 1,559 | 661 | 764 | 1,425 | 643 | 758 | 1,401 | 560 |
| Kerala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ladakh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 221 | 183 | 404 | 191 | 156 | 347 | 214 | 154 | 368 | 200 | 167 | 367 | 215 | 137 | 352 | 185 | 143 | 328 | 180 | 149 | 329 | 191 |
| Maharashtra | 15 | 12 | 27 | 31 | 20 | 51 | 34 | 13 | 47 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 24 | 25 | 49 | 27 | 19 | 46 | 30 | 15 | 45 | 36 |
| Manipur | 6 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Meghalaya | 10 | 10 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 23 | 42 | 14 | 14 | 28 | 17 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | 59 | 26 | 85 | 49 | 24 | 73 | 41 | 30 | 71 | 25 | 18 | 43 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 33 | 19 | 52 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 16 |
| Odisha | 4 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 13 |
| Puducherry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Punjab | 12 | 11 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 8 |
| Rajasthan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tamilnadu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telangana | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 23 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2,393 | 1,929 | 4,322 | 2,326 | 2,040 | 4,366 | 2,510 | 2,133 | 4,643 | 2,298 | 2,046 | 4,344 | 2,134 | 1,822 | 3,956 | 1,753 | 1,575 | 3,328 | 1,697 | 1,447 | 3,144 | 1,727 |
| Uttarakhand | 6 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| West Bengal | 1,655 | 1,613 | 3,268 | 1,675 | 1,588 | 3,263 | 1,637 | 1,543 | 3,180 | 1,509 | 1,483 | 2,992 | 12,501 | 13,346 | 25,847 | 12,164 | 13,261 | 25,425 | 11,400 | 14,500 | 25,900 | 11,769 |
| All India | 6,004 | 5,280 | 11,284 | 5,583 | 5,089 | 10,672 | 5,807 | 5,103 | 10,910 | 5,313 | 4,910 | 10,223 | 16,080 | 16,491 | 32,571 | 15,164 | 16,101 | 31,265 | 14,309 | 17,218 | 31,527 | 14,639 |

Table 23: Muslim Flow Rates at Primary Level (Grades I to V): Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)

| State/UT | Promotion rate |  |  | Repetition rate |  |  | Drop out rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| A \& N Islands | 91.35 | 95.41 | 93.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.65 | 4.59 | 6.71 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 87.93 | 89.69 | 88.78 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 12.00 | 10.23 | 11.15 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 86.66 | 86.81 | 86.73 | 2.45 | 2.04 | 2.25 | 10.89 | 11.15 | 11.02 |
| Assam | 90.24 | 93.10 | 91.66 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 9.68 | 6.83 | 8.27 |
| Bihar | 102.08 | 101.55 | 101.82 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | -2.10 | -1.56 | -1.83 |
| Chandigarh | 112.22 | 107.24 | 109.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -12.22 | -7.24 | -9.85 |
| Chhattisgarh | 92.60 | 91.52 | 92.09 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 7.23 | 8.34 | 7.76 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 99.84 | 95.07 | 97.57 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 4.87 | 2.35 |
| Delhi | 108.30 | 107.47 | 107.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -8.31 | -7.47 | -7.89 |
| Goa | 99.18 | 100.71 | 99.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.82 | -0.71 | 0.08 |
| Gujarat | 98.47 | 98.79 | 98.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.53 | 1.21 | 1.37 |
| Haryana | 107.14 | 108.21 | 107.63 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.20 | $-7.36$ | $-8.39$ | -7.83 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 102.25 | 104.52 | 103.31 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | -2.29 | -4.56 | -3.35 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.05 | 95.54 | 95.80 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 3.85 | 4.36 | 4.09 |
| Jharkhand | 96.62 | 98.25 | 97.42 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 3.19 | 1.62 | 2.42 |
| Karnataka | 100.39 | 100.14 | 100.27 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.02 | -1.37 | -1.20 | -1.28 |
| Kerala | 102.28 | 101.44 | 101.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.28 | -1.44 | -1.87 |
| Ladakh | 89.97 | 90.87 | 90.42 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 10.02 | 9.13 | 9.58 |
| Lakshadweep | 99.59 | 99.57 | 99.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 103.30 | 104.00 | 103.64 | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.48 | -3.83 | -4.43 | -4.12 |
| Maharashtra | 98.86 | 98.14 | 98.51 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 1.12 | 1.85 | 1.48 |
| Manipur | 80.55 | 77.71 | 79.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 19.32 | 22.16 | 20.73 |
| Meghalaya | 81.66 | 86.49 | 84.10 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 18.19 | 13.38 | 15.76 |
| Mizoram | 49.81 | 66.52 | 57.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.19 | 33.48 | 42.41 |
| Nagaland | 84.85 | 89.13 | 86.90 | 2.93 | 1.74 | 2.36 | 12.22 | 9.12 | 10.74 |
| Odisha | 91.86 | 93.53 | 92.67 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 8.03 | 6.35 | 7.22 |
| Puducherry | 98.00 | 100.66 | 99.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | -0.66 | 0.73 |
| Punjab | 84.67 | 84.07 | 84.39 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 15.21 | 15.83 | 15.50 |
| Rajasthan | 92.74 | 93.30 | 93.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.26 | 6.70 | 7.00 |
| Sikkim | 95.01 | 91.75 | 93.50 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 4.46 | 8.25 | 6.21 |
| Tamilnadu | 100.75 | 100.69 | 100.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -0.69 | -0.72 |
| Telangana | 96.73 | 97.78 | 97.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.27 | 2.22 | 2.76 |
| Tripura | 95.44 | 97.28 | 96.35 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 4.46 | 2.66 | 3.58 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 92.63 | 93.31 | 92.96 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 6.83 | 6.20 | 6.52 |
| Uttarakhand | 93.83 | 96.06 | 94.88 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 6.15 | 3.93 | 5.10 |
| West Bengal | 92.59 | 93.12 | 92.85 | 1.35 | 1.43 | 1.39 | 6.06 | 5.44 | 5.75 |
| All India | 95.88 | 96.38 | 96.13 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 3.72 | 3.22 | 3.48 |

Source: UDISEPlus 2020-21.

Table 24: Muslim Flow Rates at Upper Primary Level (Grades VI to VIII): Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)

| State/UT | Promotion rate |  |  | Repetition rate |  |  | Drop out rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 99.75 | 98.37 | 99.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 1.63 | 0.94 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 78.34 | 79.90 | 79.10 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 21.64 | 20.08 | 20.88 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 80.31 | 64.22 | 71.96 | 3.72 | 2.59 | 3.13 | 15.97 | 33.19 | 24.91 |
| Assam | 81.81 | 86.85 | 84.53 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 18.10 | 13.05 | 15.37 |
| Bihar | 93.08 | 91.75 | 92.37 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 6.90 | 8.24 | 7.62 |
| Chandigarh | 101.00 | 100.53 | 100.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -0.53 | -0.78 |
| Chhattisgarh | 87.50 | 91.28 | 89.32 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 12.34 | 8.65 | 10.56 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.38 | 94.51 | 95.50 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 3.43 | 5.38 | 4.34 |
| Delhi | 99.56 | 100.04 | 99.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.04 | 0.20 |
| Goa | 91.79 | 88.60 | 90.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.21 | 11.40 | 9.75 |
| Gujarat | 91.51 | 89.47 | 90.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.49 | 10.53 | 9.47 |
| Haryana | 96.71 | 94.05 | 95.54 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 3.16 | 5.86 | 4.35 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 92.00 | 92.94 | 92.46 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 7.97 | 7.06 | 7.53 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 97.62 | 97.36 | 97.50 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 2.33 | 2.57 | 2.45 |
| Jharkhand | 91.23 | 92.21 | 91.74 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 8.62 | 7.65 | 8.11 |
| Karnataka | 97.34 | 97.60 | 97.47 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 1.91 | 1.47 | 1.69 |
| Kerala | 101.32 | 100.12 | 100.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.32 | -0.12 | -0.73 |
| Ladakh | 93.00 | 96.67 | 94.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 3.33 | 5.11 |
| Lakshadweep | 97.14 | 98.26 | 97.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 1.74 | 2.33 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 93.46 | 96.24 | 94.85 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 6.00 | 3.31 | 4.65 |
| Maharashtra | 95.13 | 94.52 | 94.83 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 4.85 | 5.46 | 5.15 |
| Manipur | 81.33 | 82.63 | 81.97 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 18.66 | 17.27 | 17.97 |
| Meghalaya | 87.54 | 83.88 | 85.56 | 1.11 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 11.35 | 15.12 | 13.39 |
| Mizoram | 47.89 | 45.51 | 46.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.11 | 54.49 | 53.26 |
| Nagaland | 83.81 | 88.14 | 85.95 | 3.24 | 2.34 | 2.80 | 12.96 | 9.52 | 11.26 |
| Odisha | 87.61 | 90.88 | 89.23 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 12.29 | 9.05 | 10.68 |
| Puducherry | 99.71 | 94.06 | 96.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 5.94 | 3.17 |
| Punjab | 83.01 | 84.11 | 83.52 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 16.83 | 15.83 | 16.37 |
| Rajasthan | 89.48 | 90.17 | 89.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.52 | 9.83 | 10.21 |
| Sikkim | 89.94 | 88.47 | 89.25 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 10.06 | 11.19 | 10.59 |
| Tamilnadu | 101.30 | 101.08 | 101.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.30 | -1.08 | -1.19 |
| Telangana | 92.20 | 93.80 | 92.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.80 | 6.20 | 7.01 |
| Tripura | 91.22 | 93.68 | 92.52 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 8.34 | 5.92 | 7.07 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 92.02 | 91.30 | 91.66 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 7.36 | 8.15 | 7.75 |
| Uttarakhand | 87.53 | 91.52 | 89.49 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 12.46 | 8.46 | 10.50 |
| West Bengal | 98.48 | 96.11 | 97.23 | 5.38 | 5.70 | 5.55 | -3.86 | -1.81 | -2.78 |
| All India | 93.47 | 93.26 | 93.36 | 0.95 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 5.58 | 5.68 | 5.63 |

Source: UDISEPlus 2020-21.

Table 25: Muslim Flow Rates at Elementary Level (Grades I to VIII): Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)

| State/UT | Promotion rate |  |  | Repetition rate |  |  | Drop out rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 94.33 | 96.52 | 95.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.67 | 3.48 | 4.61 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 84.60 | 86.22 | 85.38 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 15.35 | 13.72 | 14.56 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 84.52 | 78.41 | 81.50 | 2.88 | 2.24 | 2.56 | 12.60 | 19.35 | 15.94 |
| Assam | 87.62 | 90.91 | 89.30 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 12.29 | 9.01 | 10.62 |
| Bihar | 99.36 | 98.24 | 98.78 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 1.75 | 1.20 |
| Chandigarh | 107.70 | 104.55 | 106.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -7.70 | -4.55 | -6.21 |
| Chhattisgarh | 90.86 | 91.44 | 91.13 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 8.98 | 8.44 | 8.72 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 98.61 | 94.87 | 96.84 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 1.25 | 5.05 | 3.05 |
| Delhi | 104.85 | 104.52 | 104.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -4.85 | -4.52 | -4.69 |
| Goa | 96.40 | 96.16 | 96.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 3.84 | 3.72 |
| Gujarat | 95.98 | 95.49 | 95.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.02 | 4.51 | 4.25 |
| Haryana | 103.98 | 104.13 | 104.05 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.17 | -4.17 | -4.29 | -4.22 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 98.39 | 99.99 | 99.14 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 1.58 | -0.01 | 0.83 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.58 | 96.15 | 96.37 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 3.33 | 3.76 | 3.54 |
| Jharkhand | 94.84 | 96.08 | 95.46 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 4.98 | 3.79 | 4.38 |
| Karnataka | 99.29 | 99.21 | 99.25 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 0.95 | -0.19 | -0.22 | -0.20 |
| Kerala | 101.93 | 100.96 | 101.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.93 | -0.96 | -1.45 |
| Ladakh | 91.00 | 92.95 | 91.98 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 8.99 | 7.05 | 8.01 |
| Lakshadweep | 98.80 | 99.17 | 98.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 0.83 | 1.02 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 99.90 | 101.24 | 100.56 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.49 | -0.44 | -1.68 | -1.05 |
| Maharashtra | 97.49 | 96.81 | 97.16 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 2.49 | 3.18 | 2.83 |
| Manipur | 80.79 | 79.22 | 80.01 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 19.11 | 20.66 | 19.88 |
| Meghalaya | 83.29 | 85.69 | 84.53 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 16.29 | 13.91 | 15.07 |
| Mizoram | 49.30 | 60.66 | 54.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.70 | 39.34 | 45.35 |
| Nagaland | 84.61 | 88.89 | 86.67 | 3.00 | 1.89 | 2.47 | 12.39 | 9.22 | 10.86 |
| Odisha | 90.34 | 92.55 | 91.42 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 9.55 | 7.35 | 8.48 |
| Puducherry | 98.61 | 98.12 | 98.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.39 | 1.88 | 1.63 |
| Punjab | 84.11 | 84.08 | 84.10 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 15.75 | 15.83 | 15.79 |
| Rajasthan | 91.77 | 92.40 | 92.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.23 | 7.60 | 7.94 |
| Sikkim | 93.14 | 90.51 | 91.91 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 6.52 | 9.36 | 7.85 |
| Tamilnadu | 100.95 | 100.83 | 100.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.95 | -0.83 | -0.90 |
| Telangana | 95.05 | 96.27 | 95.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.95 | 3.73 | 4.35 |
| Tripura | 94.00 | 95.95 | 94.98 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 5.78 | 3.87 | 4.82 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 92.46 | 92.73 | 92.59 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 6.98 | 6.76 | 6.87 |
| Uttarakhand | 92.03 | 94.68 | 93.29 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 7.95 | 5.30 | 6.69 |
| West Bengal | 94.47 | 94.16 | 94.31 | 2.63 | 2.92 | 2.78 | 2.90 | 2.92 | 2.91 |
| All India | 95.10 | 95.33 | 95.21 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 4.32 | 4.05 | 4.19 |

Table 26: Muslim Flow Rates at Secondary Level (Grades IX to X):
Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)

| State/UT | Promotion rate |  |  | Repetition rate |  |  | Drop out rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 92.58 | 90.74 | 91.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.42 | 9.26 | 8.34 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 62.47 | 64.04 | 63.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 37.51 | 35.94 | 36.75 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 74.53 | 71.43 | 72.87 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 23.58 | 28.57 | 26.25 |
| Assam | 70.44 | 68.83 | 69.52 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 28.61 | 30.20 | 29.52 |
| Bihar | 77.61 | 74.22 | 75.77 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 22.31 | 25.72 | 24.16 |
| Chandigarh | 78.70 | 82.46 | 80.46 | 1.13 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 20.17 | 16.65 | 18.53 |
| Chhattisgarh | 86.48 | 88.39 | 87.46 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 13.20 | 11.48 | 12.32 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 76.29 | 75.05 | 75.75 | 0.33 | 1.08 | 0.66 | 23.37 | 23.87 | 23.59 |
| Delhi | 84.11 | 88.02 | 86.10 | 3.05 | 3.01 | 3.03 | 12.84 | 8.97 | 10.87 |
| Goa | 73.01 | 78.20 | 75.44 | 3.32 | 1.71 | 2.57 | 23.66 | 20.10 | 21.99 |
| Gujarat | 72.13 | 76.42 | 74.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.87 | 23.58 | 25.96 |
| Haryana | 82.88 | 79.85 | 81.72 | 1.08 | 0.63 | 0.91 | 16.04 | 19.52 | 17.37 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 77.56 | 80.17 | 78.70 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 22.39 | 19.83 | 21.28 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 95.58 | 93.56 | 94.61 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 4.11 | 6.18 | 5.10 |
| Jharkhand | 89.32 | 85.75 | 87.33 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 10.42 | 13.87 | 12.34 |
| Karnataka | 72.33 | 77.80 | 75.05 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 26.87 | 21.25 | 24.07 |
| Kerala | 86.20 | 90.08 | 88.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.80 | 9.92 | 11.91 |
| Ladakh | 93.65 | 94.62 | 94.16 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 6.26 | 5.16 | 5.68 |
| Lakshadweep | 99.74 | 101.02 | 100.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -1.02 | -0.36 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 93.46 | 96.21 | 94.87 | 1.06 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 5.48 | 3.08 | 4.25 |
| Maharashtra | 79.42 | 80.02 | 79.72 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 20.22 | 19.66 | 19.95 |
| Manipur | 76.48 | 71.62 | 74.09 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 23.43 | 28.35 | 25.86 |
| Meghalaya | 74.82 | 73.13 | 73.98 | 1.62 | 2.35 | 1.98 | 23.56 | 24.52 | 24.04 |
| Mizoram | 75.00 | 70.37 | 72.81 | 1.67 | 3.70 | 2.63 | 23.33 | 25.93 | 24.56 |
| Nagaland | 72.77 | 70.57 | 71.71 | 3.27 | 3.49 | 3.38 | 23.95 | 25.94 | 24.92 |
| Odisha | 62.40 | 66.90 | 64.69 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 37.33 | 33.02 | 35.14 |
| Puducherry | 97.65 | 95.40 | 96.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.35 | 4.60 | 3.51 |
| Punjab | 83.63 | 85.93 | 84.69 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 16.01 | 13.86 | 15.02 |
| Rajasthan | 80.25 | 80.92 | 80.54 | 1.38 | 1.18 | 1.29 | 18.37 | 17.90 | 18.16 |
| Sikkim | 76.19 | 103.98 | 89.59 | 3.17 | 2.84 | 3.01 | 20.63 | -6.82 | 7.40 |
| Tamilnadu | 92.17 | 94.98 | 93.55 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 7.50 | 4.76 | 6.16 |
| Telangana | 89.51 | 90.99 | 90.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.49 | 9.01 | 9.75 |
| Tripura | 84.34 | 78.96 | 81.23 | 2.60 | 1.65 | 2.05 | 13.06 | 19.39 | 16.72 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 85.57 | 85.23 | 85.40 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 13.36 | 13.73 | 13.53 |
| Uttarakhand | 82.23 | 87.27 | 84.82 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 17.09 | 12.15 | 14.55 |
| West Bengal | 70.90 | 72.05 | 71.59 | 5.52 | 4.79 | 5.08 | 23.58 | 23.16 | 23.33 |
| All India | 80.06 | 79.85 | 79.95 | 1.35 | 1.46 | 1.40 | 18.59 | 18.69 | 18.64 |

Table 27: Number of Muslim Students Dropped-out (Between 2020-21 \& 2021-22) at Different Levels of School Education: 2021-22

| State/UT | Primary Level |  |  | Upper Primary Level |  |  | Elementary Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 126 | 61 | 187 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 128 | 74 | 202 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 20,725 | 16,294 | 37,019 | 19,915 | 17,561 | 37,476 | 40,640 | 33,855 | 74,495 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 138 | 131 | 269 | 103 | 231 | 334 | 241 | 362 | 603 |
| Assam | 80,133 | 55,403 | 1,35,536 | 67,644 | 57,163 | 1,24,807 | 1,47,777 | 1,12,566 | 2,60,343 |
| Bihar | -22,151 | -16,421 | -38,572 | 31,628 | 44,377 | 76,005 | 9,477 | 27,956 | 37,433 |
| Chandigarh | -343 | -184 | -527 | -19 | -9 | -28 | -362 | -193 | -555 |
| Chhattisgarh | 1,739 | 1,808 | 3,547 | 1,543 | 1,008 | 2,551 | 3,282 | 2,816 | 6,098 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | 82 | 83 | 35 | 48 | 83 | 36 | 130 | 166 |
| Delhi | -11,787 | -10,347 | -22,134 | 408 | -39 | 369 | -11,379 | -10,386 | -21,765 |
| Goa | 64 | -52 | 12 | 388 | 505 | 893 | 452 | 453 | 905 |
| Gujarat | 4,093 | 3,020 | 7,113 | 12,665 | 14,400 | 27,065 | 16,758 | 17,420 | 34,178 |
| Haryana | -9,358 | -9,094 | -18,452 | 1,748 | 2,568 | 4,316 | -7,610 | -6,526 | -14,136 |
| Himachal Pradesh | -128 | -224 | -352 | 270 | 223 | 493 | 142 | -1 | 141 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 13,685 | 14,753 | 28,438 | 4,209 | 4,420 | 8,629 | 17,894 | 19,173 | 37,067 |
| Jharkhand | 9,003 | 4,445 | 13,448 | 11,996 | 11,757 | 23,753 | 20,999 | 16,202 | 37,201 |
| Karnataka | -6,096 | -5,047 | -11,143 | 4,802 | 3,575 | 8,377 | -1,294 | -1,472 | -2,766 |
| Kerala | -10,823 | -6,550 | -17,373 | -3,628 | -316 | -3,944 | -14,451 | -6,866 | -21,317 |
| Ladakh | 650 | 584 | 1,234 | 236 | 119 | 355 | 886 | 703 | 1,589 |
| Lakshadweep | 11 | 11 | 22 | 37 | 20 | 57 | 48 | 31 | 79 |
| Madhya Pradesh | -7,442 | -8,207 | -15,649 | 6,132 | 3,377 | 9,509 | -1,310 | -4,830 | -6,140 |
| Maharashtra | 7,729 | 12,282 | 20,011 | 19,546 | 21,066 | 40,612 | 27,275 | 33,348 | 60,623 |
| Manipur | 3,496 | 3,950 | 7,446 | 1,518 | 1,360 | 2,878 | 5,014 | 5,310 | 10,324 |
| Meghalaya | 2,127 | 1,599 | 3,726 | 509 | 797 | 1,306 | 2,636 | 2,396 | 5,032 |
| Mizoram | 265 | 154 | 419 | 99 | 97 | 196 | 364 | 251 | 615 |
| Nagaland | 797 | 544 | 1,341 | 256 | 183 | 439 | 1,053 | 727 | 1,780 |
| Odisha | 3,177 | 2,347 | 5,524 | 2,711 | 1,968 | 4,679 | 5,888 | 4,315 | 10,203 |
| Puducherry | 86 | -26 | 60 | 7 | 147 | 154 | 93 | 121 | 214 |
| Punjab | 5,773 | 5,262 | 11,035 | 3,194 | 2,640 | 5,834 | 8,967 | 7,902 | 16,869 |
| Rajasthan | 31,675 | 25,658 | 57,333 | 19,318 | 15,189 | 34,507 | 50,993 | 40,847 | 91,840 |
| Sikkim | 25 | 40 | 65 | 33 | 33 | 66 | 58 | 73 | 131 |
| Tamilnadu | -1,412 | -1,239 | -2,651 | -1,432 | -1,144 | -2,576 | -2,844 | -2,383 | -5,227 |
| Telangana | 8,216 | 5,213 | 13,429 | 11,484 | 8,926 | 20,410 | 19,700 | 14,139 | 33,839 |
| Tripura | 774 | 448 | 1,222 | 745 | 586 | 1,331 | 1,519 | 1,034 | 2,553 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1,47,473 | 1,25,314 | 2,72,787 | 61,350 | 67,077 | 1,28,427 | 2,08,823 | 1,92,391 | 4,01,214 |
| Uttarakhand | 6,099 | 3,468 | 9,567 | 4,953 | 3,249 | 8,202 | 11,052 | 6,717 | 17,769 |
| West Bengal | 85,152 | 74,345 | 1,59,497 | -25,330 | -13,231 | -38,561 | 59,822 | 61,114 | 1,20,936 |
| All India | 3,63,692 | 2,99,825 | 6,63,517 | 2,59,075 | 2,69,944 | 5,29,019 | 6,22,767 | 5,69,769 | 11,92,536 |

Table 28: Muslim Transition Rate: Cohort 2020-21 (Year 2021-22)

| State/UT | Primary to Upper Primary |  |  | Elementary to Secondary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Girls | Total |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 94.02 | 95.75 | 94.82 | 98.07 | 97.71 | 87.02 | 89.78 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 88.41 | 92.10 | 90.18 | 75.24 | 76.72 | 49.10 | 48.36 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 73.06 | 80.00 | 76.46 | 81.63 | 65.77 | 73.26 | 70.43 |
| Assam | 83.64 | 94.14 | 88.92 | 65.96 | 74.57 | 52.93 | 56.05 |
| Bihar | 85.98 | 86.23 | 86.11 | 68.44 | 66.40 | 49.84 | 52.34 |
| Chandigarh | 108.89 | 105.70 | 107.38 | 95.49 | 95.40 | 73.65 | 71.75 |
| Chhattisgarh | 87.47 | 90.12 | 88.72 | 76.99 | 84.51 | 86.37 | 84.16 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 98.11 | 95.11 | 96.66 | 95.85 | 87.41 | 64.44 | 69.35 |
| Delhi | 94.92 | 99.06 | 96.93 | 94.76 | 94.73 | 97.05 | 93.62 |
| Goa | 94.51 | 94.73 | 94.62 | 91.64 | 89.90 | 75.30 | 75.33 |
| Gujarat | 97.15 | 96.44 | 96.81 | 79.50 | 72.87 | 63.36 | 58.95 |
| Haryana | 97.95 | 99.12 | 98.47 | 88.18 | 82.63 | 82.67 | 82.26 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 104.82 | 104.61 | 104.73 | 88.14 | 88.92 | 71.19 | 69.69 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 92.75 | 91.36 | 92.07 | 94.45 | 92.33 | 89.63 | 91.88 |
| Jharkhand | 91.61 | 94.60 | 93.10 | 73.84 | 76.97 | 73.33 | 74.91 |
| Karnataka | 97.67 | 97.87 | 97.77 | 96.09 | 96.10 | 55.24 | 50.16 |
| Kerala | 101.65 | 100.00 | 100.84 | 100.84 | 99.49 | 80.36 | 76.08 |
| Ladakh | 90.36 | 91.16 | 90.76 | 95.92 | 94.70 | 91.42 | 93.88 |
| Lakshadweep | 100.41 | 98.35 | 99.38 | 96.89 | 98.91 | 101.93 | 99.57 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 99.68 | 101.66 | 100.66 | 71.66 | 76.02 | 92.26 | 91.11 |
| Maharashtra | 97.82 | 96.85 | 97.34 | 92.35 | 92.45 | 64.30 | 63.24 |
| Manipur | 73.33 | 75.07 | 74.19 | 73.73 | 72.39 | 61.50 | 67.97 |
| Meghalaya | 97.74 | 112.18 | 105.14 | 71.50 | 56.79 | 57.72 | 60.59 |
| Mizoram | 48.78 | 72.06 | 59.33 | 50.00 | 70.27 | 71.43 | 76.27 |
| Nagaland | 84.12 | 92.84 | 88.43 | 81.31 | 84.54 | 51.53 | 56.32 |
| Odisha | 90.87 | 94.09 | 92.44 | 76.28 | 79.55 | 38.93 | 36.43 |
| Puducherry | 94.32 | 99.12 | 96.64 | 102.24 | 94.59 | 94.77 | 98.09 |
| Punjab | 83.26 | 83.25 | 83.25 | 77.87 | 78.30 | 78.10 | 76.84 |
| Rajasthan | 84.69 | 83.82 | 84.29 | 79.99 | 79.94 | 72.10 | 72.01 |
| Sikkim | 81.75 | 95.79 | 87.78 | 82.69 | 80.17 | 122.99 | 99.43 |
| Tamilnadu | 98.67 | 99.32 | 98.98 | 99.42 | 99.55 | 89.65 | 86.81 |
| Telangana | 92.10 | 95.05 | 93.53 | 89.89 | 91.17 | 75.67 | 74.37 |
| Tripura | 86.08 | 91.92 | 89.05 | 84.25 | 87.82 | 79.03 | 82.76 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 80.72 | 82.54 | 81.61 | 76.67 | 72.68 | 73.85 | 73.35 |
| Uttarakhand | 83.77 | 89.12 | 86.31 | 66.58 | 73.92 | 76.79 | 73.11 |
| West Bengal | 85.35 | 84.90 | 85.12 | 87.42 | 84.89 | 83.19 | 80.42 |
| All India | 88.61 | 89.97 | 89.28 | 82.57 | 81.38 | 71.66 | 70.43 |

Table 29: Gender Gap in Dropout Rate \& Transition Rate: 2021-22

| State/UT | Gender Gap in Dropout Rate (Girls-Boys) |  |  | Gender Gap in Transition Rate (Boys-Girls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Upper Primary | Elementary | Primary to Upper Primary | Elementary to Seondary | Secondary to Higher Secondary |
| Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | -4.06 | 1.38 | -2.19 | -1.73 | 0.36 | 5.66 |
| Andhra Pradesh | -1.77 | -1.56 | -1.63 | -3.69 | -1.48 | -1.43 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0.26 | 17.22 | 6.74 | -6.94 | 15.87 | -5.95 |
| Assam | -2.85 | -5.04 | -3.29 | -10.50 | -8.62 | 7.31 |
| Bihar | 0.54 | 1.34 | 1.13 | -0.25 | 2.04 | 5.44 |
| Chandigarh | 4.98 | 0.47 | 3.15 | 3.19 | 0.09 | -3.51 |
| Chhattisgarh | 1.10 | -3.69 | -0.53 | -2.65 | -7.52 | -4.52 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 4.82 | 1.95 | 3.80 | 3.00 | 8.43 | 8.99 |
| Delhi | 0.83 | -0.48 | 0.33 | -4.14 | 0.03 | -7.12 |
| Goa | -1.52 | 3.20 | 0.25 | -0.22 | 1.75 | 0.06 |
| Gujarat | -0.32 | 2.03 | 0.49 | 0.72 | 6.63 | -7.80 |
| Haryana | -1.03 | 2.70 | -0.12 | -1.17 | 5.56 | -0.64 |
| Himachal Pradesh | -2.27 | -0.91 | -1.59 | 0.21 | -0.78 | -2.58 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 1.39 | 2.11 | 4.31 |
| Jharkhand | -1.57 | -0.97 | -1.20 | -2.98 | -3.14 | 3.59 |
| Karnataka | 0.17 | -0.45 | -0.04 | -0.20 | -0.01 | -10.06 |
| Kerala | 0.84 | 1.20 | 0.98 | 1.65 | 1.35 | -8.36 |
| Ladakh | -0.88 | -3.67 | -1.93 | -0.79 | 1.22 | 5.13 |
| Lakshadweep | 0.02 | -1.12 | -0.37 | 2.06 | -2.02 | -4.72 |
| Madhya Pradesh | -0.59 | -2.69 | -1.24 | -1.99 | -4.36 | -2.37 |
| Maharashtra | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.97 | -0.10 | -2.08 |
| Manipur | 2.84 | -1.39 | 1.55 | -1.74 | 1.33 | 12.95 |
| Meghalaya | -4.81 | 3.77 | -2.38 | -14.44 | 14.71 | 5.69 |
| Mizoram | -16.71 | 2.39 | -11.35 | -23.28 | -20.27 | 9.22 |
| Nagaland | -3.10 | -3.44 | -3.17 | -8.71 | -3.24 | 9.55 |
| Odisha | -1.67 | -3.24 | -2.20 | -3.23 | -3.28 | -5.10 |
| Puducherry | -2.66 | 5.65 | 0.49 | -4.80 | 7.65 | 7.04 |
| Punjab | 0.62 | -1.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | -0.43 | -2.32 |
| Rajasthan | -0.57 | -0.69 | -0.63 | 0.87 | 0.05 | -0.16 |
| Sikkim | 3.79 | 1.13 | 2.83 | -14.04 | 2.52 | -46.85 |
| Tamilnadu | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.12 | -0.65 | -0.13 | -5.54 |
| Telangana | -1.05 | -1.61 | -1.22 | -2.95 | -1.28 | -2.59 |
| Tripura | -1.80 | -2.42 | -1.92 | -5.84 | -3.57 | 8.72 |
| Uttar Pradesh | -0.63 | 0.78 | -0.22 | -1.82 | 3.99 | -0.95 |
| Uttarakhand | -2.22 | -4.00 | -2.65 | -5.35 | -7.35 | -7.58 |
| West Bengal | -0.61 | 2.05 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 2.53 | -7.26 |
| All India | -0.50 | 0.10 | -0.27 | -1.36 | 1.19 | -2.54 |

Source: Based on dropout \& transtition rates computed, UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22

## MUSLIM Projected Population: 2021-22 \& GER

Based on the Projected Child (TOTAL) Population used in UDISEPlus Booklet 2021-22, an attempt has been made to project the MUSLIM child population in different age groups, gender-specific, by assuming that the share of Muslims to the Total population in the 2011 Census ( 14.23 percent) still holds in 2023 which is then used in computing Gross Enrolment Ratio at different levels of school education.

By following the same methodology, the MUSLIM population aged 18 to 23 in 2020-21 is also projected and used in computing GER at the Higher Education level in 2020-21. The TOTAL Projected Population in Age 18 to 23 is obtained from the AISHE 2020-21.
Table 30: Projected Muslim Population in Different Age-groups by Gender: 2021-22, School Education

| State/UT | Age 6-10 |  |  | Age 11-13 |  |  | Age 6-13 |  |  | Age 14-15 |  |  | Age 16-17 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |  |
| A \& N Islands | 1555 | 1708 | 3263 | 1018 | 1094 | 2112 | 2573 | 2801 | 5374 | 684 | 782 | 1466 | 698 | 788 | 1485 | 8326 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 167612 | 182520 | 350227 | 104065 | 108269 | 212334 | 271677 | 290789 | 562562 | 73199 | 76926 | 150125 | 76352 | 80748 | 157101 | 869787 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1208 | 1274 | 2482 | 966 | 982 | 1948 | 2174 | 2256 | 4431 | 660 | 676 | 1336 | 609 | 623 | 1233 | 6999 |
| Assam | 499998 | 542777 | 1042775 | 339150 | 347363 | 686856 | 839148 | 890140 | 1729630 | 226898 | 234770 | 461668 | 228610 | 237850 | 466117 | 2657416 |
| Bihar | 1110289 | 1223122 | 2333748 | 700276 | 722877 | 1422984 | 1810565 | 1945999 | 3756733 | 457743 | 482030 | 939942 | 453021 | 484898 | 937918 | 5634593 |
| Chandigarh | 2429 | 3050 | 5479 | 1449 | 1886 | 3335 | 3877 | 4936 | 8814 | 1009 | 1361 | 2370 | 1073 | 1476 | 2549 | 13732 |
| Chhattisgarh | 27357 | 28829 | 56206 | 15604 | 16108 | 31692 | 42961 | 44937 | 87898 | 10644 | 10987 | 21632 | 10846 | 11209 | 22055 | 131584 |
| D \& N \& D \& D | 1694 | 1976 | 3670 | 988 | 1149 | 2137 | 2682 | 3125 | 5807 | 597 | 770 | 1367 | 573 | 890 | 1463 | 8637 |
| Delhi | 88470 | 106215 | 194557 | 54394 | 63523 | 117788 | 142863 | 169739 | 312345 | 38834 | 45007 | 83841 | 40634 | 47064 | 87827 | 484013 |
| Goa | 5157 | 5742 | 10899 | 3230 | 3589 | 6820 | 8387 | 9331 | 17718 | 2197 | 2512 | 4709 | 2262 | 2479 | 4741 | 27168 |
| Gujarat | 255684 | 304151 | 559738 | 153817 | 174325 | 328142 | 409500 | 478476 | 887880 | 105060 | 120248 | 225307 | 106898 | 123730 | 230628 | 1343815 |
| Haryana | 78702 | 95708 | 174340 | 46308 | 55724 | 102032 | 125010 | 151432 | 276372 | 32043 | 38578 | 70621 | 32957 | 39632 | 72589 | 419582 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 5239 | 5829 | 11111 | 3449 | 3864 | 7291 | 8688 | 9693 | 18403 | 2358 | 2663 | 5021 | 2423 | 2729 | 5152 | 28575 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 322442 | 353183 | 674942 | 276672 | 324492 | 601846 | 599114 | 677675 | 1276789 | 180349 | 208358 | 388707 | 176933 | 201526 | 378460 | 2043955 |
| Jharkhand | 254019 | 277125 | 531290 | 170606 | 175111 | 345571 | 424625 | 452236 | 876861 | 113786 | 117564 | 231349 | 114076 | 118726 | 232803 | 1341013 |
| Karnataka | 312511 | 339899 | 652151 | 191201 | 200245 | 391446 | 503712 | 540143 | 1043597 | 130611 | 138104 | 268715 | 133066 | 141721 | 274916 | 1587228 |
| Kerala | 316353 | 330697 | 647050 | 196559 | 204793 | 401617 | 512912 | 535490 | 1048668 | 132279 | 138122 | 270136 | 133076 | 139185 | 272261 | 1591064 |
| Ladakh | 9329 | 10332 | 19661 | 5881 | 6690 | 12571 | 15210 | 17022 | 32233 | 4259 | 4555 | 8815 | 4310 | 4574 | 8884 | 49932 |
| Lakshadweep | 3155 | 3390 | 6545 | 2249 | 2029 | 4278 | 5405 | 5419 | 10824 | 1385 | 1472 | 2857 | 1542 | 1436 | 2979 | 16659 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 266378 | 290571 | 556884 | 146666 | 155146 | 301944 | 413044 | 445717 | 858827 | 99662 | 106762 | 206424 | 101437 | 109786 | 211223 | 1276474 |
| Maharashtra | 479496 | 553718 | 1033329 | 317894 | 355409 | 673188 | 797390 | 909127 | 1706517 | 217008 | 243442 | 460450 | 220471 | 248521 | 468877 | 2635844 |
| Manipur | 8689 | 9464 | 18153 | 7159 | 7605 | 14764 | 15848 | 17069 | 32917 | 4923 | 5134 | 10058 | 4747 | 4821 | 9568 | 52542 |
| Meghalaya | 6037 | 6382 | 12419 | 4655 | 4793 | 9448 | 10692 | 11175 | 21867 | 2995 | 3072 | 6067 | 2963 | 3066 | 6029 | 33962 |
| Mizoram | 564 | 598 | 1163 | 433 | 455 | 888 | 997 | 1054 | 2051 | 288 | 300 | 588 | 304 | 316 | 620 | 3259 |
| Nagaland | 2055 | 2258 | 4314 | 1655 | 1807 | 3463 | 3711 | 4065 | 7776 | 1117 | 1219 | 2336 | 1148 | 1205 | 2353 | 12465 |
| Odisha | 37858 | 40182 | 78040 | 23979 | 25326 | 49304 | 61837 | 65508 | 127344 | 16442 | 17246 | 33709 | 16833 | 17571 | 34426 | 195480 |
| Puducherry | 3588 | 3926 | 7514 | 2278 | 2457 | 4734 | 5866 | 6383 | 12249 | 1537 | 1727 | 3264 | 1532 | 1655 | 3188 | 18700 |
| Punjab | 18663 | 21616 | 40279 | 11310 | 13549 | 24858 | 29973 | 35165 | 65138 | 7971 | 9650 | 17621 | 8318 | 10152 | 18451 | 101209 |
| Rajasthan | 331308 | 381086 | 712394 | 194396 | 212530 | 406927 | 525705 | 593616 | 1119321 | 132197 | 144800 | 276997 | 134554 | 147701 | 282165 | 1678483 |
| Sikkim | 340 | 358 | 698 | 300 | 312 | 613 | 641 | 670 | 1311 | 208 | 212 | 420 | 221 | 227 | 449 | 2180 |
| Tamil Nadu | 145612 | 159798 | 305410 | 90451 | 95316 | 185767 | 236063 | 255114 | 491177 | 62020 | 65537 | 127557 | 63427 | 67061 | 130430 | 749164 |
| Telangana | 122317 | 135982 | 258394 | 78550 | 83042 | 161688 | 200867 | 219024 | 420082 | 55138 | 58483 | 113621 | 57336 | 60967 | 118399 | 652101 |
| Tripura | 10282 | 11035 | 21317 | 8511 | 8957 | 17468 | 18793 | 19992 | 38785 | 5697 | 6005 | 11702 | 5966 | 6520 | 12485 | 62973 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2132082 | 2439857 | 4571939 | 1173319 | 1280405 | 2453724 | 3305401 | 3720262 | 7025663 | 822787 | 907724 | 1730318 | 860344 | 956644 | 1816988 | 10572970 |
| Uttarakhand | 56071 | 66950 | 122882 | 38078 | 43239 | 81317 | 94149 | 110189 | 204199 | 26641 | 30128 | 56908 | 27617 | 31244 | 58861 | 319967 |
| West Bengal | 906219 | 960781 | 1866730 | 600725 | 617742 | 1218466 | 1506944 | 1578523 | 3085196 | 415969 | 431366 | 847335 | 428394 | 447302 | 875697 | 4808228 |
| India | 7915075 | 8848092 | 16763025 | 4838207 | 5197673 | 35738 | 2 | 14045765 | 26798762 | 3306744 | 3581713 | 6888456 | 3378580 | 3683706 | 70622 | 40749504 |

Table 31: State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Elementary Level:
2021-22, All India

| State/UT | Primary Level |  |  | Upper Primary: Grades VI-VIII |  |  | Elementary: <br> Grades I-VIII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islands | 79.22 | 75.31 | 77.17 | 76.11 | 75.71 | 75.91 | 78.00 | 75.47 | 76.68 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 85.28 | 84.42 | 84.81 | 71.42 | 71.31 | 71.36 | 79.97 | 79.54 | 79.73 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 98.06 | 102.51 | 100.35 | 50.41 | 55.18 | 52.82 | 76.89 | 81.91 | 79.45 |
| Assam | 157.96 | 148.75 | 153.17 | 123.57 | 102.31 | 112.76 | 144.06 | 130.63 | 137.12 |
| Bihar | 96.67 | 89.73 | 93.02 | 79.25 | 68.92 | 74.01 | 89.93 | 82.00 | 85.82 |
| Chandigarh | 111.91 | 102.35 | 106.59 | 119.07 | 104.78 | 110.98 | 114.59 | 103.28 | 108.25 |
| Chhattisgarh | 74.61 | 79.48 | 77.08 | 71.09 | 73.44 | 72.33 | 73.33 | 77.31 | 75.36 |
| D \& D Haveli \& Daman \& Diu | 91.14 | 90.50 | 90.80 | 93.58 | 91.38 | 92.40 | 92.04 | 90.83 | 91.39 |
| Delhi | 156.41 | 133.53 | 144.02 | 174.76 | 151.76 | 162.54 | 163.40 | 140.35 | 151.01 |
| Goa | 143.04 | 136.05 | 139.36 | 127.17 | 123.95 | 125.47 | 136.93 | 131.40 | 134.01 |
| Gujarat | 96.62 | 86.86 | 91.33 | 89.16 | 84.95 | 86.92 | 93.82 | 86.16 | 89.70 |
| Haryana | 154.56 | 147.70 | 150.86 | 105.22 | 109.59 | 107.61 | 136.28 | 133.67 | 134.89 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 96.31 | 95.91 | 95.72 | 88.69 | 86.83 | 87.97 | 93.29 | 92.29 | 92.65 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 107.94 | 104.21 | 106.10 | 62.97 | 56.16 | 59.23 | 87.17 | 81.21 | 84.01 |
| Jharkhand | 104.70 | 98.30 | 101.34 | 91.64 | 83.64 | 87.63 | 99.45 | 92.63 | 95.93 |
| Karnataka | 141.78 | 138.26 | 140.00 | 130.28 | 129.59 | 129.92 | 137.41 | 135.05 | 136.22 |
| Kerala | 146.46 | 147.94 | 147.22 | 136.35 | 137.01 | 136.60 | 142.59 | 143.76 | 143.15 |
| Ladakh | 67.42 | 61.49 | 64.30 | 58.30 | 47.01 | 52.29 | 63.90 | 55.80 | 59.62 |
| Lakshadweep | 83.07 | 81.15 | 82.08 | 59.44 | 72.30 | 65.54 | 73.23 | 77.84 | 75.54 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 74.24 | 71.57 | 72.86 | 76.18 | 71.55 | 73.77 | 74.93 | 71.56 | 73.18 |
| Maharashtra | 137.59 | 124.50 | 130.56 | 120.22 | 113.59 | 116.74 | 130.66 | 120.24 | 125.11 |
| Manipur | 179.31 | 173.17 | 176.10 | 96.70 | 91.21 | 93.87 | 141.99 | 136.65 | 139.22 |
| Meghalaya | 185.69 | 170.21 | 177.73 | 119.86 | 97.55 | 108.54 | 157.03 | 139.04 | 147.84 |
| Mizoram | 63.80 | 53.30 | 58.40 | 24.02 | 21.75 | 22.86 | 46.53 | 39.67 | 43.01 |
| Nagaland | 306.16 | 300.02 | 302.95 | 122.86 | 106.90 | 114.53 | 224.39 | 214.17 | 219.05 |
| Odisha | 90.70 | 90.65 | 90.67 | 85.37 | 80.89 | 83.07 | 88.63 | 86.88 | 87.73 |
| Puducherry | 111.29 | 107.07 | 109.09 | 102.86 | 97.61 | 100.14 | 108.02 | 103.43 | 105.63 |
| Punjab | 155.02 | 154.98 | 155.00 | 135.62 | 127.02 | 130.93 | 147.70 | 144.21 | 145.81 |
| Rajasthan | 114.94 | 112.67 | 113.73 | 82.53 | 87.89 | 85.33 | 102.96 | 103.80 | 103.40 |
| Sikkim | 131.60 | 153.42 | 142.78 | 86.57 | 99.86 | 93.35 | 110.49 | 128.46 | 119.68 |
| Tamil Nadu | 124.25 | 119.12 | 121.57 | 118.62 | 116.95 | 117.77 | 122.09 | 118.31 | 120.13 |
| Telangana | 183.32 | 176.40 | 179.61 | 180.86 | 174.82 | 177.65 | 182.36 | 175.80 | 178.86 |
| Tripura | 160.62 | 156.79 | 158.64 | 109.74 | 95.62 | 102.50 | 137.58 | 129.39 | 133.36 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 94.40 | 88.71 | 91.36 | 73.51 | 68.03 | 70.65 | 86.98 | 81.59 | 84.13 |
| Uttarakhand | 161.90 | 150.62 | 155.94 | 105.46 | 95.14 | 99.97 | 139.07 | 128.85 | 133.65 |
| West Bengal | 145.52 | 141.74 | 143.59 | 126.47 | 117.61 | 121.98 | 137.92 | 132.30 | 135.06 |
| All India | 117.06 | 110.41 | 113.55 | 99.88 | 92.22 | 95.91 | 110.54 | 103.68 | 106.95 |

Table 32: State-specific Muslim Gross Enrolment Ratio at Secondary Level: 2021-22, All India

| State/UT | Secondary: IX-X |  |  | Higher Secondary: XI-XII |  |  | Total: I-XII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| A \& N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islands | 72.85 | 63.92 | 68.08 | 66.22 | 53.95 | 59.71 | 75.03 | 69.52 | 72.14 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 56.57 | 54.95 | 55.74 | 29.68 | 29.35 | 29.51 | 66.79 | 66.29 | 66.52 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 42.41 | 44.09 | 43.26 | 35.28 | 30.33 | 32.78 | 62.92 | 65.68 | 64.32 |
| Assam | 85.61 | 60.04 | 72.61 | 31.92 | 27.00 | 29.43 | 114.01 | 100.38 | 107.02 |
| Bihar | 50.77 | 40.63 | 45.56 | 23.48 | 20.93 | 22.16 | 72.28 | 64.99 | 68.51 |
| Chandigarh | 106.24 | 84.04 | 93.49 | 54.54 | 43.63 | 48.22 | 102.37 | 88.58 | 94.56 |
| Chhattisgarh | 58.80 | 54.66 | 56.70 | 50.09 | 41.76 | 45.86 | 67.02 | 67.67 | 67.35 |
| D \& D Haveli \& Daman \& Diu | 76.93 | 72.72 | 74.56 | 43.95 | 33.61 | 37.66 | 82.54 | 77.27 | 79.62 |
| Delhi | 139.55 | 119.69 | 128.89 | 110.19 | 81.26 | 94.53 | 149.51 | 126.18 | 136.93 |
| Goa | 107.40 | 101.54 | 104.27 | 87.35 | 81.98 | 84.54 | 123.15 | 117.61 | 120.23 |
| Gujarat | 59.83 | 62.56 | 61.29 | 32.79 | 29.93 | 31.25 | 77.57 | 72.60 | 74.91 |
| Haryana | 62.58 | 75.46 | 69.62 | 29.38 | 44.75 | 37.77 | 105.31 | 108.55 | 107.10 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 72.06 | 71.75 | 71.90 | 45.60 | 51.16 | 48.55 | 80.99 | 81.22 | 81.05 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 55.68 | 52.35 | 53.89 | 47.56 | 46.64 | 47.07 | 73.91 | 69.27 | 71.44 |
| Jharkhand | 62.83 | 50.95 | 56.79 | 34.95 | 28.23 | 31.52 | 81.79 | 74.41 | 78.00 |
| Karnataka | 113.78 | 107.76 | 110.69 | 51.53 | 39.48 | 45.30 | 118.50 | 113.93 | 116.15 |
| Kerala | 130.44 | 131.85 | 131.29 | 99.68 | 87.97 | 93.70 | 133.19 | 132.18 | 132.67 |
| Ladakh | 53.88 | 44.87 | 49.22 | 49.44 | 40.79 | 44.99 | 59.48 | 51.27 | 55.18 |
| Lakshadweep | 63.90 | 66.79 | 65.39 | 62.05 | 67.05 | 64.46 | 69.61 | 74.02 | 71.82 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 48.10 | 41.75 | 44.82 | 33.70 | 27.10 | 30.27 | 63.77 | 59.39 | 61.49 |
| Maharashtra | 102.98 | 96.36 | 99.48 | 62.14 | 55.44 | 58.61 | 113.57 | 104.59 | 108.80 |
| Manipur | 74.78 | 74.05 | 74.41 | 48.48 | 59.19 | 53.88 | 111.63 | 110.94 | 111.27 |
| Meghalaya | 61.21 | 61.10 | 61.16 | 30.11 | 34.18 | 32.18 | 117.21 | 106.64 | 111.82 |
| Mizoram | 16.00 | 17.64 | 16.84 | 16.11 | 15.49 | 15.79 | 35.18 | 31.13 | 33.11 |
| Nagaland | 72.61 | 70.56 | 71.54 | 24.39 | 28.06 | 26.27 | 157.60 | 152.64 | 155.02 |
| Odisha | 76.30 | 68.71 | 72.37 | 31.91 | 24.53 | 28.12 | 76.46 | 72.83 | 74.58 |
| Puducherry | 97.23 | 85.24 | 90.88 | 86.41 | 78.48 | 82.29 | 102.46 | 95.98 | 99.08 |
| Punjab | 100.97 | 94.12 | 97.22 | 75.56 | 69.28 | 72.18 | 126.68 | 121.58 | 123.93 |
| Rajasthan | 53.79 | 60.47 | 57.28 | 35.30 | 42.57 | 39.12 | 83.27 | 86.51 | 84.99 |
| Sikkim | 83.77 | 79.61 | 81.66 | 98.07 | 58.53 | 78.03 | 102.73 | 104.79 | 103.78 |
| Tamil Nadu | 113.33 | 110.85 | 112.06 | 92.31 | 84.75 | 88.46 | 115.36 | 111.25 | 113.24 |
| Telangana | 157.33 | 145.99 | 151.49 | 108.60 | 100.43 | 104.31 | 164.46 | 157.07 | 160.55 |
| Tripura | 103.03 | 76.47 | 89.40 | 50.35 | 40.11 | 45.00 | 114.03 | 101.71 | 107.67 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 45.85 | 44.89 | 45.35 | 30.72 | 29.26 | 29.95 | 70.50 | 66.66 | 68.47 |
| Uttarakhand | 67.37 | 54.95 | 60.63 | 47.18 | 34.93 | 40.68 | 109.10 | 98.77 | 103.56 |
| West Bengal | 103.27 | 80.73 | 91.79 | 80.63 | 45.42 | 62.64 | 121.35 | 107.43 | 114.24 |
| India | 74.78 | 66.56 | 70.51 | 47.71 | 39.71 | 43.54 | 93.54 | 86.38 | 89.80 |

Table 33: Projected State-specific MUSLIM Age 18-23 Year Population \& GER at Higher Education Level by Gender: 2020-21

Sl.
No
State/UT/Gender
Sl.
No State/UT/Gender


Source: Projected based on AISHE 2020-21 by assuming that the share of MUSLIM to Total Population of 2011 Census remain constant in 2020-21*Muslim share of undivided Andhra Pradesh in 2011 has been used to project Muslim population.

Table 34: Educational Level of Muslims by Gender: 2017-18

| Religious Group | Educational Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Illiterates | Below Primary | Primary | Upper Primary | Secondary | Higher Secondary | Graduates |  <br> Above | All |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islam/Muslim | 27.9 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100 |
| All Religions | 22 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 13.1 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 1.7 | 100 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islam/Muslim | 37.7 | 16 | 15.6 | 12 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 100 |
| All Religions | 34.9 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 10.6 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 100 |
|  | All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islam/Muslim | 36.4 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 13.2 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100 |
| All Religions | 32.5 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 14.2 | 10.7 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 100 |

Source: Household Social Consumption on Education in India: 2017-18, NSS 75th, Round, MoS\&PI.
Table 35: Educational Level of Muslims by Location: 2017-18
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[^0]:    Source: Computed based on UDISEPlus 2020-21 \& 2021-22 enrolment \& repeaters data.

[^1]:    Source: AIHES 2020-21, Ministry of Education, GoI.

[^2]:    Source: UDISEPlus, different years.

[^3]:    Source: Household Social Consumption on Education in India: 2017-18, NSS 75th, Round, MoS\&PI.

