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## Background

Coverage of Class III Cycle 3 study:
(except Lakshadweep)


Cycles of National Achievement Surveys conducted under SSA

## 2007-08





This report summarises the findings of the NAS Class III (Cycle 3) conducted in 2013. Some important key features of this survey are highlighted below:

## Key Features of the Class III (Cycle 3) study:

- Assessed student abilities in Language (listening, recognition of words and reading comprehension) and in Mathematics (numbers, basic operations, measurement, data handling, patterns, money and geometry)
- For the first time, uses international technique of Item Response Theory (IRT) for Class III assessments, which measures the true ability of students to respond correctly to different levels of difficulty in tests, allows comparison of scores over time and increases the efficiency, accuracy and usefulness of results
- Conducted tests through child-friendly manner like reading questions aloud so children would feel at ease and answer comfortably
- Involved rigorous training and monitoring of field investigators to ensure quality of data through standardized test administration
- Standardized tests were administered in 16 languages of instruction across the country


## Overall Findings


"Overall, Class III children in 34 states/UTs were able to answer $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ of language items correctly and $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ of mathematics questions correctly.

Performance in
Language

| State/UT | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Daman \& Diu | 74 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 73 |
| Mizoram | 73 |
| Puducherry | 73 |
| Tripura | 73 |
| Goa | 71 |
| Sikkim | 71 |
| Tamil Nadu | 71 |
| Karnataka | 70 |
| Kerala | 70 |
| Maharashtra | 70 |
| Manipur | 69 |
| West Bengal | 69 |
| Gujarat | 67 |
| A \& N Islands | 66 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 65 |
| Meghalaya | 65 |
| Nagaland | 65 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 64 |
| National Average | 64 |
| Assam | 63 |
| Punjab | 63 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 63 |
| Odisha | 62 |
| Delhi | 61 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 60 |
| Chandigarh | 59 |
| Jharkhand | 58 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 58 |
| Rajasthan | 58 |
| Haryana | 57 |
| Uttarakhand | 57 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 56 |
| Bihar | 53 |
| Chhattisgarh | 51 |

Performance in Mathematics

| State/UT | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Daman \& Diu | 77 |
| Puducherry | 75 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 74 |
| Tamil Nadu | 74 |
| Karnataka | 73 |
| Manipur | 71 |
| Mizoram | 71 |
| Punjab | 71 |
| Kerala | 70 |
| Tripura | 70 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 69 |
| Gujarat | 69 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 69 |
| Maharashtra | 69 |
| A \& N Islands | 68 |
| Sikkim | 68 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 68 |
| West Bengal | 67 |
| Assam | 66 |
| Goa | 66 |
| National Average | 66 |
| Jharkhand | 65 |
| Nagaland | 65 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 64 |
| Meghalaya | 63 |
| Delhi | 63 |
| Odisha | 63 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 62 |
| Haryana | 62 |
| Uttarakhand | 62 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 61 |
| Rajasthan | 61 |
| Chandigarh | 60 |
| Bihar | 57 |
| Chhattisgarh | 53 |

## Sturients' Performance in Language

The ability to understand a simple text is a skill that is fundamental to learning. Without acquiring basic language skills in the primary classes, children have difficulty succeeding in school as they move on to higher grades, as well as in coping with other subjects.

To gauge students' language development, students were assessed on their skills in:

1. Listening comprehension (using multiple choice questions based on a passage read aloud by the investigator),
2. Word recognition (by matching the picture provided to the correct word from two given options),
3. Reading comprehension (by being asked to read a calendar/paragraph/advertisement and then locate specific information or draw conclusions)

## Average Scores in Language




## Ability-wise Performance in Language

## Listening

Overall, $65 \%$ of Class III students were able to listen to a passage with understanding


Students had to attempt six questions with three options based on the passage read to them by the Field Investigator

## Word Recognition

Overall, $86 \%$ of Class III students were able to recognize words


Students had to match the pictures to the correct word from the given two options

## Reading Comprehension

© Overall, 59\% of Class III students were able to read a passage with understanding


Students had to locate information, interpret/ grasp ideas and infer/evaluate from the given text

## Sample Item: Reading Comprehension

Read the following passage and encircle the answers of the questions.

You must have seen butterflies. Do you know where a butterfly comes from? The mother butterfly lays an egg on a leaf or plant. A small caterpillar comes out of the egg.

The caterpillar eats leaves and grows bigger. Then the caterpillar attaches itself to a leaf and makes a large cocoon. This is a kind of shell that protects it from other animals. Inside the cocoon it grows wings and legs. Finally, the cocoon opens and the new beautiful butterfly comes out. It slowly opens its wings and then it flies away.

Cocoon is a kind of

1. Plant.
2. Shell.
3. Butterfly.

## Sample Item: Word Recognition

Look at the pictures and recognise the correct word for the picture. Then encircle the correct answer.
1.Table
2.Chair




## Average Scores in Mathematics

State's Average is significantly ABOVE the National Average (States/UTs:14)

No. significant difference in average score than National Average (States/UTs: 8)

State's Average is significantly BELOW the National Average (States/UTs: 12)

UT not included in the Report
(UT: 1)


| State/UT | Average Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| Daman \& Diu | 279 |
| Puducherry | 271 |
| Tamil Nadu | 271 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 267 |
| Karnataka | 265 |
| Mizoram | 265 |
| Kerala | 264 |
| Manipur | 263 |
| Maharashtra | 262 |
| Tripura | 262 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 259 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 258 |
| Punjab | 258 |
| Sikkim | 257 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 257 |
| A \& N Islands | 255 |
| Gujarat | 255 |
| West Bengal | 255 |
| National Average | 252 |
| Assam | 249 |
| Jharkhand | 249 |
| Nagaland | 249 |
| Goa | 248 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 245 |
| Delhi | 244 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 243 |
| Uttarakhand | 243 |
| Meghalaya | 241 |
| Odisha | 241 |
| Chandigarh | 240 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 240 |
| Haryana | 238 |
| Rajasthan | 236 |
| Bihar | 230 |
| Chhattisgarh | 222 |



## Ability-wise performance in Mathematics

## Performance of Students in Addition

## Performance of Students in Subtraction

Overall, $69 \%$ of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Addition


|  | Add |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sample Item: | 46 |
| Addition | + 37 |
| $e^{n t} C_{o r r}$ | + |
| 74\% | We get : $\square 73$ |
|  | $\square 83$ |
|  | $\square 713$ |

$ß$ Overall, 65\% of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Subtraction



## Performance of Students in Multiplication

## Performance of Students in Division

Overall, $63 \%$ of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Multiplication


Overall, $57 \%$ of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Division


## Multiply

Sample Item:
Multiplication

24
$\qquad$
We get :29

56 Students form seven equal groups. How many students are in each group?
We get :

## Performance of Students in Place Value

Overall, 59\% of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Place Value


## Sample Item:

Place Value


Which is the largest three
digit number using 2,3 and
4 only once ?

Overall, 66\% of Class III students were able to solve problems based on Shapes




## Performance of Students in Measurement

## O Overall, $66 \%$ of Class III students were able to solve problems related to Measurement



## Sample Item:

Measurement


What is the time by this
watch ?9 o'clock 10 o'clock
12 o'clock

Overall, 78\% of Class III students were able to solve problems related to Money


## Sample Item: Money



Your mother gave you Rs. 50. She gave the money in three notes. Which of the following shows the notes she gave?

## Performance of Students in Data Handling

Overall, 77\% of Class III students were able to solve problems on Data Handling



Overall, 69\% of Class III students were able to solve problems on Patterns


## Sample Item: <br> Patterns



Observe the number given below. What comes after 130 ? 100, 110, 120, 130, _?120135
$\qquad$

# Performance: Equity Analysis 



## Performance by Gender

## Language



| State/UT | Boys Avg <br> (SE) | Girls Avg <br> (SE) | State/UT | Boys Avg <br> (SE) | Girls Avg <br> (SE) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A \& N Islands | $261(3.0)$ | $264(3.6)$ | Kerala | $268(2.1)$ | $277(2.6)$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | $252(2.9)$ | $255(2.7)$ | Madhya Pradesh | $243(2.5)$ | $234(2.5)$ |
| Arunachal Pradesh | $247(2.9)$ | $247(3.9)$ | Maharashtra | $270(2.2)$ | $273(3.5)$ |
| Assam | $254(2.4)$ | $251(2.1)$ | Manipur | $266(4.1)$ | $267(3.9)$ |
| Bihar | $228(2.8)$ | $227(2.6)$ | Meghalaya | $251(2.3)$ | $253(2.4)$ |
| Chandigarh | $241(3.1)$ | $245(2.8)$ | Mizoram | $278(2.6)$ | $277(2.5)$ |
| Chhattisgarh | $228(3.1)$ | $225(2.2)$ | Nagaland | $251(3.2)$ | $257(4.0)$ |
| D \& N Haveli | $272(3.7)$ | $277(3.1)$ | Odisha | $250(2.5)$ | $250(2.4)$ |
| Daman \& Diu | $278(10.0)$ | $281(12.0)$ | Puducherry | $274(3.6)$ | $285(3.0)$ |
| Delhi | $250(2.9)$ | $256(4.2)$ | Punjab | $248(2.1)$ | $250(2.7)$ |
| Goa | $272(3.3)$ | $276(3.2)$ | Rajasthan | $240(2.8)$ | $237(2.8)$ |
| Gujarat | $261(2.2)$ | $263(2.6)$ | Sikkim | $273(2.5)$ | $275(2.5)$ |
| Haryana | $238(3.4)$ | $237(2.5)$ | Tamil Nadu | $272(3.0)$ | $277(3.4)$ |
| Himachal Pradesh | $253(2.5)$ | $259(2.6)$ | Tripura | $282(2.6)$ | $281(2.7)$ |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | $231(2.5)$ | $233(2.8)$ | Uttar Pradesh | $255(2.5)$ | $249(2.6)$ |
| Jharkhand | $241(3.2)$ | $243(2.9)$ | Uttarakhand | $239(4.1)$ | $239(3.4)$ |
| Karnataka | $268(3.3)$ | $266(3.3)$ | West Bengal | $272(3.1)$ | $270(3.2)$ |

No significant difference between performance
of boys and girls in
language, except
for Madhya Pradesh
(boys higher), Kerala \&
Puducherry (girls higher)

## National Average

Boys: 256 (0.6)
Girls: 258 (0.6)

[^0]
## Performance by Gender

## Mathematics



| State/UT | Boys Avg <br> (SE) | Girls Avg <br> (SE) | State/UT | Boys Avg <br> (SE) | Girls Avg <br> (SE) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A \& N Islands | $254(3.0)$ | $257(3.4)$ | Kerala | $261(2.2)$ | $268(1.9)$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | $260(2.8)$ | $259(2.3)$ | Madhya Pradesh | $246(2.6)$ | $241(3.4)$ |
| Arunachal Pradesh | $245(3.1)$ | $245(3.0)$ | Maharashtra | $262(2.0)$ | $262(3.6)$ |
| Assam | $249(2.5)$ | $249(2.7)$ | Manipur | $261(3.1)$ | $264(3.3)$ |
| Bihar | $231(3.4)$ | $230(3.7)$ | Meghalaya | $243(2.3)$ | $240(1.9)$ |
| Chandigarh | $241(3.1)$ | $239(3.0)$ | Mizoram | $266(2.5)$ | $264(2.5)$ |
| Chhattisgarh | $223(2.3)$ | $221(3.8)$ | Nagaland | $249(3.1)$ | $248(4.0)$ |
| D \& N Haveli | $266(3.0)$ | $268(2.5)$ | Odisha | $242(3.1)$ | $240(2.7)$ |
| Daman \& Diu | $278(6.8)$ | $279(4.6)$ | Puducherry | $268(3.0)$ | $275(2.5)$ |
| Delhi | $245(4.3)$ | $244(3.4)$ | Punjab | $257(2.7)$ | $260(2.4)$ |
| Goa | $247(3.1)$ | $249(3.0)$ | Rajasthan | $236(3.2)$ | $235(2.6)$ |
| Gujarat | $255(2.4)$ | $254(3.1)$ | Sikkim | $258(2.5)$ | $256(2.5)$ |
| Haryana | $242(2.8)$ | $235(3.2)$ | Tamil Nadu | $271(3.2)$ | $270(3.8)$ |
| Himachal Pradesh | $258(3.3)$ | $259(2.7)$ | Tripura | $263(2.4)$ | $260(3.5)$ |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | $240(3.2)$ | $241(3.0)$ | Uttar Pradesh | $259(2.5)$ | $256(2.8)$ |
| Jharkhand | $247(3.4)$ | $251(3.2)$ | Uttarakhand | $247(4.5)$ | $240(3.7)$ |
| Karnataka | $265(2.6)$ | $265(3.1)$ | West Bengal | $256(2.9)$ | $255(3.1)$ |

Girls students are doing significantly better (State:1)

[^1]No significant
difference between the performance of boys and girls in mathematics, except for Kerala (girls higher)

## National Average

Boys: 253 (0.5)
Girls: 252 (0.5)

## Performance by Rural-Urban

## Language



| State/UT | Rural <br> (SE) | Urban <br> (SE) | State/UT | Rural <br> (SE) | Urban <br> (SE) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A \& N Islands | $263(3.0)$ | $262(7.6)$ | Kerala | $272(2.3)$ | $277(4.3)$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | $252(2.5)$ | $264(6.4)$ | Madhya Pradesh | $238(2.1)$ | $246(8.8)$ |
| Arunachal Pradesh | $245(2.9)$ | $254(9.0)$ | Maharashtra | $273(3.0)$ | $264(3.6)$ |
| Assam | $253(2.1)$ | $251(8.2)$ | Manipur | $265(3.8)$ | $278(14.2)$ |
| Bihar | $227(2.5)$ | $235(10.2)$ | Meghalaya | $253(2.1)$ | $250(5.4)$ |
| Chandigarh | $246(6.0)$ | $243(3.0)$ | Mizoram | $274(2.5)$ | $289(4.9)$ |
| Chhattisgarh | $226(2.5)$ | $230(6.8)$ | Nagaland | $256(3.0)$ | $249(13.2)$ |
| D \& N Haveli | $277(2.7)$ | $251(12.6)$ | Odisha | $250(2.1)$ | $246(6.4)$ |
| Daman \& Diu | $273(7.5)$ | $309(13.4)$ | Puducherry | $278(4.3)$ | $281(3.8)$ |
| Delhi | $252(4.9)$ | $254(3.0)$ | Punjab | $247(2.3)$ | $256(5.8)$ |
| Goa | $273(3.6)$ | $275(3.6)$ | Rajasthan | $238(2.4)$ | $240(12.3)$ |
| Gujarat | $262(2.1)$ | $263(7.0)$ | Sikkim | $275(2.4)$ | $254(13.9)$ |
| Haryana | $235(2.5)$ | $252(6.1)$ | Tamil Nadu | $275(3.5)$ | $272(5.0)$ |
| Himachal Pradesh | $256(2.1)$ | $257(10.0)$ | Tripura | $280(2.5)$ | $290(3.8)$ |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | $231(2.7)$ | $258(5.7)$ | Uttar Pradesh | $251(2.4)$ | $261(8.9)$ |
| Jharkhand | $241(3.0)$ | $259(7.6)$ | Uttarakhand | $241(3.8)$ | $229(6.2)$ |
| Karnataka | $267(3.5)$ | $264(4.8)$ | West Bengal | $267(3.4)$ | $285(3.9)$ |

No significant difference in the performance of rural and urban students in language, except for Maharashtra and Dadra and Nagar Haveli (rural higher) and Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand, Mizoram, Tripura and Daman \& Diu (urban higher)

## National Average

Rural: 256 (0.6)
Urban: 260 (1.4)

## Performance by Rural-Urban

## Mathematics



| State/UT | Rural <br> (SE) | Urban <br> (SE) | State/UT | Rural <br> (SE) | Urban <br> (SE) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A \& N Islands | $258(3.1)$ | $246(7.5)$ | Kerala | $262(2.0)$ | $273(4.9)$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | $259(2.2)$ | $260(7.2)$ | Madhya Pradesh | $242(2.7)$ | $255(5.1)$ |
| Arunachal Pradesh | $243(2.7)$ | $253(8.0)$ | Maharashtra | $266(2.8)$ | $248(3.8)$ |
| Assam | $249(2.4)$ | $253(9.2)$ | Manipur | $264(3.1)$ | $260(6.7)$ |
| Bihar | $230(3.4)$ | $246(9.6)$ | Meghalaya | $242(2.1)$ | $236(5.0)$ |
| Chandigarh | $244(8.8)$ | $239(3.1)$ | Mizoram | $264(2.6)$ | $270(3.9)$ |
| Chhattisgarh | $222(2.6)$ | $214(7.0)$ | Nagaland | $253(3.3)$ | $228(10.6)$ |
| D \& N Haveli | $268(2.1)$ | $262(12.7)$ | Odisha | $241(2.8)$ | $243(8.3)$ |
| Daman \& Diu | $273(3.9)$ | $308(5.8)$ | Puducherry | $270(4.2)$ | $273(2.6)$ |
| Delhi | $244(5.8)$ | $244(3.1)$ | Punjab | $256(2.6)$ | $268(4.3)$ |
| Goa | $249(3.5)$ | $248(4.0)$ | Rajasthan | $235(2.4)$ | $239(13.8)$ |
| Gujarat | $255(2.4)$ | $253(7.6)$ | Sikkim | $258(2.4)$ | $241(17.0)$ |
| Haryana | $237(3.5)$ | $243(8.9)$ | Tamil Nadu | $271(4.0)$ | $268(5.2)$ |
| Himachal Pradesh | $259(2.8)$ | $243(13.2)$ | Tripura | $260(3.1)$ | $271(5.2)$ |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | $240(2.9)$ | $250(3.0)$ | Uttar Pradesh | $258(2.5)$ | $254(8.6)$ |
| Jharkhand | $248(3.3)$ | $252(8.7)$ | Uttarakhand | $245(3.9)$ | $234(7.2)$ |
| Karnataka | $267(3.0)$ | $259(4.5)$ | West Bengal | $254(3.2)$ | $260(4.9)$ |

No significant difference between rural and urban children's performance
in mathematics in
27 states/UTs

## National Average

Rural: 252 (0.6)
Urban: 253 (1.3)

[^2]
## Language



| State/UT | SC | ST | OBC | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 251 (3.0) | 251 (6.8) | 254 (3.3) | 261 (4.8) |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 285 (7.1) | 249 (4.1) | 253 (6.4) | 239 (3.5) |
| Assam | 252 (5.8) | 260 (4.9) | 253 (4.0) | 251 (2.0) |
| Bihar | 228 (4.0) | 232 (7.9) | 226 (2.6) | 228 (5.6) |
| Chhattisgarh | 216 (2.9) | 226 (4.0) | 228 (3.2) | 247 (6.9) |
| Delhi | 249 (3.7) | 234 (12.4) | 250 (8.6) | 255 (3.0) |
| Goa | 268 (5.0) | 273 (5.7) | 284 (5.0) | 273 (3.2) |
| Gujarat | 262 (4.0) | 263 (4.3) | 262 (2.3) | 262 (4.1) |
| Haryana | 237 (3.1) | 239 (9.9) | 238 (3.4) | 238 (4.0) |
| Himachal Pradesh | 251 (3.0) | 263 (3.7) | 262 (4.9) | 256 (3.0) |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 236 (6.7) | 223 (6.6) | 235 (7.1) | 234 (3.3) |
| Jharkhand | 243 (4.5) | 236 (6.3) | 246 (3.1) | 244 (7.6) |
| Karnakata | 268 (4.8) | 266 (6.4) | 264 (3.6) | 272 (4.2) |
| Kerala | 267 (3.1) | 266 (11.6) | 273 (2.7) | 274 (3.0) |
| Madhya Pradesh | 240 (4.1) | 233 (3.5) | 241 (2.5) | 246 (4.5) |
| Maharashtra | 265 (3.2) | 271 (7.0) | 273 (3.3) | 272 (2.8) |
| Manipur | 289 (19.2) | 260 (5.2) | 267 (5.1) | 292 (14.2) |
| Meghalaya | 224 (5.2) | 252 (2.0) | 266 (8.6) | 297 (17.6) |
| Mizoram | 258 (10.9) | 279 (2.6) | 275 (5.6) | 268 (0.7) |
| Nagaland | 266 (13.3) | 252 (4.0) | 256 (5.0) | 272 (6.0) |
| Odisha | 242 (4.6) | 241 (3.2) | 258 (2.9) | 270 (5.8) |
| Punjab | 247 (2.4) | 275 (13.8) | 252 (2.7) | 254 (3.6) |
| Rajasthan | 243 (4.5) | 229 (4.8) | 242 (3.3) | 232 (4.0) |
| Sikkim | 264 (4.6) | 273 (2.9) | 277 (2.8) | 274 (4.7) |
| Tamil Nadu | 275 (4.7) | 283 (2.6) | 273 (3.6) | 276 (6.8) |
| Tripura | 278 (4.2) | 279 (3.7) | 283 (2.9) | 285 (4.5) |
| Uttar Pradesh | 249 (3.1) | 249 (10.9) | 252 (3.0) | 257 (3.5) |
| Uttarakhand | 237 (4.3) | 247 (12.3) | 238 (4.2) | 240 (5.7) |
| West Bengal | 267 (5.1) | 265 (10.3) | 278 (5.6) | 273 (3.1) |
| A\&N Islands | 292 (8.2) | 235 (8.2) | 265 (4.7) | 266 (3.4) |
| Chandigarh | 245 (3.7) | 197 (16.3) | 254 (10.7) | 243 (2.8) |
| Puducherry | 278 (3.6) | 311 (19.7) | 279 (3.5) | 282 (5.8) |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 265 (16.1) | 278 (2.7) | 267 (10.0) | 250 (12.9) |
| Daman \& Diu | 260 (17.0) | 270 (7.9) | 288 (8.5) | 281 (21.7) |
| National Average (Social Group) | 256 (1.3) | 255 (1.4) | 259 (0.9) | 261 (1.2) |

Mathematics


| State/UT | SC | ST | OBC | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 260 (3.3) | 256 (6.4) | 258 (3.0) | 262 (4.7) |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 254 (22.5) | 247 (4.0) | 253 (9.7) | 238 (3.3) |
| Assam | 246 (6.0) | 249 (5.1) | 245 (5.1) | 252 (2.6) |
| Bihar | 232 (4.4) | 226 (14.8) | 231 (3.7) | 226 (5.8) |
| Chhattisgarh | 211 (3.8) | 219 (4.8) | 226 (3.9) | 240 (9.0) |
| Delhi | 235 (4.9) | 221 (15.6) | 239 (6.5) | 247 (2.9) |
| Goa | 244 (9.2) | 254 (5.2) | 252 (4.4) | 247 (3.2) |
| Gujarat | 255 (4.1) | 254 (4.1) | 253 (2.5) | 265 (5.4) |
| Haryana | 237 (3.9) | 206 (26.5) | 239 (3.2) | 242 (5.3) |
| Himachal Pradesh | 251 (4.2) | 264 (6.0) | 268 (4.1) | 260 (3.7) |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 242 (8.9) | 227 (6.2) | 244 (5.8) | 243 (3.5) |
| Jharkhand | 254 (4.8) | 246 (6.9) | 252 (3.2) | 233 (6.2) |
| Karnakata | 265 (3.9) | 267 (4.8) | 263 (3.3) | 268 (3.8) |
| Kerala | 261 (3.4) | 248 (12.7) | 265 (2.0) | 266 (3.8) |
| Madhya Pradesh | 245 (4.2) | 236 (3.1) | 247 (2.7) | 258 (5.9) |
| Maharashtra | 255 (3.1) | 269 (6.5) | 261 (3.9) | 262 (2.5) |
| Manipur | 246 (22.3) | 259 (3.8) | 267 (5.7) | 272 (6.9) |
| Meghalaya | 232 (6.9) | 243 (1.8) | 232 (8.0) | 265 (36.3) |
| Mizoram | 265 (7.7) | 265 (2.5) | 268 (8.8) | 238 (1.9) |
| Nagaland | 262 (17.8) | 247 (4.0) | 253 (6.4) | 250 (4.2) |
| Odisha | 240 (4.3) | 230 (4.7) | 248 (3.1) | 255 (5.4) |
| Punjab | 256 (2.6) | 295 (11.8) | 260 (3.2) | 264 (3.7) |
| Rajasthan | 241 (3.9) | 229 (5.9) | 238 (3.2) | 227 (4.9) |
| Sikkim | 245 (4.3) | 256 (3.1) | 261 (2.6) | 257 (4.6) |
| Tamil Nadu | 268 (3.7) | 289 (8.8) | 270 (4.1) | 271 (7.2) |
| Tripura | 258 (4.3) | 257 (5.5) | 266 (3.1) | 265 (3.4) |
| Uttar Pradesh | 256 (3.1) | 255 (6.9) | 255 (2.7) | 266 (4.6) |
| Uttarakhand | 243 (4.9) | 250 (16.2) | 237 (5.1) | 246 (6.0) |
| West Bengal | 255 (5.0) | 237 (6.0) | 262 (3.7) | 256 (3.1) |
| A\&N Islands | 267 (15.4) | 235 (9.8) | 260 (4.1) | 257 (3.4) |
| Chandigarh | 241 (3.4) | 220 (13.7) | 248 (6.4) | 240 (3.0) |
| Puducherry | 267 (4.0) | 297 (6.3) | 271 (2.8) | 280 (5.8) |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 272 (12.3) | 270 (2.3) | 261 (7.9) | 248 (8.8) |
| Daman \& Diu | 282 (8.9) | 268 (9.3) | 281 (6.2) | 282 (9.9) |


| National Average | $251(1.5)$ | $250(1.6)$ | 254 (0.8) | 254 (1.4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Social Group)

254 (1.4)
254 (0.8)

## Performance of Scheduled Caste (SC) Students

## in Language and Mathematics

## (13 states where SC population is above national average of $16.63 \%{ }^{*}$ )

| State/UT | \% of SC <br> Population* | Language | Mathematics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chandigarh | 18.86 | 245 | 241 |
| Delhi | 16.75 | 249 | 235 |
| Haryana | 20.17 | 237 | 237 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 25.19 | 251 | 251 |
| Karnataka | 17.15 | 268 | 265 |
| Odisha | 17.13 | 242 | 240 |
| Punjab | 31.94 | 247 | 256 |
| Rajasthan | 17.83 | 243 | 241 |
| Tamil Nadu | 20.01 | 275 | 268 |
| Tripura | 17.83 | 278 | 258 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 20.70 | 249 | 256 |
| Uttarakhand | 18.76 | 237 | 243 |
| West Bengal | 23.51 | 267 | 255 |
| National Average | $\mathbf{8 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ |

- In Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Tripura and West Bengal, students' performance in language and mathematics is more than the national average
- In Chandigarh, Delhi, Haryana, Odisha, Rajasthan and Uttarakhand, students' performance in language and mathematics is less than the national average
- In Himachal Pradesh, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh, students performance is lower than the national average in language, but higher than the national average in mathematics
- Below national average (SC)
- Equal or more than national average (SC)


## Performance of Scheduled Tribe (ST) Students in Language and Mathematics

(18 states where ST population is above national average of $8.61 \%{ }^{*}$ )

| State/UT | \% of ST <br> Population* | Language | Mathematics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 68.79 | 249 | 247 |
| Assam | 12.45 | 260 | 249 |
| Chhattisgarh | 30.62 | 226 | 219 |
| D \& N Haveli | 51.95 | 278 | 270 |
| Goa | 10.23 | 273 | 254 |
| Gujarat | 14.75 | 263 | 254 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 11.91 | 223 | 227 |
| Jharkhand | 26.21 | 236 | 246 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 21.09 | 233 | 236 |
| Maharashtra | 9.35 | 271 | 269 |
| Manipur | 35.12 | 260 | 259 |
| Meghalaya | 86.15 | 252 | 243 |
| Mizoram | 94.43 | 279 | 265 |
| Nagaland | 86.48 | 252 | 247 |
| Odisha | 22.85 | 241 | 230 |
| Rajasthan | 13.48 | 229 | 229 |
| Sikkim | 33.80 | 273 | 256 |
| Tripura | 31.76 | 279 | 257 |
| National Average | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 3}$ | 255 | 250 |

- In Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Goa, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Manipur, Mizoram, Sikkim and Tripura, students' performance is more than the national average in both language and mathematics
- In Arunachal Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Jammu and Kashmir, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Odisha and Rajasthan, students' performance is less than the national average in both language and mathematics
- Performance of students in Assam is lower than the national average in mathematics but higher than the national average in language

[^3][^4]


## Distribution of students by correct responses

Language (Percent students)


Mathematics (Percent students)

$ふ 39 \%$ students answered more than $75 \%$ questions correctly, while only 9\% students answered below 35\%

| State/UT | Range of correct answers (Mathematics) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-35\% | 36-50\% | 51-75\% | Above 75\% |
| A\&N Islands | 6.4 | 13.1 | 39.9 | 40.6 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 5.6 | 14.0 | 36.3 | 44.1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 9.8 | 20.1 | 39.9 | 30.2 |
| Assam | 8.4 | 17.3 | 35.1 | 39.2 |
| Bihar | 21.7 | 17.3 | 30.8 | 30.2 |
| Chandigarh | 9.5 | 20.4 | 46.9 | 23.2 |
| Chhattisgarh | 22.9 | 26.0 | 32.5 | 18.7 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 2.7 | 6.9 | 34.5 | 55.9 |
| Daman \& Diu | 1.1 | 7.2 | 27.4 | 64.4 |
| Delhi | 12.2 | 17.7 | 36.2 | 33.9 |
| Goa | 7.3 | 14.4 | 42.3 | 36.0 |
| Gujarat | 6.0 | 12.0 | 36.9 | 45.1 |
| Haryana | 11.8 | 20.2 | 35.9 | 32.2 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 7.5 | 12.6 | 32.9 | 47.0 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 15.1 | 17.0 | 35.7 | 32.2 |
| Jharkhand | 11.9 | 14.3 | 34.2 | 39.6 |
| Karnakata | 3.3 | 9.9 | 32.0 | 54.8 |
| Kerala | 5.2 | 12.2 | 35.6 | 47.0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 9.9 | 17.2 | 39.9 | 33.1 |
| Maharashtra | 4.9 | 14.6 | 38.5 | 42.0 |
| Manipur | 7.0 | 11.8 | 29.9 | 51.2 |
| Meghalaya | 6.7 | 20.6 | 42.9 | 29.9 |
| Mizoram | 3.8 | 10.2 | 39.1 | 46.8 |
| Nagaland | 7.2 | 15.9 | 42.4 | 34.4 |
| Odisha | 11.3 | 20.0 | 35.8 | 33.0 |
| Puducherry | 1.8 | 8.7 | 34.4 | 55.2 |
| Punjab | 3.4 | 11.0 | 39.8 | 45.8 |
| Rajasthan | 12.2 | 18.5 | 40.4 | 29.0 |
| Sikkim | 4.6 | 14.1 | 41.7 | 39.7 |
| Tamil Nadu | 2.7 | 10.2 | 33.1 | 54.0 |
| Tripura | 4.6 | 8.0 | 41.4 | 46.1 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 8.1 | 12.2 | 34.4 | 45.3 |
| Uttarakhand | 11.5 | 18.9 | 36.6 | 33.0 |
| West Bengal | 7.4 | 13.2 | 38.9 | 40.5 |
| National Average | 8.7 | 15.2 | 36.9 | 39.2 |


| State/UT | Range of correct answers (Language) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-35\% | 36-50\% | 51-75\% | Above 75\% |
| A\&N Islands | 6.7 | 16.9 | 45.3 | 31.0 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 8.3 | 18.7 | 45.8 | 27.1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 13.1 | 22.3 | 42.2 | 22.4 |
| Assam | 8.2 | 18.0 | 48.9 | 25.0 |
| Bihar | 24.0 | 20.4 | 39.5 | 16.1 |
| Chandigarh | 13.0 | 23.1 | 44.2 | 19.7 |
| Chhattisgarh | 24.1 | 26.4 | 38.1 | 11.4 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 3.2 | 8.0 | 42.6 | 46.2 |
| Daman \& Diu | 1.1 | 10.5 | 39.9 | 48.5 |
| Delhi | 13.0 | 19.2 | 43.5 | 24.3 |
| Goa | 3.4 | 10.5 | 43.3 | 42.8 |
| Gujarat | 5.9 | 13.9 | 46.5 | 33.7 |
| Haryana | 15.1 | 25.9 | 41.2 | 17.9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 8.7 | 16.1 | 45.9 | 29.2 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 20.7 | 22.5 | 36.8 | 20.0 |
| Jharkhand | 15.4 | 20.7 | 43.6 | 20.3 |
| Karnakata | 4.5 | 10.6 | 43.1 | 41.8 |
| Kerala | 6.4 | 12.2 | 37.7 | 43.7 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 12.5 | 23.8 | 47.7 | 16.1 |
| Maharashtra | 5.2 | 11.7 | 42.5 | 40.6 |
| Manipur | 7.2 | 11.9 | 42.7 | 38.3 |
| Meghalaya | 5.8 | 18.8 | 45.7 | 29.7 |
| Mizoram | 3.4 | 8.8 | 40.1 | 47.7 |
| Nagaland | 6.8 | 18.4 | 45.2 | 29.6 |
| Odisha | 11.9 | 19.2 | 42.3 | 26.6 |
| Puducherry | 2.9 | 11.6 | 36.5 | 49.0 |
| Punjab | 7.8 | 18.1 | 50.8 | 23.3 |
| Rajasthan | 15.2 | 22.9 | 43.3 | 18.7 |
| Sikkim | 4.0 | 12.1 | 41.4 | 42.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | 3.8 | 11.2 | 42.4 | 42.6 |
| Tripura | 2.5 | 9.1 | 41.6 | 46.9 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 10.5 | 18.4 | 44.6 | 26.6 |
| Uttarakhand | 17.2 | 22.3 | 41.6 | 18.9 |
| West Bengal | 6.2 | 12.8 | 42.2 | 38.8 |
| National Average | 10.2 | 17.3 | 43.0 | 29.5 |



Percentile Scores in Language

| State/UTs | $\begin{gathered} 10^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range } \\ & 75-25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range } \\ 90-10 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A \& $N$ Islands | 197 | 230 | 263 | 297 | 322 | 67 | 125 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 188 | 222 | 251 | 288 | 319 | 66 | 131 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 180 | 212 | 245 | 284 | 313 | 73 | 133 |
| Assam | 196 | 227 | 251 | 283 | 307 | 56 | 111 |
| Bihar | 153 | 190 | 231 | 268 | 295 | 78 | 142 |
| Chandigarh | 180 | 211 | 240 | 280 | 305 | 69 | 125 |
| Chhattisgarh | 176 | 193 | 227 | 255 | 286 | 62 | 110 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 217 | 242 | 277 | 308 | 332 | 66 | 115 |
| Daman \& Diu | 224 | 244 | 282 | 313 | 340 | 69 | 116 |
| Delhi | 186 | 223 | 256 | 290 | 312 | 67 | 126 |
| Goa | 218 | 239 | 274 | 307 | 334 | 69 | 117 |
| Gujarat | 198 | 231 | 265 | 296 | 324 | 65 | 127 |
| Haryana | 176 | 203 | 235 | 274 | 303 | 71 | 127 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 191 | 225 | 261 | 290 | 316 | 64 | 125 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 166 | 194 | 232 | 269 | 298 | 75 | 132 |
| Jharkhand | 178 | 210 | 242 | 279 | 302 | 68 | 124 |
| Karnataka | 203 | 234 | 272 | 301 | 323 | 66 | 120 |
| Kerala | 198 | 237 | 279 | 311 | 334 | 74 | 137 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 181 | 211 | 237 | 271 | 293 | 59 | 111 |
| Maharashtra | 206 | 237 | 276 | 305 | 332 | 68 | 126 |
| Manipur | 190 | 231 | 270 | 308 | 336 | 78 | 146 |
| Meghalaya | 199 | 225 | 247 | 282 | 309 | 56 | 110 |
| Mizoram | 224 | 246 | 279 | 309 | 337 | 63 | 113 |
| Nagaland | 190 | 223 | 250 | 288 | 320 | 65 | 130 |
| Odisha | 181 | 215 | 248 | 287 | 321 | 72 | 139 |
| Puducherry | 215 | 243 | 283 | 316 | 344 | 73 | 130 |
| Punjab | 188 | 222 | 249 | 281 | 303 | 59 | 115 |
| Rajasthan | 174 | 197 | 238 | 277 | 304 | 79 | 130 |
| Sikkim | 213 | 239 | 277 | 306 | 334 | 68 | 121 |
| Tamil Nadu | 213 | 240 | 278 | 308 | 333 | 68 | 121 |
| Tripura | 225 | 253 | 286 | 312 | 332 | 59 | 107 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 185 | 223 | 255 | 286 | 311 | 64 | 127 |
| Uttarakhand | 174 | 203 | 236 | 277 | 304 | 74 | 129 |
| West Bengal | 203 | 235 | 279 | 308 | 331 | 73 | 128 |
| National | 194 | 224 | 258 | 292 | 318 | 68 | 124 |

Note : Ranges may not agree due to rounding.

- In States like Tripura, Meghalaya, Assam, Madhya Pradesh and Mizoram, the student scores in language are more concentrated over a narrow range, i.e. the performance of different students within the states is more homogenous
- In states like Manipur, Bihar, Odisha, Kerala and Arunachal Pradesh, the language scores are more widely spread out, i.e. the performance of different students within the states is more heterogeneous


## Percentile Scores

It is the score on a test below which a given percentage of student scores fall. In order to give information about the performance of low, middle and high performing students, results are computed at five key percentile points (10th, 25 th, 50 th, 75 th and 90 th).

Percentile Scores in Mathematics

| State/UTs | $\begin{gathered} 10^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50^{\mathrm{th}} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90^{\text {th }} \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range } \\ & 75-25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range } \\ 90-10 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A \& N Islands | 189 | 227 | 256 | 286 | 314 | 59 | 125 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 191 | 228 | 261 | 291 | 322 | 63 | 131 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 182 | 217 | 240 | 280 | 305 | 63 | 123 |
| Assam | 182 | 222 | 248 | 283 | 311 | 61 | 129 |
| Bihar | 144 | 186 | 231 | 275 | 305 | 89 | 161 |
| Chandigarh | 183 | 219 | 236 | 272 | 292 | 53 | 109 |
| Chhattisgarh | 173 | 184 | 224 | 252 | 283 | 69 | 109 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 218 | 236 | 272 | 294 | 319 | 59 | 101 |
| Daman \& Diu | 227 | 260 | 278 | 302 | 329 | 43 | 102 |
| Delhi | 181 | 216 | 238 | 279 | 310 | 62 | 128 |
| Goa | 185 | 225 | 247 | 280 | 302 | 55 | 117 |
| Gujarat | 188 | 228 | 259 | 285 | 313 | 57 | 125 |
| Haryana | 179 | 200 | 234 | 275 | 305 | 75 | 126 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 184 | 227 | 263 | 295 | 326 | 68 | 142 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 177 | 204 | 238 | 277 | 305 | 73 | 128 |
| Jharkhand | 181 | 221 | 252 | 285 | 314 | 64 | 133 |
| Karnataka | 203 | 231 | 271 | 299 | 321 | 68 | 118 |
| Kerala | 196 | 230 | 270 | 296 | 325 | 65 | 129 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 182 | 217 | 238 | 275 | 304 | 58 | 123 |
| Maharashtra | 200 | 229 | 266 | 294 | 320 | 65 | 120 |
| Manipur | 190 | 229 | 271 | 297 | 325 | 69 | 135 |
| Meghalaya | 186 | 218 | 233 | 271 | 297 | 53 | 111 |
| Mizoram | 213 | 232 | 268 | 297 | 323 | 65 | 109 |
| Nagaland | 184 | 225 | 249 | 280 | 306 | 55 | 122 |
| Odisha | 180 | 204 | 234 | 278 | 310 | 74 | 130 |
| Puducherry | 223 | 239 | 273 | 300 | 325 | 61 | 102 |
| Punjab | 202 | 230 | 262 | 287 | 315 | 57 | 112 |
| Rajasthan | 174 | 199 | 233 | 273 | 296 | 73 | 122 |
| Sikkim | 194 | 228 | 259 | 288 | 316 | 60 | 121 |
| Tamil Nadu | 213 | 234 | 274 | 305 | 331 | 71 | 118 |
| Tripura | 208 | 233 | 269 | 289 | 311 | 56 | 103 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 188 | 228 | 262 | 292 | 322 | 64 | 134 |
| Uttarakhand | 179 | 213 | 239 | 281 | 309 | 68 | 129 |
| West Bengal | 190 | 228 | 261 | 286 | 311 | 58 | 121 |
| National | 190 | 222 | 253 | 285 | 312 | 63 | 122 |

Note : Ranges may not agree due to rounding.

- In States/UTs like Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Daman \& Diu, Puducherry and Tripura student scores in mathematics are more concentrated over a narrow range, i.e. the performance of different students within the states is more homogenous
- In states like Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Manipur, Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand, the mathematics scores are more widely spread out, i.e. the performance of different students within the states is more heterogeneous


Learning in early primary grades lays the foundation for effective learning in one's life. The NAS Class III (Cycle 3) reveals that the average score of children is $64 \%$ and $66 \%$ in Language and Mathematics respectively and more than two-thirds of children are scoring above 50\%. However, improvement is needed especially in abilities such as listening and reading with comprehension, as well as understanding place value, subtraction and division.

Large-scale assessments by themselves cannot result in quality improvement, unless the system is ready to reflect on the findings and use them for improving the quality of teaching and learning processes. Thus each state needs to carefully analyse the current learning levels of their children and understand the gap areas as well as the reasons for low learning. This information could then be used to redesign interventions such as teacher training, curriculum and textbook design and on-site teacher support, so as to improve children's learning. This also has implications for performance of schools, their monitoring and the roles and responsibilities of teacher/school/ support institutions like BRCs/DIETs/SCERTs. It is also important to disseminate the NAS findings in an easily understandable manner and to discuss them with all relevant stakeholders, especially teachers, teacher support institutions and educational functionaries, to build their capacity to understand and reflect on the findings and take appropriate action thereafter.

The purpose of such large-scale assessments will only be fulfilled when the findings reach back to the classroom and result in improvement in children's learning. There are various things that teachers can do at their level, in light of the findings of the NAS study. The study reveals that in Language, children are performing relatively better in word recognition but are facing difficulty when it comes to listening and reading with understanding and answering questions related to the text. Thus, teachers could provide more opportunities during the teaching-learning process for children to both read and listen to a wide variety of reading materials. Children should then be given the opportunity to explain the meaning of the text in their own words, discuss with their peers, ask questions, express the meaning creatively through drawing or acting out, etc. Similarly in mathematics, children seem to be doing quite well on practical application questions related to money and data handling, but seem to be struggling with topics like place value, subtraction and division. Perhaps teachers can spend more time in relating these concepts to practical examples from children's everyday lives and surroundings and use locally available materials such as sticks, stones, beans to help children understand better abstract concepts of addition, subtraction, division etc. Ultimately, it would be most useful if teachers themselves can regularly assess their own students and identify which children require additional support on specific topics. Such simple efforts by teachers would have a huge impact in enhancing children's learning.

While NAS provides a broad snapshot of national and state-level trends, states are encouraged to undertake state-specific large-scale assessments in order to obtain a more nuanced picture of how specific districts and blocks are performing. This would help to design appropriate interventions to improve children's learning. Tracking improvements in learning over time can help assess the impact of specific quality-related interventions and help policy and decision makers to take evidence-based decisions.

## Appendix: A Note on Methodology

In the year 2000, the programme of National Achievement Surveys (NAS), originally conceived by NCERT as an independent project, was incorporated into the Government's flagship project Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. NCERT is responsible for planning, developing tools, conducting the surveys and reporting the results under SSA by the Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD).

NAS Class III (Cycle-3) is the latest survey in which information was gathered from a sample comprising 1,04,374 students in 7,046 schools across 34 States and Union Territories (UTs). The subjects covered were Language and Mathematics.

## Introduction of Best Practices in Assessment

In NAS Cycle 3, an approach known as 'Item Response Theory' (IRT) was used, in addition to the classical approach. In classical approach, also known as Classical Test Theory (CTT) the outcomes are reported simply as the proportion or percentage of correct answers.

IRT has been used keeping in line with the best practice of major international surveys such as Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) and Trends in International Mathematics and Science Studies (TIMSS). IRT uses mathematical models that assume a statistical connection between the difficulty level of the test item, the ability of the student and the probability of that student being successful on a particular item. For example, students with higher ability scores are more likely to succeed on any item than their peers of lower ability.

IRT has many advantages over the classical test theory such as :

- IRT measures the true ability of students regardless of different levels of difficulty of tests, by calculating the probability of a student to respond to an item correctly.
- IRT analysis places students and test items on the same numerical scale. It provides us to create meaningful 'maps' of item difficulty and student ability.
- In IRT, the difficulty parameter for an item does not depend on the group of test takers.
- In IRT multiple test booklets may be used to increase measurement points in any subject and these can also be linked.
- IRT make it possible to compare scores from tests used in different NAS cycles or state test scores over time, which may help in monitoring progress in the system over time.
- When IRT is used appropriately, it can increase the efficiency, accuracy or usefulness of a wide variety of measurement processes.

Another important point of deviation from the previous two surveys was related to test construction and administration. It was felt that since Class III children are too young to read questions on their own and respond the MCOs on their own, it does not indicate a true measure of their ability. Therefore, an element of scaffolding was introduced where-in the field administrator read out the MCO items loud to the child. The element of scaffolding introduced was standardized to reduce inter variability amongst the field investigators.

Achievement tests were designed to assess the core contents of curricular areas which required a large number of items to be tested. At the same time, assigning a large number of items to each student may affect the quality of their responses. For this purpose, multiple booklets having common/anchor items were developed, which could then be linked together. It helps in limiting the number of items administered to each student.

## Development of Tools

For collecting the information for the survey, subject tests and three questionnaires were developed.

## Questionnaires

For this survey, three questionnaires were developed to collect information on a) schools, b) teachers and c) pupils and their backgrounds.

## Tests

For any large survey, the tools employed need to be simple, understandable, valid and reliable. The first exercise, hence, was to collect the syllabi and the textbooks of Language and Mathematics from all the states/UTs. These were then analysed from the point of view of the content areas covered and abilities acquired. The common core content was identified for developing the tests. Based on the analysis, assessment frameworks were developed in both subjects. The frameworks described the content areas and abilities covered in the tests, the number and type of items used for testing and other details of the exercise.

## Development of subject-specific tools

In language, listening, recognition of the correct word for picture and reading comprehension abilities were tested. The work for the test development was guided by the framework developed for the language test. For development of the tests, two sub-groups were formed, one for English and the other for Hindi. Thus two master copies were prepared which were then translated to 16 languages. For generating items, examples from various sources including National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) and Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) were referred. The items developed were piloted to ensure
the functionality of the items. Finally, two forms were prepared both in English and in Hindi, each with 25 items.

In mathematics, key content areas such as knowing and using number names, learning and understanding the values of numbers (including basic operations),measurement, data handling, money, geometry and patterns were included. The work was guided by the assessment framework for the Mathematics. For development of items, NCERT textbooks and examples from international sources such as NAEP and TIMSS were consulted and discussed. The Working Group drafted more than 100 items. All these items were peer reviewed. These items were piloted and finally 50 items were considered for two test forms with 30 items out of which there were 10 anchor items. The final two test forms were then translated into 16 languages.

## Sample Design

The target population for the survey was all Class III children studying in government, local body and government-aided schools. In general, the sample design for each state/UT involved a three-stage design which used a combination of two stage probability sampling methods. In the first stage, districts were selected using Probability Proportional to Size (PPS) sampling principles. In the second stage, the requisite number of schools was selected in the chosen districts by PPS principle. In the third stage, the required numbers of students in each school were selected using the Systematic Random Sampling method. In schools where Class III had multiple sections, an extra stage of selection was added with one section being sampled at random.

For sampling frame the flash statistics of 8th AISES (2009) was used. The survey was intended to cover all 35 states and UTs, but Lakshadweep did not participate in this endeavour.

## Administration of Tools

In conducting NAS Class III survey, NCERT took the help of state agencies like SCERTs and SIEs to coordinate survey activities in the states/ UTs. Each participating state designated a state coordinator who had the responsibility of implementing the NAS in his/her state/UT in accordance with the NAS guidelines. Further, each state coordinator collaborated with the district coordinators for conducting the main achievement survey. In this survey, state coordinators, associate state coordinators and district coordinators were trained by ESD faculty on how to collect data in the field. Besides, hands on experiences were provided to them. In each selected district, approximately 10 to 12 teams of field investigators were appointed. Each team comprising of two field investigators were given rigorous training about selection of section and students in the sampled schools and administration of tools.

During the test administration, sampled students responded on test booklets itself. Later on, student responses were transferred to a separate response sheet by the field investigator. The response sheets were then dispatched by the state

## Monitoring

Monitoring of administration of tools was done at the state and district levels on a sample basis i.e., 5-10 schools in a district. Besides, NCERT/RIE faculty also monitored the activities in some districts to ensure the quality of data.

## Data Management and Analysis

The work of data entry was outsourced to a computer agency for transfer of data from paper forms to electronic format. Data entry plan and data analysis plan were developed ESD keeping in mind the objectives of the study. Data entry plan was provided to the agency for undertaking the assigned task in a systematic manner. The data entry agency provided soft copy of the data entered to the Division. The project team checked and verified the quality of the data and resolved problems of mismatching files. Cleaned files were used for analysis. Data analysis was carried out by using both Classical Test Theory (CTT) and IRT model. In IRT analysis 2 PL model was used, scores were adjusted on a scale of $0-500$ with 250 as mean and 50 as Standard Deviation.


## Reporting

In this report, performance on tests items are reported using 'scale scores' calculated using IRT and also percent correct obtained during IRT analysis. Most importantly, the scale has been fixed so that results from future surveys can be reported on the same scale. It also provide adequate linking procedures through common items. It means, a score of, say, 270 today will be equivalent to a score of 270 in future surveys, even though the items used are not the same. This is obviously an advantage over using percentage correct scores, where there is no rationale for assuming that a score of $70 \%$ in one test will be equivalent to a score of $70 \%$ in another test, administered on two different occasions.

## Why are assessments vital for improving the quality of education over time?

Education assessments provide a health check on how well a system is performing. Findings from any educational assessment need to be fed back to generate further improvements as in the diagram below.


With multiple assessments and using special techniques it is possible to compare whether learning is improving over time.

There is too much testing! What is the difference between examinations and large scale assessments?

An examination is a formal assessment of learning that is designed to assess how well an individual student understands a particular curriculum. High stake tests and formal examinations generally occur at the end of key phases of education. This kind of testing is for a specific purpose. For example a high stake test would be one that determines whether a student progresses between grades.

There are many kinds of educational assessments of varying scales from classroom analysis to international comparability. India's NAS is a low stake sample based assessment conducted on a representative sample across the country. The NAS measures typical levels of achievement i.e. what students know and can do at different levels of ability.

NAS allows comparisons to be made between groups and monitor trends over time. If used well, this information is helpful for planning and quality improvement interventions.


[^0]:    Note: Standard Error has been given in parenthesis

[^1]:    Note: Standard Error has been given in parenthesis

[^2]:    Note: Standard Error has been given in parenthesis

[^3]:    - Below national average (ST)
    - Equal or more than national average (ST)

[^4]:    * Source: Primary Census Abstract for Total population, Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, 2011, Office of the Registrar General \& Census Commissioner, India

